

Formalizing Push-Relabel Algorithms

Peter Lammich and S. Reza Sefidgar

March 6, 2017

Abstract

We present a formalization of push-relabel algorithms for computing the maximum flow in a network. We start with Goldberg’s et al. generic push-relabel algorithm, for which we show correctness and the time complexity bound of $O(V^2E)$. We then derive the relabel-to-front and FIFO implementation. Using stepwise refinement techniques, we derive an efficient verified implementation.

Our formal proof of the abstract algorithms closely follows a standard textbook proof, and is accessible even without being an expert in Isabelle/HOL—the interactive theorem prover used for the formalization.

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Generic Push Relabel Algorithm	5
2.1	Labeling	5
2.2	Basic Operations	6
2.2.1	Augmentation of Edges	6
2.2.2	Push Operation	10
2.2.3	Relabel Operation	11
2.2.4	Initialization	11
2.3	Abstract Correctness	11
2.3.1	Maintenance of Invariants	12
2.3.2	Maxflow on Termination	14
2.4	Convenience Lemmas	14
2.5	Complexity	18
2.5.1	Auxiliary Lemmas	18
2.5.2	Height Bound	18
2.5.3	Formulation of the Abstract Algorithm	23
2.5.4	Saturating and Non-Saturating Push Operations	24
2.5.5	Refined Labeled Transition System	25
2.5.6	Bounding the Relabel Operations	28
2.5.7	Bounding the Saturating Push Operations	28
2.5.8	Bounding the Non-Saturating Push Operations	33
2.5.9	Assembling the Final Theorem	38
2.6	Main Theorem: Correctness and Complexity	39
2.7	Convenience Tools for Implementation	39
2.8	Gap Heuristics	42
2.8.1	Termination with Gap Heuristics	43
3	Relabel-to-Front Algorithm	45
3.1	Admissible Network	45
3.2	Neighbor Lists	48
3.3	Discharge Operation	50
3.4	Main Algorithm	54
4	FIFO Push Relabel Algorithm	59
4.1	Implementing the Discharge Operation	59
4.2	Main Algorithm	65
5	Tools for Implementing Push-Rebel Algorithms	66
5.1	Basic Operations	66
5.1.1	Excess Map	66
5.1.2	Labeling	67

5.1.3	Label Frequency Counts for Gap Heuristics	67
5.2	Refinements to Basic Operations	68
5.2.1	Explicit Computation of the Excess	68
5.2.2	Algorithm to Compute Initial Excess and Flow	69
5.2.3	Computing the Minimal Adjacent Label	71
5.2.4	Refinement of Relabel	73
5.2.5	Refinement of Push	74
5.2.6	Adding frequency counters to labeling	75
5.2.7	Refinement of Gap-Heuristics	77
5.3	Refinement to Efficient Data Structures	80
5.3.1	Registration of Abstract Operations	80
5.3.2	Excess by Array	81
5.3.3	Labeling by Array	81
5.3.4	Label Frequency by Array	82
5.3.5	Combined Frequency Count and Labeling	83
5.3.6	Push	84
5.3.7	Relabel	84
5.3.8	Gap-Relabel	85
5.3.9	Initialization	85
6	Implementation of the FIFO Push/Relabel Algorithm	86
6.1	Basic Operations	86
6.1.1	Queue	86
6.2	Refinements to Basic Operations	87
6.2.1	Refinement of Push	87
6.2.2	Refinement of Gap-Relabel	88
6.2.3	Refinement of Discharge	90
6.2.4	Computing the Initial Queue	95
6.2.5	Refining the Main Algorithm	95
6.3	Separating out the Initialization of the Adjacency Matrix	97
6.4	Refinement To Efficient Data Structures	98
6.4.1	Registration of Abstract Operations	98
6.4.2	Queue by Two Stacks	99
6.4.3	Push	100
6.4.4	Gap-Relabel	100
6.4.5	Discharge	100
6.4.6	Computing the Initial State	101
6.4.7	Main Algorithm	101
6.5	Combining the Refinement Steps	102
6.6	Combination with Network Checker and Main Correctness Theorem	103
6.6.1	Justification of Splitting into Prepare and Run Phase	104
6.7	Usage Example: Computing Maxflow Value	105

1 Introduction

Computing the maximum flow of a network is an important problem in graph theory. Many other problems, like maximum-bipartite-matching, edge-disjoint-paths, circulation-demand, as well as various scheduling and resource allocating problems can be reduced to it.

The practically most efficient algorithms to solve the maximum flow problem are push-relabel algorithms [3]. In this entry, we present a formalization of Goldberg's et al. generic push-relabel algorithm [5], and two instances: The relabel-to-front algorithm [4] and the FIFO push-relabel algorithm [5]. Using stepwise refinement techniques [9, 1, 2], we derive efficient verified implementations. Moreover, we show that the generic push-relabel algorithm has a time complexity of $O(V^2E)$.

This entry re-uses and extends theory developed for our formalization of the Edmonds-Karp maximum flow algorithm [6, 7].

While there exists another formalization of the Ford-Fulkerson method in Mizar [8], we are, to the best of our knowledge, the first that verify a polynomial maximum flow algorithm, prove a polynomial complexity bound, or provide a verified executable implementation.

2 Generic Push Relabel Algorithm

```
theory Generic-Push-ReLabel
imports
  ..../Flow-Networks/Ford-Fulkerson
begin
```

2.1 Labeling

The central idea of the push-relabel algorithm is to add natural number labels $l : node \Rightarrow nat$ to each node, and maintain the invariant that for all edges (u,v) in the residual graph, we have $l u \leq l v + 1$.

```
type-synonym labeling = node ⇒ nat

locale Labeling = NPreflow +
  fixes l :: labeling
  assumes valid:  $(u,v) \in cf.E \implies l(u) \leq l(v) + 1$ 
  assumes lab-src[simp]:  $l s = card V$ 
  assumes lab-sink[simp]:  $l t = 0$ 
begin

Generalizing validity to paths

lemma gen-valid:  $l(u) \leq l(x) + length p \text{ if } cf.isPath u p x$ 
  using that by (induction p arbitrary: u; fastforce dest: valid)
```

In a valid labeling, there cannot be an augmenting path [Cormen 26.17]. The proof works by contradiction, using the validity constraint to show that any augmenting path would be too long for a simple path.

```
theorem no-augmenting-path:  $\neg \text{isAugmentingPath } p$ 
proof
  assume  $\text{isAugmentingPath } p$ 
  hence  $SP: cf.\text{isSimplePath } s \ p \ t$  unfolding  $\text{isAugmentingPath-def}$  .
  hence  $cf.\text{isPath } s \ p \ t$  unfolding  $cf.\text{isSimplePath-def}$  by auto
  from  $\text{gen-valid}[OF \text{ this}]$  have  $\text{length } p \geq \text{card } V$  by auto
  with  $cf.\text{simplePath-length-less-V}[OF - SP]$  show  $\text{False}$  by auto
qed
```

The idea of push relabel algorithms is to maintain a valid labeling, and, ultimately, arrive at a valid flow, i.e., no nodes have excess flow. We then immediately get that the flow is maximal:

```
corollary no-excess-imp-maxflow:
  assumes  $\forall u \in V - \{s, t\}. \text{excess } f u = 0$ 
  shows  $\text{isMaxFlow } f$ 
proof -
  from  $\text{assms}$  interpret  $NFlow$ 
  apply  $\text{unfold-locales}$ 
  using  $\text{no-deficient-nodes}$  unfolding  $\text{excess-def}$  by auto
  from  $\text{noAugPath-iff-maxFlow}$  no-augmenting-path show  $\text{isMaxFlow } f$  by auto
qed

end — Labeling
```

2.2 Basic Operations

The operations of the push relabel algorithm are local operations on single nodes and edges.

2.2.1 Augmentation of Edges

```
context Network
begin
```

We define a function to augment a single edge in the residual graph.

```
definition augment-edge :: 'capacity flow  $\Rightarrow$  -'
  where  $\text{augment-edge } f \equiv \lambda(u, v). \Delta.$ 
    if  $(u, v) \in E$  then  $f((u, v)) := f((u, v)) + \Delta$ 
    else if  $(v, u) \in E$  then  $f((v, u)) := f((v, u)) - \Delta$ 
    else  $f$ 
```

```
lemma augment-edge-zero[simp]:  $\text{augment-edge } f \ e \ 0 = f$ 
  unfolding  $\text{augment-edge-def}$  by (auto split: prod.split)
```

```

lemma augment-edge-same[simp]:  $e \in E \implies \text{augment-edge } f e \Delta e = f e + \Delta$ 
  unfolding augment-edge-def by (auto split!: prod.splits)

lemma augment-edge-other[simp]:  $\llbracket e \in E; e' \neq e \rrbracket \implies \text{augment-edge } f e \Delta e' = f e'$ 
  unfolding augment-edge-def by (auto split!: prod.splits)

lemma augment-edge-rev-same[simp]:
   $(v,u) \in E \implies \text{augment-edge } f (u,v) \Delta (v,u) = f (v,u) - \Delta$ 
  using no-parallel-edge
  unfolding augment-edge-def by (auto split!: prod.splits)

lemma augment-edge-rev-other[simp]:
   $\llbracket (u,v) \notin E; e' \neq (v,u) \rrbracket \implies \text{augment-edge } f (u,v) \Delta e' = f e'$ 
  unfolding augment-edge-def by (auto split!: prod.splits)

lemma augment-edge-cf[simp]:  $(u,v) \in E \cup E^{-1} \implies$ 
   $\text{cf-of } (\text{augment-edge } f (u,v) \Delta)$ 
   $= (\text{cf-of } f)(u,v) := \text{cf-of } f (u,v) - \Delta, (v,u) := \text{cf-of } f (v,u) + \Delta$ 
  apply (intro ext; cases  $(u,v) \in E$ )
  subgoal for  $e'$ 
  apply (cases  $e' = (u,v)$ )
  subgoal by (simp split!: if-splits add: no-self-loop residualGraph-def)
  apply (cases  $e' = (v,u)$ )
  subgoal by (simp split!: if-splits add: no-parallel-edge residualGraph-def)
  subgoal by (simp
    split!: if-splits prod.splits
    add: residualGraph-def augment-edge-def)
  done
  subgoal for  $e'$ 
  apply (cases  $e' = (u,v)$ )
  subgoal by (simp split!: if-splits add: no-self-loop residualGraph-def)
  apply (cases  $e' = (v,u)$ )
  subgoal by (simp split!: if-splits add: no-self-loop residualGraph-def)
  subgoal by (simp
    split!: if-splits prod.splits
    add: residualGraph-def augment-edge-def)
  done
  done

lemma augment-edge-cf':  $(u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f \implies$ 
   $\text{cf-of } (\text{augment-edge } f (u,v) \Delta)$ 
   $= (\text{cf-of } f)(u,v) := \text{cf-of } f (u,v) - \Delta, (v,u) := \text{cf-of } f (v,u) + \Delta$ 
proof -
  assume  $(u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f$ 
  hence  $(u,v) \in E \cup E^{-1}$  using cfE-of-ss-invE ..
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

The effect of augmenting an edge on the residual graph

