

Functional Data Structures

Exercise Sheet 13

Presentation of Mini-Projects

You are invited, on a voluntary basis, to give a short presentation of your mini-projects in the tutorial on July 28.

Depending on how many presentations we have, the time slots will be 5 to 10 minutes, plus 2 minutes for questions.

If you are interested, please write me a short email until Thursday, July 27.

Exercise 13.1 Double-Ended Queues

Design a double-ended queue where all operations have constant-time amortized complexity. Prove functional correctness and constant-time amortized complexity.

For your proofs, it is enough to count the number of newly allocated list cells. You may assume that operations $rev\ xs$ and $xs @ ys$ allocate $O(|xs|)$ cells.

Explanation: A double-ended queue is like a queue with two further operations: Function enq_front adds an element at the front (whereas enq adds an element at the back). Function deq_back removes an element at the back (whereas deq removes an element at the front). Here is a formal specification where the double ended queue is just a list:

abbreviation (*input*) $enq_list :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
“ $enq_list\ x\ xs \equiv xs @ [x]$ ”

abbreviation (*input*) $enq_front_list :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
“ $enq_front_list\ x\ xs \equiv x \# xs$ ”

abbreviation (*input*) $deq_list :: 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
“ $deq_list\ xs \equiv tl\ xs$ ”

abbreviation (*input*) $deq_back_list :: 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
“ $deq_back_list\ xs \equiv butlast\ xs$ ”

Hint: You may want to start with the *Queue* implementation in *Thys/Amortized.Examples*.

lemma “ $list_of\ init = []$ ”

lemma “ $list_of\ (enq\ x\ q) = enq_list\ x\ (list_of\ q)$ ”

lemma “ $list_of\ (enq_front\ x\ q) = enq_front_list\ x\ (list_of\ q)$ ”

lemma “ $list_of\ q \neq [] \implies list_of(deq\ q) = deq_list\ (list_of\ q)$ ”
lemma “ $list_of\ q \neq [] \implies list_of(deq_back\ q) = deq_back_list\ (list_of\ q)$ ”

Homework 13 Pairing Heap

Submission until Friday, 28. 07. 2017, 11:59am.

The datatype of pairing heaps defined in the theory `Thys/Pairing_Heap` comes with the unstated invariant that `Empty` occurs only at the root. We can avoid this invariant by a slightly different representation:

datatype `'a hp = Hp 'a “'a hp list”`
type_synonym `'a heap = “'a hp option”`

Carry out the development with this new representation. Restrict yourself to the `get_min` and `delete_min` operations. That is, define the following functions (and any auxiliary function required)

fun `get_min` :: “`'a :: linorder` `heap` \Rightarrow `'a`” **where**
fun `del_min` :: “`'a :: linorder` `heap` \Rightarrow `'a heap`” **where**
fun `php` :: “`'a :: linorder` `hp` \Rightarrow `bool`” **where**
fun `mset_hp` :: “`'a hp` \Rightarrow `'a multiset`” **where**
fun `mset_heap` :: “`'a heap` \Rightarrow `'a multiset`” **where**

and prove the following functional correctness theorems and any lemmas required, but excluding preservation of the invariant:

theorem `get_min_in`: “`get_min (Some h) \in set_hp(h)`”
lemma `get_min_min`: “ $\llbracket\ php\ h; x \in set_hp(h)\ \rrbracket \implies get_min\ (Some\ h) \leq x$ ”
lemma `mset_del_min`: “`mset_heap (del_min (Some h)) = mset_hp h - {#get_min(Some h)#}`”

It is recommended to start with the original theory and modify it as much as needed. Note that function `set_hp` is defined automatically by the definition of type `hp`.