```

definition (in -) augment-edge-cf :: - flow  $\Rightarrow$  - where
  augment-edge-cf cf
   $\equiv \lambda(u,v) \Delta. (cf)(u,v) := cf(u,v) - \Delta, (v,u) := cf(v,u) + \Delta$ 

lemma cf-of-augment-edge:
  assumes A:  $(u,v) \in cfE\text{-of } f$ 
  shows cf-of (augment-edge f (u,v)  $\Delta$ ) = augment-edge-cf (cf-of f) (u,v)  $\Delta$ 
  proof -
    show cf-of (augment-edge f (u,v)  $\Delta$ ) = augment-edge-cf (cf-of f) (u,v)  $\Delta$ 
      by (simp add: augment-edge-cf-def A augment-edge-cf')
  qed

```

```

lemma cfE-augment-ss:
  assumes EDGE:  $(u,v) \in cfE\text{-of } f$ 
  shows cfE-of (augment-edge f (u,v)  $\Delta$ )  $\subseteq$  insert (v,u) (cfE-of f)
  using EDGE
  apply (clar simp simp: augment-edge-cf')
  unfolding Graph.E-def
  apply (auto split: if-splits)
  done

```

end — Network

context NPreflow **begin**

Augmenting an edge (u,v) with a flow Δ that does not exceed the available edge capacity, nor the available excess flow on the source node, preserves the preflow property.

```

lemma augment-edge-preflow-preserve:  $\llbracket 0 \leq \Delta; \Delta \leq cf(u,v); \Delta \leq excess f u \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies$  Preflow c s t (augment-edge f (u,v)  $\Delta$ )
  apply unfold-locales
  subgoal
    unfolding residualGraph-def augment-edge-def
    using capacity-const
    by (fastforce split!: if-splits)
  subgoal
    proof (intro ballI; clar simp)
      assume  $0 \leq \Delta$   $\Delta \leq cf(u,v)$   $\Delta \leq excess f u$ 
      fix  $v'$ 
      assume  $V' : v' \in V$   $v' \neq s$   $v' \neq t$ 

      show sum (augment-edge f (u, v)  $\Delta$ ) (outgoing  $v'$ )
         $\leq$  sum (augment-edge f (u, v)  $\Delta$ ) (incoming  $v'$ )
      proof (cases)
        assume  $\Delta = 0$ 
        with no-deficient-nodes show ?thesis using V' by auto

```

```

next
  assume  $\Delta \neq 0$  with  $\langle 0 \leq \Delta \rangle$  have  $0 < \Delta$  by auto
  with  $\langle \Delta \leq cf(u,v) \rangle$  have  $(u,v) \in cf.E$  unfolding Graph.E-def by auto

  show ?thesis
  proof (cases)
    assume [simp]:  $(u,v) \in E$ 
    hence AE: augment-edge  $f(u,v) \Delta = f((u,v)) := f(u,v) + \Delta$ 
      unfolding augment-edge-def by auto
    have 1:  $\forall e \in outgoing v'. augment-edge f(u,v) \Delta e = f e$  if  $v' \neq u$ 
      using that unfolding outgoing-def AE by auto
    have 2:  $\forall e \in incoming v'. augment-edge f(u,v) \Delta e = f e$  if  $v' \neq v$ 
      using that unfolding incoming-def AE by auto

    from  $\langle (u,v) \in E \rangle$  no-self-loop have  $u \neq v$  by blast

    {
      assume  $v' \neq u$   $v' \neq v$ 
      with 1 2 V' no-deficient-nodes have ?thesis by auto
    } moreover {
      assume [simp]:  $v' = v$ 
      have sum (augment-edge  $f(u,v) \Delta$ ) (outgoing  $v'$ )
        = sum  $f(outgoing v)$ 
        using 1  $\langle u \neq v \rangle$  V' by auto
      also have ...  $\leq$  sum  $f(incoming v)$ 
        using V' no-deficient-nodes by auto
      also have ...  $\leq$  sum (augment-edge  $f(u,v) \Delta$ ) (incoming  $v$ )
        apply (rule sum-mono)
        using  $\langle 0 \leq \Delta \rangle$ 
        by (auto simp: incoming-def augment-edge-def split!: if-split)
      finally have ?thesis by simp
    } moreover {
      assume [simp]:  $v' = u$ 
      have A1: sum (augment-edge  $f(u,v) \Delta$ ) (incoming  $v'$ )
        = sum  $f(incoming u)$ 
        using 2  $\langle u \neq v \rangle$  by auto
      have  $(u,v) \in outgoing u$  using  $\langle (u,v) \in E \rangle$ 
        by (auto simp: outgoing-def)
      note AUX = sum.remove[OF - this, simplified]
      have A2: sum (augment-edge  $f(u,v) \Delta$ ) (outgoing  $u$ )
        = sum  $f(outgoing u) + \Delta$ 
        using AUX[of augment-edge  $f(u,v) \Delta$ ] AUX[of f] by auto
      from A1 A2  $\langle \Delta \leq excess f u \rangle$  no-deficient-nodes V' have ?thesis
        unfolding excess-def by auto
    } ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  next
    assume [simp]:  $\langle (u,v) \notin E \rangle$ 
    hence [simp]:  $(v,u) \in E$  using cfE-ss-invE  $\langle (u,v) \in cf.E \rangle$  by auto
    from  $\langle (u,v) \notin E \rangle$   $\langle (v,u) \in E \rangle$  have  $u \neq v$  by blast

```

```

have AE: augment-edge f (u,v) Δ = f ( (v,u) := f (v,u) - Δ )
  unfolding augment-edge-def by simp
have 1: ∀ e∈outgoing v'. augment-edge f (u,v) Δ e = f e if v'≠v
  using that unfolding outgoing-def AE by auto
have 2: ∀ e∈incoming v'. augment-edge f (u,v) Δ e = f e if v'≠u
  using that unfolding incoming-def AE by auto

{
  assume v' ≠ u   v' ≠ v
  with 1 2 V' no-deficient-nodes have ?thesis by auto
} moreover {
  assume [simp]: v'=u
  have A1: sum (augment-edge f (u, v) Δ) (outgoing v')
    = sum f (outgoing u)
    using 1 ⟨u≠v⟩ V' by auto

  have (v,u) ∈ incoming u
    using ⟨(v,u)∈E⟩ by (auto simp: incoming-def)
  note AUX = sum.remove[OF - this, simplified]
  have A2: sum (augment-edge f (u,v) Δ) (incoming u)
    = sum f (incoming u) - Δ
    using AUX[of augment-edge f (u,v) Δ] AUX[of    f] by auto

  from A1 A2 ⟨Δ ≤ excess f u⟩ no-deficient-nodes V' have ?thesis
    unfolding excess-def by auto
} moreover {
  assume [simp]: v'=v
  have sum (augment-edge f (u,v) Δ) (outgoing v')
    ≤ sum f (outgoing v')
    apply (rule sum-mono)
    using ⟨0<Δ⟩
    by (auto simp: augment-edge-def)
  also have ... ≤ sum f (incoming v)
    using no-deficient-nodes V' by auto
  also have ... ≤ sum (augment-edge f (u,v) Δ) (incoming v')
    using 2 ⟨u≠v⟩ by auto
  finally have ?thesis by simp
} ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
done
end — Network with Preflow

```

2.2.2 Push Operation

```

context Network
begin

```

The push operation pushes as much flow as possible flow from an active node over an admissible edge.

A node is called *active* if it has positive excess, and an edge (u,v) of the residual graph is called admissible, if $l u = l v + (1::'a)$.

```
definition push-precond :: 'capacity flow  $\Rightarrow$  labeling  $\Rightarrow$  edge  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where push-precond f l
     $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{excess } f u > 0 \wedge (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f \wedge l u = l v + 1$ 
```

The maximum possible flow is determined by the available excess flow at the source node and the available capacity of the edge.

```
definition push-effect :: 'capacity flow  $\Rightarrow$  edge  $\Rightarrow$  'capacity flow
  where push-effect f
     $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{augment-edge } f (u,v) (\min (\text{excess } f u) (\text{cf-of } f (u,v)))$ 
```

```
lemma push-precondI[intro?]:
   $\llbracket \text{excess } f u > 0; (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f; l u = l v + 1 \rrbracket \implies \text{push-precond } f l (u,v)$ 
  unfolding push-precond-def by auto
```

2.2.3 Relabel Operation

An active node (not the sink) without any outgoing admissible edges can be relabeled.

```
definition relabel-precond :: 'capacity flow  $\Rightarrow$  labeling  $\Rightarrow$  node  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where relabel-precond f l u
     $\equiv u \neq t \wedge \text{excess } f u > 0 \wedge (\forall v. (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f \longrightarrow l u \neq l v + 1)$ 
```

The new label is computed from the neighbour's labels, to be the minimum value that will create an outgoing admissible edge.

```
definition relabel-effect :: 'capacity flow  $\Rightarrow$  labeling  $\Rightarrow$  node  $\Rightarrow$  labeling
  where relabel-effect f l u
     $\equiv l(u := \text{Min} \{ l v \mid v. (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f \} + 1)$ 
```

2.2.4 Initialization

The initial preflow exhausts all outgoing edges of the source node.

```
definition pp-init-f  $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{if } (u=s) \text{ then } c(u,v) \text{ else } 0$ 
```

The initial labeling labels the source with $|V|$, and all other nodes with 0.

```
definition pp-init-l  $\equiv (\lambda x. 0)(s := \text{card } V)$ 
```

end — Network

2.3 Abstract Correctness

We formalize the abstract correctness argument of the algorithm. It consists of two parts:

1. Execution of push and relabel operations maintain a valid labeling
2. If no push or relabel operations can be executed, the preflow is actually a flow.

This section corresponds to the proof of [Cormen 26.18].

2.3.1 Maintenance of Invariants

```

context Network
begin

lemma pp-init-invar: Labeling c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l
  apply (unfold-locales;
    ((auto simp: pp-init-f-def pp-init-l-def cap-non-negative; fail)
     | (intro ballI)?))
  proof -
    fix v
    assume v ∈ V - {s,t}
    hence ∀ e ∈ outgoing v. pp-init-f e = 0
      by (auto simp: outgoing-def pp-init-f-def)
    hence [simp]: sum pp-init-f (outgoing v) = 0 by auto
    have 0 ≤ pp-init-f e for e
      by (auto simp: pp-init-f-def cap-non-negative split: prod.split)
    from sum-bounded-below[of incoming v 0 pp-init-f, OF this]
    have 0 ≤ sum pp-init-f (incoming v) by auto
    thus sum pp-init-f (outgoing v) ≤ sum pp-init-f (incoming v)
      by auto

  next
    fix u v
    assume (u, v) ∈ Graph.E (residualGraph c pp-init-f)
    thus pp-init-l u ≤ pp-init-l v + 1
      unfolding pp-init-l-def Graph.E-def pp-init-f-def residualGraph-def
      by (auto split: if-splits)

  qed

lemma pp-init-f-preflow: NPreflow c s t pp-init-f
  proof -
    from pp-init-invar interpret Labeling c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l .
    show ?thesis by unfold-locales
  qed

end — Network

context Labeling
begin
```

Push operations preserve a valid labeling [Cormen 26.16].

```

theorem push-pres-Labeling:
  assumes push-precond f l e
  shows Labeling c s t (push-effect f e) l
  unfolding push-effect-def
  proof (cases e; clarsimp)
    fix u v
    assume [simp]: e=(u,v)
    let ?f' = (augment-edge f (u, v) (min (excess f u) (cf (u, v))))
    from assms have
      ACTIVE: excess f u > 0
      and EDGE: (u,v) ∈ cf.E
      and ADM: l u = l v + 1
      unfolding push-precond-def by auto
      interpret cf': Preflow c s t ?f'
      apply (rule augment-edge-preflow-preserve)
      using ACTIVE resE-nonNegative
      by auto
      show Labeling c s t ?f' l
      apply unfold-locales using valid
      using cfE-augment-ss[OF EDGE] ADM
      apply (fastforce)
      by auto
    qed

lemma finite-min-cf-outgoing[simp, intro!]: finite {l v | v. (u, v) ∈ cf.E}
  proof -
    have {l v | v. (u, v) ∈ cf.E} = l`snd`cf.outgoing u
    by (auto simp: cf.outgoing-def)
    moreover have finite (l`snd`cf.outgoing u) by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed

```

Relabel operations preserve a valid labeling [Cormen 26.16]. Moreover, they increase the label of the relabeled node [Cormen 26.15].

```

theorem
  assumes PRE: relabel-precond f l u
  shows relabel-increase-u: relabel-effect f l u u > l u (is ?G1)
  and relabel-pres-Labeling: Labeling c s t f (relabel-effect f l u) (is ?G2)
  proof -
    from PRE have
      NOT-SINK: u ≠ t
      and ACTIVE: excess f u > 0
      and NO-ADM: ∀v. (u,v) ∈ cf.E ⇒ l u ≠ l v + 1
      unfolding relabel-precond-def by auto
      from ACTIVE have [simp]: s ≠ u using excess-s-non-pos by auto

```

```

from active-has-cf-outgoing[OF ACTIVE] have [simp]:  $\exists v. (u, v) \in cf.E$ 
  by (auto simp: cf.outgoing-def)

from NO-ADM valid have  $l u < l v + 1$  if  $(u, v) \in cf.E$  for  $v$ 
  by (simp add: nat-less-le that)
hence LU-INCR:  $l u \leq \text{Min} \{ l v \mid v. (u, v) \in cf.E \}$ 
  by (auto simp: less-Suc-eq-le)
with valid have  $\forall u'. (u', u) \in cf.E \longrightarrow l u' \leq \text{Min} \{ l v \mid v. (u, v) \in cf.E \} + 1$ 

  by (smt ab-semigroup-add-class.add.commute add-le-cancel-left le-trans)
moreover have  $\forall v. (u, v) \in cf.E \longrightarrow \text{Min} \{ l v \mid v. (u, v) \in cf.E \} + 1 \leq l v + 1$ 
  using Min-le by auto
ultimately show ?G1 ?G2
  unfolding relabel-effect-def
  apply (clarsimp-all simp: PRE)
  subgoal using LU-INCR by (simp add: less-Suc-eq-le)
  apply (unfold-locales)
  subgoal for  $u' v'$  using valid by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal using NOT-SINK by auto
  done
qed

lemma relabel-preserve-other:  $u \neq v \implies \text{relabel-effect } f l u v = l v$ 
  unfolding relabel-effect-def by auto

```

2.3.2 Maxflow on Termination

If no push or relabel operations can be performed any more, we have arrived at a maximal flow.

```

theorem push-relabel-term-imp-maxflow:
  assumes no-push:  $\forall (u, v) \in cf.E. \neg \text{push-precond } f l (u, v)$ 
  assumes no-relabel:  $\forall u. \neg \text{relabel-precond } f l u$ 
  shows isMaxFlow  $f$ 
proof -
  from assms have  $\forall u \in V - \{t\}. \text{excess } f u \leq 0$ 
  unfolding push-precond-def relabel-precond-def
  by force
  with excess-non-negative have  $\forall u \in V - \{s, t\}. \text{excess } f u = 0$  by force
  with no-excess-imp-maxflow show ?thesis .
qed

end — Labeling

```

2.4 Convenience Lemmas

We define a locale to reflect the effect of a push operation

```
locale push-effect-locale = Labeling +
```

```

fixes  $u$   $v$ 
assumes PRE: push-precond  $f l (u,v)$ 
begin
abbreviation  $f' \equiv$  push-effect  $f (u,v)$ 
sublocale  $l': Labeling c s t f' l$ 
using push-pres-Labeling[OF PRE] .

lemma uv-cf-edge[simp, intro!]:  $(u,v) \in cf.E$ 
  using PRE unfolding push-precond-def by auto
lemma excess-u-pos:  $excess f u > 0$ 
  using PRE unfolding push-precond-def by auto
lemma l-u-eq[simp]:  $l u = l v + 1$ 
  using PRE unfolding push-precond-def by auto

lemma uv-edge-cases:
obtains (par)  $(u,v) \in E \quad (v,u) \notin E$ 
  | (rev)  $(v,u) \in E \quad (u,v) \notin E$ 
  using uv-cf-edge cfE-ss-invE no-parallel-edge by blast

lemma uv-nodes[simp, intro!]:  $u \in V \quad v \in V$ 
  using E-ss-VxV cfE-ss-invE no-parallel-edge by auto

lemma uv-not-eq[simp]:  $u \neq v \quad v \neq u$ 
  using E-ss-VxV cfE-ss-invE[THEN set-mp, OF uv-cf-edge] no-parallel-edge
  by auto

definition  $\Delta = min (excess f u) (cf.of f (u,v))$ 

lemma  $\Delta$ -positive:  $\Delta > 0$ 
  unfolding  $\Delta$ -def
  using excess-u-pos uv-cf-edge[unfolded cf.E-def] resE-positive
  by auto

lemma f'-alt:  $f' = augment-edge f (u,v) \Delta$ 
  unfolding push-effect-def  $\Delta$ -def by auto

lemma cf'-alt:  $l'.cf = augment-edge-cf cf (u,v) \Delta$ 
  unfolding push-effect-def  $\Delta$ -def augment-edge-cf-def
  by (auto simp: augment-edge-cf')

lemma excess'-u[simp]:  $excess f' u = excess f u - \Delta$ 
  unfolding excess-def[where  $f=f'$ ]
proof -
  show  $sum f' (incoming u) - sum f' (outgoing u) = excess f u - \Delta$ 
  proof (cases rule: uv-edge-cases)
    case [simp]: par
    hence UV-ONI:  $(u,v) \in outgoing u - incoming u$ 
      by (auto simp: incoming-def outgoing-def no-self-loop)
    have 1:  $sum f' (incoming u) = sum f (incoming u)$ 

```

```

apply (rule sum.cong[OF refl])
using UV-ONI unfolding f'-alt
apply (subst augment-edge-other)
by auto

have sum f' (outgoing u)
= sum f (outgoing u) + (∑ x∈outgoing u. if x = (u, v) then Δ else 0)
by (auto
    simp: f'-alt augment-edge-def sum.distrib[symmetric]
    intro: sum.cong)
also have ... = sum f (outgoing u) + Δ
using UV-ONI by (auto simp: sum.delta)
finally show ?thesis using 1 unfolding excess-def by simp
next
case [simp]: rev
have UV-INO:(v,u)∈incoming u – outgoing u
by (auto simp: incoming-def outgoing-def no-self-loop)
have 1: sum f' (outgoing u) = sum f (outgoing u)
apply (rule sum.cong[OF refl])
using UV-INO unfolding f'-alt
apply (subst augment-edge-rev-other)
by (auto)
have sum f' (incoming u)
= sum f (incoming u) + (∑ x∈incoming u. if x = (v, u) then −Δ else 0)
by (auto
    simp: f'-alt sum.distrib[symmetric] augment-edge-def
    intro: sum.cong)
also have ... = sum f (incoming u) − Δ
using UV-INO by (auto simp: sum.delta)
finally show ?thesis using 1 unfolding excess-def by auto
qed
qed

lemma excess'-v[simp]: excess f' v = excess f v + Δ
  unfolding excess-def[where f=f']
proof –
  show sum f' (incoming v) − sum f' (outgoing v) = excess f v + Δ
  proof (cases rule: uv-edge-cases)
    case [simp]: par
    have UV-INO: (u,v)∈incoming v – outgoing v
      unfolding incoming-def outgoing-def by (auto simp: no-self-loop)
    have 1: sum f' (outgoing v) = sum f (outgoing v)
      using UV-INO unfolding f'-alt
      by (auto simp: augment-edge-def intro: sum.cong)

    have sum f' (incoming v)
    = sum f (incoming v) + (∑ x∈incoming v. if x=(u,v) then Δ else 0)
      using UV-INO unfolding f'-alt
      by (auto simp: augment-edge-def sum.distrib[symmetric] intro: sum.cong)
  qed
qed

```

```

also have ... = sum f (incoming v) + Δ
  using UV-INO by (auto simp: sum.delta)
finally show ?thesis using 1 by (auto simp: excess-def)
next
  case [simp]: rev
  have UV-INO:(v,u)∈outgoing v − incoming v
    by (auto simp: incoming-def outgoing-def no-self-loop)

  have 1: sum f' (incoming v) = sum f (incoming v)
    using UV-INO unfolding f'-alt
    by (auto simp: augment-edge-def intro: sum.cong)

  have sum f' (outgoing v)
    = sum f (outgoing v) + (∑ x∈outgoing v. if x=(v,u) then − Δ else 0)
    using UV-INO unfolding f'-alt
    by (auto simp: augment-edge-def sum.distrib[symmetric] intro: sum.cong)
  also have ... = sum f (outgoing v) − Δ
    using UV-INO by (auto simp: sum.delta)
  finally show ?thesis using 1 by (auto simp: excess-def)
qed
qed

lemma excess'-other[simp]:
  assumes x ≠ u x ≠ v
  shows excess f' x = excess f x
proof –
  have NE: (u,v)∉incoming x (u,v)∉outgoing x
    (v,u)∉incoming x (v,u)∉outgoing x
    using assms unfolding incoming-def outgoing-def by auto
  have
    sum f' (outgoing x) = sum f (outgoing x)
    sum f' (incoming x) = sum f (incoming x)
    by (auto
      simp: augment-edge-def f'-alt NE
      split!: if-split
      intro: sum.cong)
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding excess-def by auto
qed

lemma excess'-if:
  excess f' x = (
    if x=u then excess f u − Δ
    else if x=v then excess f v + Δ
    else excess f x)
  by simp

```

end — Push Effect Locale

2.5 Complexity

Next, we analyze the complexity of the generic push relabel algorithm. We will show that it has a complexity of $O(V^2E)$ basic operations. Here, we often trade precise estimation of constant factors for simplicity of the proof.

2.5.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

```
context Network
begin

lemma cardE-nz-aux[simp, intro!]:
  card E ≠ 0   card E ≥ Suc 0   card E > 0
proof -
  show card E ≠ 0 by (simp add: E-not-empty)
  thus card E ≥ Suc 0 by linarith
  thus card E > 0 by auto
qed
```

The number of nodes can be estimated by the number of edges. This estimation is done in various places to get smoother bounds.

```
lemma card-V-est-E: card V ≤ 2 * card E
proof -
  have card V ≤ card (fst'E) + card (snd'E)
    by (auto simp: card-Un-le V-alt)
  also note card-image-le[OF finite-E]
  also note card-image-le[OF finite-E]
  finally show card V ≤ 2 * card E by auto
qed
```

```
end
```

2.5.2 Height Bound

A crucial idea of estimating the complexity is the insight that no label will exceed $2|V|-1$ during the algorithm.

We define a locale that states this invariant, and show that the algorithm maintains it. The corresponds to the proof of [Cormen 26.20].

```
locale Height-Bounded-Labeling = Labeling +
  assumes height-bound: ∀ u∈V. l u ≤ 2*card V - 1
begin
  lemma height-bound': u∈V ==> l u ≤ 2*card V - 1
    using height-bound by auto
end

lemma (in Network) pp-init-height-bound:
```

```

Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l
proof –
  interpret Labeling c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l by (rule pp-init-invar)
  show ?thesis by unfold-locales (auto simp: pp-init-l-def)
qed

```

```

context Height-Bounded-Labeling
begin

```

As push does not change the labeling, it trivially preserves the height bound.

```

lemma push-pres-height-bound:
  assumes push-precond f l e
  shows Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t (push-effect f e) l
proof –
  from push-pres-Labeling[OF assms]
  interpret l': Labeling c s t push-effect f e l .
  show ?thesis using height-bound by unfold-locales
qed

```

In a valid labeling, any active node has a (simple) path to the source node in the residual graph [Cormen 26.19].

```

lemma (in Labeling) excess-imp-source-path:
  assumes excess f u > 0
  obtains p where cf.isSimplePath u p s
proof –
  obtain U where U-def: U = {v|p v. cf.isSimplePath u p v} by blast
  have fact1: U ⊆ V
  proof
    fix v
    assume v ∈ U
    then have (u, v) ∈ cf.E*
      using U-def cf.isSimplePath-def cf.isPath-rte by auto
    then obtain u' where u = v ∨ ((u, u') ∈ cf.E* ∧ (u', v) ∈ cf.E)
      by (meson rtranclE)
    thus v ∈ V
  proof
    assume u = v
    thus ?thesis using excess-nodes-only[OF assms] by blast
  next
    assume (u, u') ∈ cf.E* ∧ (u', v) ∈ cf.E
    then have v ∈ cf.V unfolding cf.V-def by blast
      thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

have s ∈ U
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume s ∉ U
  obtain U' where U'-def: U' = V - U by blast

```

```

have ( $\sum_{u \in U} excess f u$ )
   $= (\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U'} f(v, u))) - (\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U'} f(u, v)))$ 
proof -
  have ( $\sum_{u \in U} excess f u$ )
     $= (\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in incoming u} f v)) - (\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in outgoing u} f v))$ 
    (is - = ?R1 - ?R2) unfolding excess-def by (simp add: sum-subtractf)
  also have ?R1 = ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in V} f(v, u))$ )
    using sum-incoming-alt-flow fct1 by (meson subsetCE sum.cong)
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U} f(v, u))$ ) + ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U'} f(v, u))$ )
proof -
  have ( $\sum_{v \in V} f(v, u)$ ) = ( $\sum_{v \in U} f(v, u)$ ) + ( $\sum_{v \in U'} f(v, u)$ ) for u
    using U'-def fct1 finite-V
    by (metis ab-semigroup-add-class.add.commute sum-subset-split)
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: sum.distrib)
qed
  also have ?R2 = ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in V} f(u, v))$ )
    using sum-outgoing-alt-flow fct1 by (meson subsetCE sum.cong)
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U} f(u, v))$ ) + ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U'} f(u, v))$ )
proof -
  have ( $\sum_{v \in V} f(u, v)$ ) = ( $\sum_{v \in U} f(u, v)$ ) + ( $\sum_{v \in U'} f(u, v)$ ) for u
    using U'-def fct1 finite-V
    by (metis ab-semigroup-add-class.add.commute sum-subset-split)
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: sum.distrib)
qed
  also have ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U} f(u, v))$ ) = ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U} f(v, u))$ )
proof -
  {
    fix A :: nat set
    assume finite A
    then have ( $\sum_{u \in A} (\sum_{v \in A} f(u, v))$ ) = ( $\sum_{u \in A} (\sum_{v \in A} f(v, u))$ )
    proof (induction card A arbitrary: A)
      case 0
      then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (Suc x)
      then obtain A' a
        where o1:A = insert a A' and o2:x = card A' and o3:finite A'
        by (metis card-insert-disjoint card-le-Suc-iff le-refl nat.inject)
      then have lm:( $\sum_{e \in A} g e$ ) = ( $\sum_{e \in A'} g e$ ) + g a
        for g :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a
        using Suc.hyps(2)
        by (metis card-insert-if n-not-Suc-n
          semiring-normalization-rules(24) sum.insert)

    have ( $\sum_{u \in A} (\sum_{v \in A} f(u, v))$ )
       $= (\sum_{u \in A'} (\sum_{v \in A} f(u, v))) + (\sum_{v \in A} f(a, v))$ 
  
```

```

(is - = ?R1 + ?R2) using lm by auto
also have ?R1 = (∑ u∈A'. (∑ v∈A'. f (u, v))) + (∑ u∈A'. f(u, a))
  (is - = ?R1-1 + ?R1-2) using lm sum.distrib by force
also note add.assoc
also have ?R1-2 + ?R2 = (∑ u∈A'. f(a, u)) + (∑ v∈A. f(v, a))
  (is - = ?R1-2' + ?R2') using lm by auto
also have ?R1-1 = (∑ u∈A'. (∑ v∈A'. f (v, u)))
  (is - = ?R1-1') using Suc.hyps(1)[of A'] o2 o3 by auto
also note add.assoc[symmetric]
also have ?R1-1' + ?R1-2' = (∑ u∈A'. (∑ v∈A. f (v, u)))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) lm sum.cong sum.distrib)
finally show ?thesis using lm[symmetric] by auto
qed
} note this[of U]
thus ?thesis using fct1 finite-V finite-subset by auto
qed
finally show ?thesis by arith
qed
moreover have (∑ u∈U. excess f u) > 0
proof -
  have u ∈ U using U-def by simp
  moreover have u ∈ U ⟹ excess f u ≥ 0 for u
    using fct1 excess-non-negative' {s ∈ U} by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms fct1 finite-V
    by (metis Diff-cancel Diff-eq-empty-iff
        Diff-infinite-finite finite-Diff sum-pos2)
qed
ultimately have
  fct2: (∑ u∈U. (∑ v∈U'. f (v, u))) - (∑ u∈U. (∑ v∈U'. f (u, v))) > 0
  by simp

have fct3: (∑ u∈U. (∑ v∈U'. f (v, u))) > 0
proof -
  have (∑ u∈U. (∑ v∈U'. f (v, u))) ≥ 0
    using capacity-const by (simp add: sum-nonneg)
  moreover have (∑ u∈U. (∑ v∈U'. f (u, v))) ≥ 0
    using capacity-const by (simp add: sum-nonneg)
  ultimately show ?thesis using fct2 by simp
qed

have ∃ u' v'. (u' ∈ U ∧ v' ∈ U' ∧ f (v', u') > 0)
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ (∃ u' v'. u' ∈ U ∧ v' ∈ U' ∧ f (v', u') > 0)
  then have (∀ u' v'. (u' ∈ U ∧ v' ∈ U' → f (v', u') = 0))
    using capacity-const by (metis le-neq-trans)
  thus False using fct3 by simp
qed
then obtain u' v' where u' ∈ U and v' ∈ U' and f (v', u') > 0
  by blast

```

```

obtain p1 where cf.isSimplePath u p1 u' using U-def ⟨u' ∈ U⟩ by auto
moreover have (u', v') ∈ cf.E
proof -
  have (v', u') ∈ E
    using capacity-const ⟨f (v', u') > 0⟩
    by (metis not-less zero-flow-simp)
  then have cf (u', v') > 0 unfolding cf-def
    using no-parallel-edge ⟨f (v', u') > 0⟩ by (auto split: if-split)
  thus ?thesis unfolding cf.E-def by simp
qed
ultimately have cf.isPath u (p1 @ [(u', v')]) v'
  using Graph.isPath-append-edge Graph.isSimplePath-def by blast
then obtain p2 where cf.isSimplePath u p2 v'
  using cf.isSPath-pathLE by blast
then have v' ∈ U using U-def by auto
thus False using ⟨v' ∈ U'⟩ and U'-def by simp
qed
then obtain p' where cf.isSimplePath u p' s using U-def by auto
thus ?thesis ..
qed

```

Relabel operations preserve the height bound [Cormen 26.20].

```

lemma relabel-pres-height-bound:
  assumes relabel-precond f l u
  shows Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f (relabel-effect f l u)
proof -
  let ?l' = relabel-effect f l u

  from relabel-pres-Labeling[OF assms]
  interpret l': Labeling c s t f ?l' .

  from assms have excess f u > 0 unfolding relabel-precond-def by auto
  with l'.excess-imp-source-path obtain p where p-obt: cf.isSimplePath u p s .

  have u ∈ V using excess-nodes-only ⟨excess f u > 0⟩ .
  then have length p < card V
    using cf.simplePath-length-less-V[of u p] p-obt by auto
  moreover have ?l' u ≤ ?l' s + length p
    using p-obt l'.gen-valid[of u p s] p-obt
    unfolding cf.isSimplePath-def by auto
  moreover have ?l' s = card V
    using l'.Labeling-axioms Labeling-def Labeling-axioms-def by auto
  ultimately have ?l' u ≤ 2*card V - 1 by auto
  thus Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f ?l'
    apply unfold-locales
    using height-bound relabel-preserve-other
    by metis
qed

```

Thus, the total number of relabel operations is bounded by $O(V^2)$ [Cor-men 26.21].

We express this bound by defining a measure function, and show that it is decreased by relabel operations.

definition (in Network) *sum-heights-measure* $l \equiv \sum_{v \in V} 2 * \text{card } V - l v$

corollary *relabel-measure*:

assumes *relabel-precond* $f l u$

shows *sum-heights-measure* (*relabel-effect* $f l u$) < *sum-heights-measure* l

proof —

let $?l' = \text{relabel-effect } f l u$

from *relabel-pres-height-bound* [*OF assms*]

interpret $?l'$: *Height-Bounded-Labeling* $c s t f ?l'$.

from *assms* **have** $u \in V$

by (*simp add: excess-nodes-only relabel-precond-def*)

hence *V-split*: $V = \text{insert } u V$ **by** *auto*

show $?thesis$

using *relabel-increase-u* [*OF assms*] *relabel-preserve-other* [*of u*]

using $?l'.height-bound$

unfolding *sum-heights-measure-def*

apply (*rewrite at* $\sum_{v \in V} -$ *V-split*) +

apply (*subst sum.insert-remove* [*OF finite-V*]) +

using $\langle u \in V \rangle$

by *auto*

qed

end — Height Bounded Labeling

lemma (in Network) *sum-height-measure-is-OV2*:

sum-heights-measure $l \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2$

unfolding *sum-heights-measure-def*

proof —

have $2 * \text{card } V - l v \leq 2 * \text{card } V$ **for** v **by** *auto*

then have $(\sum_{v \in V} 2 * \text{card } V - l v) \leq (\sum_{v \in V} 2 * \text{card } V)$

by (*meson sum-mono*)

also have $(\sum_{v \in V} 2 * \text{card } V) = \text{card } V * (2 * \text{card } V)$

using *finite-V* **by** *auto*

finally show $(\sum_{v \in V} 2 * \text{card } V - l v) \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2$

by (*simp add: power2-eq-square*)

qed

2.5.3 Formulation of the Abstract Algorithm

We give a simple relational characterization of the abstract algorithm as a labeled transition system, where the labels indicate the type of operation (push or relabel) that have been executed.

```

context Network
begin

datatype pr-operation = is-PUSH: PUSH | is-RELABEL: RELABEL
inductive-set pr-algo-lts
  :: ('capacity flow × labeling) × pr-operation × ('capacity flow × labeling)) set
where
  push: [[push-precond f l e]]
     $\implies ((f,l), \text{PUSH}, (\text{push-effect } f e, l)) \in \text{pr-algo-lts}$ 
  | relabel: [[relabel-precond f l u]]
     $\implies ((f,l), \text{RELABEL}, (f, \text{relabel-effect } f l u)) \in \text{pr-algo-lts}$ 

```

end — Network

We show invariant maintenance and correctness on termination

```

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) pr-algo-maintains-hb-labeling:
  assumes ((f,l),a,(f',l')) ∈ pr-algo-lts
  shows Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l'
  using assms
  by cases (simp-all add: push-pres-height-bound relabel-pres-height-bound)

```

```

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) pr-algo-term-maxflow:
  assumes (f,l) ∉ Domain pr-algo-lts
  shows isMaxFlow f
proof -
  from assms have  $\nexists e. \text{push-precond } f l e$  and  $\nexists u. \text{relabel-precond } f l u$ 
  by (auto simp: Domain-iff dest: pr-algo-lts.intros)
  with push-relabel-term-imp-maxflow show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

2.5.4 Saturating and Non-Saturating Push Operations

```

context Network
begin

```

For complexity estimation, it is distinguished whether a push operation saturates the edge or not.

```

definition sat-push-precond :: 'capacity flow ⇒ labeling ⇒ edge ⇒ bool
  where sat-push-precond f l
     $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{excess } f u > 0$ 
     $\wedge \text{excess } f u \geq \text{cf-of } f (u,v)$ 
     $\wedge (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f$ 
     $\wedge l u = l v + 1$ 

```

```

definition nonsat-push-precond :: 'capacity flow ⇒ labeling ⇒ edge ⇒ bool
  where nonsat-push-precond f l
     $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{excess } f u > 0$ 
     $\wedge \text{excess } f u < \text{cf-of } f (u,v)$ 

```

```

 $\wedge (u,v) \in cfE\text{-}of f$ 
 $\wedge l u = l v + 1$ 

lemma push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat:
  push-precond f l e  $\longleftrightarrow$  sat-push-precond f l e  $\vee$  nonsat-push-precond f l e
  unfolding push-precond-def sat-push-precond-def nonsat-push-precond-def
  by auto

lemma sat-nonsat-push-disj:
  sat-push-precond f l e  $\implies \neg$  nonsat-push-precond f l e
  nonsat-push-precond f l e  $\implies \neg$  sat-push-precond f l e
  unfolding sat-push-precond-def nonsat-push-precond-def
  by auto

lemma sat-push-alt: sat-push-precond f l e
   $\implies$  push-effect f e = augment-edge f e (cf-of f e)
  unfolding push-effect-def push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat sat-push-precond-def
  by (auto simp: min-absorb2)

lemma nonsat-push-alt: nonsat-push-precond f l (u,v)
   $\implies$  push-effect f (u,v) = augment-edge f (u,v) (excess f u)
  unfolding push-effect-def push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat nonsat-push-precond-def
  by (auto simp: min-absorb1)

end — Network

context push-effect-locale
begin
  lemma nonsat-push-Δ: nonsat-push-precond f l (u,v)  $\implies \Delta = excess f u$ 
    unfolding Δ-def nonsat-push-precond-def by auto
  lemma sat-push-Δ: sat-push-precond f l (u,v)  $\implies \Delta = cf (u,v)
    unfolding Δ-def sat-push-precond-def by auto

end$ 
```

2.5.5 Refined Labeled Transition System

```

context Network
begin

```

For simpler reasoning, we make explicit the different push operations, and integrate the invariant into the LTS

```

datatype pr-operation' =
  is-RELABEL': RELABEL'
  | is-NONSAT-PUSH': NONSAT-PUSH'
  | is-SAT-PUSH': SAT-PUSH' edge

inductive-set pr-algo-lts' where

```

```

nonsat-push': [[Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l; nonsat-push-precond f l e]]
  ==> ((f,l),NONSAT-PUSH',(push-effect f e,l)) ∈ pr-algo-lts'
| sat-push': [[Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l; sat-push-precond f l e]]
  ==> ((f,l),SAT-PUSH' e,(push-effect f e,l)) ∈ pr-algo-lts'
| relabel': [[Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l; relabel-precond f l u ]]
  ==> ((f,l),RELABEL',(f,relabel-effect f l u)) ∈ pr-algo-lts'

fun project-operation where
  project-operation RELABEL' = RELABEL
| project-operation NONSAT-PUSH' = PUSH
| project-operation (SAT-PUSH' -) = PUSH

lemma is-RELABEL-project-conv[simp]:
  is-RELABEL ∘ project-operation = is-RELABEL'
  apply (clar simp intro!: ext) subgoal for x by (cases x) auto done

lemma is-PUSH-project-conv[simp]:
  is-PUSH ∘ project-operation = (λx. is-SAT-PUSH' x ∨ is-NONSAT-PUSH' x)
  apply (clar simp intro!: ext) subgoal for x by (cases x) auto done

end — Network

context Height-Bounded-Labeling
begin

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) xfer-run:
  assumes ((f,l),p,(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts
  obtains p' where ((f,l),p',(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
    and p = map project-operation p'
proof —
  have ∃ p'.
    Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l'
    ∧ ((f,l),p',(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
    ∧ p = map project-operation p'
    using assms
  proof (induction p arbitrary: f' l' rule: rev-induct)
    case Nil thus ?case using Height-Bounded-Labeling-axioms by simp
  next
    case (snoc a p)
    from snoc.prems obtain fh lh
      where PP: ((f, l), p, fh, lh) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
        and LAST: ((fh,lh),a,(f',l')) ∈ pr-algo-lts
      by (auto dest!: trcl-rev-uncons)

    from snoc.IH[OF PP] obtain p'
    where HBL: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t fh lh
      and PP': ((f, l), p', fh, lh) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
      and [simp]: p = map project-operation p'
    by blast

```

```

from LAST obtain a'
  where LAST': ((fh,lh),a',(f',l')) ∈ pr-algo-lts'
    and [simp]: a = project-operation a'
  apply cases
  by (auto
    simp: push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat
    dest: relabel'[OF HBL] nonsat-push'[OF HBL] sat-push'[OF HBL])

note HBL' =
  Height-Bounded-Labeling.pr-algo-maintains-hb-labeling[OF HBL LAST]

  from HBL' trcl-rev-cons[OF PP' LAST'] show ?case by auto
  qed
  with assms that show ?thesis by blast
  qed

lemma xfer-relabel-bound:
  assumes BOUND:  $\forall p'. ((f,l),p',(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
     $\rightarrow \text{length}(\text{filter is-RELABEL}' p') \leq B$ 
  assumes RUN:  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ 
  shows length(filter is-RELABEL p)  $\leq B$ 

proof -
  from xfer-run[OF RUN] obtain p'
    where RUN':  $((f,l),p',(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
      and [simp]: p = map project-operation p'.

  have length(filter is-RELABEL p) = length(filter is-RELABEL' p')
    by simp
  also from BOUND[rule-format, OF RUN']
  have length(filter is-RELABEL' p')  $\leq B$  .
  finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma xfer-push-bounds:
  assumes BOUND-SAT:  $\forall p'. ((f,l),p',(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
     $\rightarrow \text{length}(\text{filter is-SAT-PUSH}' p') \leq B1$ 
  assumes BOUND-NONSAT:  $\forall p'. ((f,l),p',(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
     $\rightarrow \text{length}(\text{filter is-NONSAT-PUSH}' p') \leq B2$ 
  assumes RUN:  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ 
  shows length(filter is-PUSH p)  $\leq B1 + B2$ 

proof -
  from xfer-run[OF RUN] obtain p'
    where RUN':  $((f,l),p',(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
      and [simp]: p = map project-operation p'.

  have [simp]: length[x ← p'. is-SAT-PUSH' x ∨ is-NONSAT-PUSH' x]
    = length(filter is-SAT-PUSH' p') + length(filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p')
  by (induction p') auto

```

```

have length (filter is-PUSH p)
  = length (filter is-SAT-PUSH' p') + length (filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p')
  by simp
also note BOUND-SAT[rule-format,OF RUN']
also note BOUND-NONSAT[rule-format,OF RUN']
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

end — Height Bounded Labeling

2.5.6 Bounding the Relabel Operations

```

lemma (in Network) relabel-action-bound':
  assumes A: (fxl,p,fxl') ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
  shows length (filter (is-RELABEL') p) ≤ 2 * (card V)2
proof –
  from A have length (filter (is-RELABEL') p) ≤ sum-heights-measure (snd fxl)
    apply (induction rule: trcl.induct)
    apply (auto elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases)
    apply (drule (1) Height-Bounded-Labeling.relabel-measure)
    apply auto
    done
  also note sum-height-measure-is-OV2
  finally show length (filter (is-RELABEL') p) ≤ 2 * (card V)2 .
qed

```

```

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) relabel-action-bound:
  assumes A: ((f,l),p,(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts
  shows length (filter (is-RELABEL) p) ≤ 2 * (card V)2
  using xfer-relabel-bound relabel-action-bound' A by meson

```

2.5.7 Bounding the Saturating Push Operations

```

context Network
begin

```

The basic idea is to estimate the saturating push operations per edge: After a saturating push, the edge disappears from the residual graph. It can only re-appear due to a push over the reverse edge, which requires relabeling of the nodes.

The estimation in [Cormen 26.22] uses the same idea. However, it invests some extra work in getting a more precise constant factor by counting the pushes for an edge and its reverse edge together.

```

lemma labels-path-increasing:
  assumes ((f,l),p,(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
  shows l u ≤ l' u

```

```

using assms
proof (induction p arbitrary: f l)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a p)
  then obtain fh lh
    where FIRST: ((f,l),a,(fh,lh)) ∈ pr-algo-lts'
    and PP: ((fh,lh),p,(f',l')): trcl pr-algo-lts'
    by (auto simp: trcl-conv)

from FIRST interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
  by cases auto

from FIRST Cons.IH[OF PP] show ?case
  apply (auto elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases)
  using relabel-increase-u relabel-preserve-other
  by (metis le-trans nat-le-linear not-less)
qed

lemma edge-reappears-at-increased-labeling:
  assumes ((f,l),p,(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
  assumes l u ≥ l v + 1
  assumes (u,v) ∉ cfE-of f
  assumes E': (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f'
  shows l v < l' v
  using assms(1–3)
proof (induction p arbitrary: f l)
  case Nil thus ?case using E' by auto
next
  case (Cons a p)
  then obtain fh lh
    where FIRST: ((f,l),a,(fh,lh)) ∈ pr-algo-lts'
    and PP: ((fh,lh),p,(f',l')): trcl pr-algo-lts'
    by (auto simp: trcl-conv)

from FIRST interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
  by cases auto

consider
  (push) u' v'
  where push-precond f l (u',v')    fh = push-effect f (u',v')    lh=l
  | (relabel) u'
    where relabel-precond f l u'    fh=f    lh=relabel-effect f l u'
  using FIRST
  by (auto elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases simp: push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat)
  then show ?case proof cases
    case push
    note [simp] = push(2,3)

```

The push operation cannot go on edge (u,v) or (v,u)

```

from push(1) have  $(u',v') \neq (u,v)$   $(u',v') \neq (v,u)$   $(u',v') \in cf.E$ 
  using  $\langle l u \geq l v + 1 \rangle \langle (u,v) \notin cf.E \rangle$ 
  by (auto simp: push-precond-def)
hence  $NE': (u,v) \notin cf.E$ -of  $f h$  using  $\langle (u,v) \notin cf.E \rangle$ 
  using  $cfE\text{-augment-ss}[of u' v' f]$ 
  by (auto simp: push-effect-def)
from Cons.IH[ $OF PP - NE'$ ]  $\langle l u \geq l v + 1 \rangle$  show ?thesis by simp
next
  case relabel
  note [simp] = relabel(2)

  show ?thesis
  proof (cases  $u'=v$ )
    case False
    from False relabel(3) relabel-preserve-other have [simp]:  $lh v = l v$ 
      by auto
    from False relabel(3)
      relabel-preserve-other relabel-increase-u[ $OF relabel(1)$ ]
    have  $lh u \geq l u$  by (cases  $u'=u$ ) auto
    with  $\langle l u \geq l v + 1 \rangle$  have LHG:  $lh u \geq lh v + 1$  by auto

    from Cons.IH[ $OF PP LHG$ ]  $\langle (u,v) \notin cf.E \rangle$  show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case True
    note [simp] = relabel(3)
    from True relabel-increase-u[ $OF relabel(1)$ ]
    have  $l v < lh v$  by simp
    also note labels-path-increasing[ $OF PP$ , of  $v$ ]
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  qed
qed

lemma sat-push-edge-action-bound':
  assumes  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in trcl pr-algo-lts'$ 
  shows length (filter (op = (SAT-PUSH' e)) p)  $\leq 2 * card V$ 
proof -
  obtain u v where [simp]:  $e = (u,v)$  by (cases e)

  have length (filter (op = (SAT-PUSH' (u,v))) p)  $\leq 2 * card V - l v$ 
    if  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in trcl pr-algo-lts'$  for p
    using that
  proof (induction p arbitrary: f l rule: length-induct)
    case (1 p) thus ?case
    proof (cases p)
      case Nil thus ?thesis by auto
    next
      case [simp]: ( $Cons a p'$ )
        from 1.prems obtain fh lh

```

where FIRST: $((f,l),a,(fh,lh)) \in pr\text{-algo-lts}'$
and PP: $((fh,lh),p',(f',l')) \in trcl\ pr\text{-algo-lts}'$
by (auto dest!: trcl-uncons)

```

from FIRST interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
by cases auto

show ?thesis
proof (cases a = SAT-PUSH' (u,v))
  case [simp]: False
  from 1.IH PP have
    length (filter (op = (SAT-PUSH' (u, v))) p')
     $\leq 2 * \text{card } V - lh v$ 
    by auto
  with FIRST show ?thesis
    apply (cases; clar simp)
  proof -
    fix ua :: nat
    assume a1: length (filter (op = (SAT-PUSH' (u, v))) p')
     $\leq 2 * \text{card } V - \text{relabel-effect } f l ua v$ 
    assume a2: relabel-precond f l ua
    have  $2 * \text{card } V - \text{relabel-effect } f l ua v \leq 2 * \text{card } V - l v$ 
     $\rightarrow \text{length} (\text{filter} (\text{op} = (\text{SAT-PUSH}' (u, v))) p') \leq 2 * \text{card } V - l v$ 
    using a1 order-trans by blast
    then show length (filter (op = (SAT-PUSH' (u, v))) p')
     $\leq 2 * \text{card } V - l v$ 
    using a2 a1 by (metis (no-types) Labeling.relabel-increase-u
      Labeling-axioms diff-le-mono2 nat-less-le
      relabel-preserve-other)
  qed
next
  case [simp]: True

  from FIRST have
    [simp]: fh = push-effect f (u,v) lh = l
    and PRE: sat-push-precond f l (u,v)
    by (auto elim !: pr-algo-lts'.cases)

  from PRE have  $(u,v) \in cf.E \quad l u = l v + 1$ 
  unfolding sat-push-precond-def by auto
  hence  $u \in V \quad v \in V \quad u \neq v$  using cfE-ss-invE E-ss-VxV by auto

  have UVNEH:  $(u,v) \notin cf.E \text{ of } fh$ 
  using ⟨u≠v⟩
  apply (simp
    add: sat-push-alt[OF PRE] augment-edge-cf'[OF ⟨(u,v) ∈ cf.E⟩])
  unfolding Graph.E-def by simp

```

```

show ?thesis
proof (cases SAT-PUSH' (u,v) ∈ set p')
  case False
  hence [simp]: filter (op = (SAT-PUSH' (u,v))) p' = []
    by (induction p') auto
  show ?thesis
    using bspec[OF height-bound ‹u∈V›]
    using bspec[OF height-bound ‹v∈V›]
    using card-V-ge2
    by simp
next
  case True
  then obtain p1 p2
    where [simp]: p' = p1 @ SAT-PUSH' (u,v) # p2
      and NP1: SAT-PUSH' (u,v) ∉ set p1
    using in-set-conv-decomp-first[of - p'] by auto

  from NP1 have [simp]: filter (op = (SAT-PUSH' (u,v))) p1 = []
    by (induction p1) auto

  from PP obtain f2 l2 f3 l3
    where P1: ((fh,lh),p1,(f2,l2)) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
      and S: ((f2,l2),SAT-PUSH' (u,v),(f3,l3)) ∈ pr-algo-lts'
      and P2: ((f3,l3),p2,(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
      by (auto simp: trcl-conv)
  from S have (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f2 and [simp]: l3 = l2
    by (auto elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases simp: sat-push-precond-def)
  with edge-reappears-at-increased-labeling[OF P1 - UVNEH]
    ⟨l u = l v + 1⟩
  have AUX1: l v < l2 v by auto

  from S interpret l2: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f2 l2
    by (auto elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases)

  from spec[OF 1.IH, of SAT-PUSH' (u,v) # p2] S P2 have
    Suc (length (filter (op = (SAT-PUSH' (u, v))) p2))
    ≤ 2 * card V - l2 v
    by (auto simp: trcl-conv)
  also have ... + 1 ≤ 2 * card V - l v
    using AUX1
    using bspec[OF l2.height-bound ‹u∈V›]
    using bspec[OF l2.height-bound ‹v∈V›]
    by auto
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

thus ?thesis using assms by fastforce
qed

lemma sat-push-action-bound':
assumes A:  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
shows length (filter is-SAT-PUSH' p)  $\leq 4 * \text{card } V * \text{card } E$ 
proof -
from A have IN-E:  $e \in E \cup E^{-1}$  if SAT-PUSH' e in set p for e
using that cfE-of-ss-invE
apply (induction p arbitrary: f l)
apply (auto
  simp: trcl-conv sat-push-precond-def
  elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases
  ; blast)+
done

have AUX: length (filter ( $\lambda a. \exists e \in S. a = \text{SAT-PUSH}' e$ ) p)
=  $(\sum_{e \in S} \text{length} (\text{filter} (\text{op} = (\text{SAT-PUSH}' e)) p))$  if finite S for S
using that
apply induction
apply simp
apply clarsimp
apply (subst length-filter-disj-or-conv;clarsimp)
apply (fo-rule arg-cong)
subgoal premises by (induction p) auto
done

have is-SAT-PUSH' a =  $(\exists e \in E \cup E^{-1}. a = \text{SAT-PUSH}' e)$  if a in set p for a
using IN-E that by (cases a) auto
hence length (filter is-SAT-PUSH' p)
= length (filter ( $\lambda a. \exists e \in E \cup E^{-1}. a = \text{SAT-PUSH}' e$ ) p)
by (auto cong: filter-cong)
also have ... =  $(\sum_{e \in E \cup E^{-1}} \text{length} (\text{filter} (\text{op} = (\text{SAT-PUSH}' e)) p))$ 
by (auto simp: AUX)
also have ...  $\leq (\sum_{i \in E \cup E^{-1}} 2 * \text{card } V)$ 
using sum-mono[OF sat-push-edge-action-bound'[OF A], where K=E ∪ E-1] .
also have ...  $\leq 4 * \text{card } V * \text{card } E$  using card-Un-le[of E E-1] by simp
finally show length (filter is-SAT-PUSH' p)  $\leq 4 * \text{card } V * \text{card } E$  .
qed

end — Network

```

2.5.8 Bounding the Non-Saturating Push Operations

For estimating the number of non-saturating push operations, we define a potential function that is the sum of the labels of all active nodes, and examine the effect of the operations on this potential:

- A non-saturating push deactivates the source node and may activate

the target node. As the source node's label is higher, the potential decreases.

- A saturating push may activate a node, thus increasing the potential by $O(V)$.
- A relabel operation may increase the potential by $O(V)$.

As there are at most $O(V^2)$ relabel and $O(VE)$ saturating push operations, the above bounds suffice to yield an $O(V^2E)$ bound for the non-saturating push operations.

This argumentation corresponds to [Cormen 26.23].

Sum of heights of all active nodes

definition (in Network) *nonsat-potential* $f l \equiv \text{sum } l \{v \in V. \text{excess } f v > 0\}$

context *Height-Bounded-Labeling*
begin

The potential does not exceed $O(V^2)$.

```

lemma nonsat-potential-bound:
  shows nonsat-potential  $f l \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2$ 
proof -
  have nonsat-potential  $f l = (\sum_{v \in \{v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\}} l v)$ 
    unfolding nonsat-potential-def by auto
  also have ...  $\leq (\sum_{v \in V. l v})$ 
  proof -
    have  $f1 : \{v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\} \subseteq V$  by auto
    thus ?thesis using sum.subset-diff[OF  $f1$  finite- $V$ , of  $l$ ] by auto
  qed
  also have ...  $\leq (\sum_{v \in V. 2 * \text{card } V - 1})$ 
    using height-bound by (meson sum-mono)
  also have ...  $= \text{card } V * (2 * \text{card } V - 1)$  by auto
  also have  $\text{card } V * (2 * \text{card } V - 1) \leq 2 * \text{card } V * \text{card } V$  by auto
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: power2-eq-square)
qed

```

A non-saturating push decreases the potential.

```

lemma nonsat-push-decr-nonsat-potential:
  assumes nonsat-push-precond  $f l e$ 
  shows nonsat-potential (push-effect  $f e$ )  $l < \text{nonsat-potential } f l$ 
proof (cases  $e$ )
  case [simp]: (Pair  $u v$ )
    show ?thesis
    proof simp
    interpret push-effect-locale  $c s t f l u v$ 
    apply unfold-locales using assms

```

```

by (simp add: push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat)

note [simp] = nonsat-push- $\Delta$ [OF assms[simplified]]

define S where S={x∈V. x≠u ∧ x≠v ∧ 0<excess f x}
have S-alt: S = {x∈V. x≠u ∧ x≠v ∧ 0<excess f' x}
  unfolding S-def by auto

have NES: s∉S u∉S v∉S
  and [simp, intro!]: finite S
  unfolding S-def using excess-s-non-pos
  by auto

have 1: {v∈V. 0 < excess f' v} = (if s=v then S else insert v S)
  unfolding S-alt
  using excess-u-pos excess-non-negative' l'.excess-s-non-pos
  by (auto intro!: add-nonneg-pos)

have 2: {v∈V. 0 < excess f v}
  = insert u S ∪ (if excess f v>0 then {v} else {})
  unfolding S-def using excess-u-pos by auto

show nonsat-potential f' l < nonsat-potential f l
  unfolding nonsat-potential-def 1 2
  by (cases s=v; cases 0<excess f v; auto simp: NES)
qed
qed

```

A saturating push increases the potential by $O(V)$.

```

lemma sat-push-nonsat-potential:
  assumes PRE: sat-push-precond f l e
  shows nonsat-potential (push-effect f e) l
    ≤ nonsat-potential f l + 2 * card V
proof –
  obtain u v where [simp]: e = (u, v) by (cases e) auto

  interpret push-effect-locale c s t f l u v
  using PRE
  by unfold-locales (simp add: push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat)

  have [simp, intro!]: finite {v∈V. excess f v > 0}
  by auto

```

Only target node may get activated

```

have {v∈V. excess f' v > 0} ⊆ insert v {v∈V. excess f v > 0}
  using Δ-positive
  by (auto simp: excess'-if)

```

Thus, potential increases by at most $l v$

```

with sum-mono2[OF - this, of l]
have nonsat-potential  $f' l \leq \text{nonsat-potential } f l + l v$ 
  unfolding nonsat-potential-def
  by (auto simp: sum.insert-if-split: if-splits)

```

Which is bounded by $O(V)$

```

also note height-bound'[of v]
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

A relabeling increases the potential by at most $O(V)$

```

lemma relabel-nonsat-potential:
  assumes PRE: relabel-precond  $f l u$ 
  shows nonsat-potential  $f$  (relabel-effect  $f l u$ )
     $\leq \text{nonsat-potential } f l + 2 * \text{card } V$ 
proof -
  have [simp, intro!]: finite { $v \in V$ . excess  $f v > 0$ }
    by auto

```

```

let ?l' = relabel-effect  $f l u$ 

```

```

interpret l': Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f ?l'
  using relabel-pres-height-bound[OF assms] .

```

```

from PRE have U-ACTIVE:  $u \in \{v \in V. \text{excess } f v > 0\}$  and [simp]:  $u \in V$ 
  unfolding relabel-precond-def using excess-nodes-only
  by auto

```

```

have nonsat-potential  $f$  ?l'
   $= \text{sum } ?l' (\{v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\} - \{u\}) + ?l' u$ 
  unfolding nonsat-potential-def
  using U-ACTIVE by (auto intro: sum-ARB)
  also have sum ?l' (\{ $v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\} - \{u\}\})
     $= \text{sum } l (\{v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\} - \{u\})$ 
    using relabel-preserve-other by auto
  also have ?l' u  $\leq l u + 2 * \text{card } V$ 
    using l'.height-bound'[OF <u∈V>] by auto
  finally have nonsat-potential  $f$  ?l'
     $\leq \text{sum } l (\{v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\} - \{u\}) + l u + 2 * \text{card } V$ 
    by auto
  also have sum l (\{ $v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\} - \{u\}\}) + l u
     $= \text{nonsat-potential } f l$ 
    unfolding nonsat-potential-def
    using U-ACTIVE by (auto intro: sum-ARB[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed$$ 
```

end — Height Bounded Labeling

```

context Network
begin

lemma nonsat-push-action-bound':
  assumes A:  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
  shows length (filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p)  $\leq 18 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
  proof -
    have B1: length (filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p)
       $\leq \text{nonsat-potential } f l$ 
       $+ 2 * \text{card } V * (\text{length } (\text{filter is-SAT-PUSH}' p))$ 
       $+ 2 * \text{card } V * (\text{length } (\text{filter is-RELABEL}' p))$ 
    using A
    proof (induction p arbitrary: f l)
      case Nil thus ?case by auto
    next
      case [simp]: (Cons a p)
        then obtain fh lh
        where FIRST:  $((f,l),a,(fh,lh)) \in \text{pr-algo-lts}'$ 
        and PP:  $((fh,lh),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
        by (auto simp: trcl-conv)
        note IH = Cons.IH[OF PP]

      from FIRST interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
      by cases auto

      show ?case using FIRST IH
        apply (cases a)
        apply (auto
          elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases
          dest!: relabel-nonsat-potential nonsat-push-decr-nonsat-potential
          dest!: sat-push-nonsat-potential
        )
        done
    qed

show ?thesis proof (cases p)
  case Nil thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case (Cons a' p')
  then interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l using A
  by (auto simp: trcl-conv elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases)
  note B1
  also note nonsat-potential-bound
  also note sat-push-action-bound'[OF A]
  also note relabel-action-bound'[OF A]
  finally have length (filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p)

```

```

 $\leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2 + 8 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E + 4 * (\text{card } V)^3$ 
  by (simp add: power2-eq-square power3-eq-cube)
also have  $(\text{card } V)^3 \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
  by (simp add: card-V-est-E power2-eq-square power3-eq-cube)
finally have length (filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p)
   $\leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2 + 16 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
  by linarith
also have  $2 * (\text{card } V)^2 \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$  by auto
finally show length (filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p)  $\leq 18 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
  by linarith
qed
qed

```

end — Network

2.5.9 Assembling the Final Theorem

We combine the bounds for saturating and non-saturating push operations.

```

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) push-action-bound:
assumes A:  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ 
shows length (filter (is-PUSH) p)  $\leq 22 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
apply (rule order-trans[OF xfer-push-bounds[OF - - A]]; (intro allI impI)?)
  apply (erule sat-push-action-bound'; fail)
  apply (erule nonsat-push-action-bound'; fail)
  apply (auto simp: power2-eq-square)
done

```

We estimate the cost of a push by $O(1)$, and of a relabel operation by $O(V)$

```

fun (in Network) cost-estimate :: pr-operation  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
cost-estimate RELABEL = card V
| cost-estimate PUSH = 1

```

We show the complexity bound of $O(V^2E)$ when starting from any valid labeling [Cormen 26.24].

```

theorem (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) pr-algo-cost-bound:
assumes A:  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ 
shows  $(\sum a \leftarrow p. \text{cost-estimate } a) \leq 26 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
proof -
have  $(\sum a \leftarrow p. \text{cost-estimate } a)$ 
   $= \text{card } V * \text{length} (\text{filter is-RELABEL } p) + \text{length} (\text{filter is-PUSH } p)$ 
proof (induction p)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a p)
  then show ?case by (cases a) auto
qed
also have  $\text{card } V * \text{length} (\text{filter is-RELABEL } p) \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^3$ 

```

```

using relabel-action-bound[OF A]
by (auto simp: power2-eq-square power3-eq-cube)
also note push-action-bound[OF A]
finally have sum-list (map cost-estimate p)
   $\leq 2 * \text{card } V ^ 3 + 22 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
by simp
also have ( $\text{card } V$ ) $^3 \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
by (simp add: card-V-est-E power2-eq-square power3-eq-cube)
finally show ?thesis by linarith
qed

```

2.6 Main Theorem: Correctness and Complexity

Finally, we state the main theorem of this section: If the algorithm executes some steps from the beginning, then

1. If no further steps are possible from the reached state, we have computed a maximum flow [Cormen 26.18].
2. The cost of these steps is bounded by $O(V^2E)$ [Cormen 26.24]. Note that this also implies termination.

```

theorem (in Network) generic-preflow-push-OV2E-and-correct:
assumes A: ((pp-init-f, pp-init-l), p, (f, l))  $\in$  trcl pr-algo-lts
shows ( $\sum x \leftarrow p. \text{cost-estimate } x\right) \leq 26 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E (is ?G1)
  and (f,l) $\notin$  Domain pr-algo-lts  $\longrightarrow$  isMaxFlow f (is ?G2)
proof -
  show ?G1
    using pp-init-height-bound Height-Bounded-Labeling.pr-algo-cost-bound A
    by blast

  show ?G2
  proof -
    from A interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
    apply (induction p arbitrary: f l rule: rev-induct)
    apply (auto
      simp: pp-init-height-bound trcl-conv
      intro: Height-Bounded-Labeling.pr-algo-maintains-hb-labeling)
    done
    from pr-algo-term-maxflow show ?G2 by simp
  qed
qed$ 
```

2.7 Convenience Tools for Implementation

```

context Network
begin

```

In order to show termination of the algorithm, we only need a well-founded relation over push and relabel steps

```

inductive-set pr-algo-rel where
  push: [[Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l; push-precond f l e]]
     $\implies ((\text{push-effect } f e, l), (f, l)) \in \text{pr-algo-rel}$ 
  | relabel: [[Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l; relabel-precond f l u]]
     $\implies ((f, \text{relabel-effect } f l u), (f, l)) \in \text{pr-algo-rel}$ 

lemma pr-algo-rel-alt: pr-algo-rel =
  { ((push-effect f e, l), (f, l)) | f e l.
    Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l  $\wedge$  push-precond f l e }
   $\cup$  { ((f, relabel-effect f l u), (f, l)) | f u l.
    Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l  $\wedge$  relabel-precond f l u }
  by (auto elim!: pr-algo-rel.cases intro: pr-algo-rel.intros)

definition pr-algo-len-bound  $\equiv$  2 * (card V)2 + 22 * (card V)2 * card E

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) pr-algo-lts-length-bound:
  assumes A: ((f, l), p, (f', l'))  $\in$  trcl pr-algo-lts
  shows length p  $\leq$  pr-algo-len-bound
  proof -
    have length p = length (filter is-PUSH p) + length (filter is-RELABEL p)
    proof (induction p)
      case Nil then show ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons a p) then show ?case by (cases a) auto
    qed
    also note push-action-bound[OF A]
    also note relabel-action-bound[OF A]
    finally show ?thesis unfolding pr-algo-len-bound-def by simp
  qed

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) path-set-finite:
  finite {p.  $\exists f' l'. ((f, l), p, (f', l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ }
  proof -
    have FIN-OPS: finite (UNIV::pr-operation set)
    apply (rule finite-subset[where B={PUSH, RELABEL}])
    using pr-operation.exhaust by auto

    have {p.  $\exists f' l'. ((f, l), p, (f', l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ }
       $\subseteq \{p. \text{length } p \leq \text{pr-algo-len-bound}\}$ 
      by (auto simp: pr-algo-lts-length-bound)
    also note finite-lists-length-le[OF FIN-OPS, simplified]
    finally (finite-subset) show ?thesis .
  qed

definition pr-algo-measure
   $\equiv \lambda(f, l). \text{Max} \{ \text{length } p \mid p. \exists aa ba. ((f, l), p, aa, ba) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts} \}$ 

```

```

lemma pr-algo-measure:
  assumes (fl',fl) ∈ pr-algo-rel
  shows pr-algo-measure fl' < pr-algo-measure fl
  using assms
proof (cases fl'; cases fl; simp)
  fix f l f' l'
  assume A: ((f',l'),(f,l)) ∈ pr-algo-rel
  then obtain a where LTS-STEP: ((f,l),a,(f',l')) ∈ pr-algo-lts
    by cases (auto intro: pr-algo-lts.intros)

from A interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l by cases auto
from pr-algo-maintains-hb-labeling[OF LTS-STEP]
interpret f': Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l' .

let ?S1 = {length p | p. ∃fx lx. ((f, l), p, fx, lx) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts}
let ?S2 = {length p | p. ∃fx lx. ((f', l'), p, fx, lx) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts}

have finite ?S1 using finite-image-set path-set-finite by blast
moreover have ?S1 ≠ {} by (auto intro: exI[where x=()])
ultimately obtain p fx lx where
  length p = Max ?S1
  ((f, l), p, fx, lx) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts
  apply -
  apply (drule (1) Max-in)
  by auto

have finite ?S2 using finite-image-set f'.path-set-finite by blast
have ?S2 ≠ {} by (auto intro: exI[where x=()])
{
  assume MG: Max ?S2 ≥ Max ?S1

from Max-in[OF ⟨finite ?S2⟩ ⟨?S2≠{}⟩] obtain p fx lx where
  length p = Max ?S2
  ((f', l'), p, fx, lx) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts
  by auto
with MG LTS-STEP have
  LEN: length (a#p) > Max ?S1
  and P: ((f,l),a#p,(fx,lx)) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts
  by (auto simp: trcl-conv)
from P have length (a#p) ∈ ?S1 by blast
from Max-ge[OF ⟨finite ?S1⟩ this] LEN have False by simp
} thus pr-algo-measure (f', l') < pr-algo-measure (f, l)
  unfolding pr-algo-measure-def by (rule ccontr) auto
qed

lemma wf-pr-algo-rel[simp, intro!]: wf pr-algo-rel
  apply (rule wf-subset)
  apply (rule wf-measure[where f=pr-algo-measure])

```

```
by (auto simp: pr-algo-measure)
```

```
end — Network
```

2.8 Gap Heuristics

```
context Network
begin
```

If we find a label value k that is assigned to no node, we may relabel all nodes v with $k < l v < \text{card } V$ to $\text{card } V + 1$.

```
definition gap-precond l k ≡ ∀ v ∈ V. l v ≠ k
definition gap-effect l k
  ≡ λv. if k < l v ∧ l v < card V then card V + 1 else l v
```

The gap heuristics preserves a valid labeling.

```
lemma (in Labeling) gap-pres-Labeling:
  assumes PRE: gap-precond l k
  defines l' ≡ gap-effect l k
  shows Labeling c s t f l'
proof
  from lab-src show l' s = card V unfolding l'-def gap-effect-def by auto
  from lab-sink show l' t = 0 unfolding l'-def gap-effect-def by auto
  have l'-incr: l' v ≥ l v for v unfolding l'-def gap-effect-def by auto
  fix u v
  assume A: (u,v) ∈ cf.E
  hence u ∈ V v ∈ V using cfE-ss-invE E-ss-VxV by auto
  thus l' u ≤ l' v + 1
    unfolding l'-def gap-effect-def
    using valid[OF A] PRE
    unfolding gap-precond-def
    by auto
qed
```

The gap heuristics also preserves the height bounds.

```
lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) gap-pres-hb-labeling:
  assumes PRE: gap-precond l k
  defines l' ≡ gap-effect l k
  shows Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l'
proof -
  from gap-pres-Labeling[OF PRE] interpret Labeling c s t f l'
    unfolding l'-def .
  show ?thesis
    apply unfold-locales
    unfolding l'-def gap-effect-def using height-bound by auto
```

qed

We combine the regular relabel operation with the gap heuristics: If relabeling results in a gap, the gap heuristics is applied immediately.

definition *gap-relabel-effect* $f l u \equiv \text{let } l' = \text{relabel-effect } f l u \text{ in}$
 $\quad \text{if } (\text{gap-precond } l' (l u)) \text{ then } \text{gap-effect } l' (l u) \text{ else } l'$

The combined gap-relabel operation preserves a valid labeling.

lemma (in Labeling) *gap-relabel-pres-Labeling*:
assumes *PRE*: *relabel-precond* $f l u$
defines $l' \equiv \text{gap-relabel-effect } f l u$
shows *Labeling* $c s t f l'$
unfolding $l'\text{-def}$ *gap-relabel-effect-def*
using *relabel-pres-Labeling*[*OF PRE*] *Labeling.gap-pres-Labeling*
by (*fastforce simp: Let-def*)

The combined gap-relabel operation preserves the height-bound.

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) *gap-relabel-pres-hb-labeling*:
assumes *PRE*: *relabel-precond* $f l u$
defines $l' \equiv \text{gap-relabel-effect } f l u$
shows *Height-Bounded-Labeling* $c s t f l'$
unfolding $l'\text{-def}$ *gap-relabel-effect-def*
using *relabel-pres-height-bound*[*OF PRE*] *Height-Bounded-Labeling.gap-pres-hb-labeling*
by (*fastforce simp: Let-def*)

2.8.1 Termination with Gap Heuristics

Intuitively, the algorithm with the gap heuristics terminates because relabeling according to the gap heuristics preserves the invariant and increases some labels towards their upper bound.

Formally, the simplest way is to combine a heights measure function with the already established measure for the standard algorithm:

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) *gap-measure*:
assumes *gap-precond* $l k$
shows *sum-heights-measure* (*gap-effect* $l k$) \leq *sum-heights-measure* l
unfolding *gap-effect-def* *sum-heights-measure-def*
by (*auto intro!: sum-mono*)

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) *gap-relabel-measure*:
assumes *PRE*: *relabel-precond* $f l u$
shows *sum-heights-measure* (*gap-relabel-effect* $f l u$) $<$ *sum-heights-measure* l
unfolding *gap-relabel-effect-def*
using *relabel-measure*[*OF PRE*] *relabel-pres-height-bound*[*OF PRE*] *Height-Bounded-Labeling.gap-measure*
by (*fastforce simp: Let-def*)

Analogously to *pr-algo-rel*, we provide a well-founded relation that over-approximates the steps of a push-relabel algorithm with gap heuristics.

```

inductive-set gap-algo-rel where
  push:  $\llbracket \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f l; \text{push-precond } f l e \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies ((\text{push-effect } f e, l), (f, l)) \in \text{gap-algo-rel}$ 
  | relabel:  $\llbracket \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f l; \text{relabel-precond } f l u \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies ((f, \text{gap-relabel-effect } f l u), (f, l)) \in \text{gap-algo-rel}$ 

lemma wf-gap-algo-rel[simp, intro!]: wf gap-algo-rel
proof -
  have gap-algo-rel  $\subseteq \text{inv-image}(\text{less-than} <*\text{lex}* \text{less-than})(\lambda(f, l). (\text{sum-heights-measure } l, \text{pr-algo-measure } (f, l)))$ 
  using pr-algo-measure
  using Height-Bounded-Labeling.gap-relabel-measure
  by (fastforce elim!: gap-algo-rel.cases intro: pr-algo-rel.intros )
  thus ?thesis
  by (rule-tac wf-subset; auto)
qed

end — Network

end
theory Prpu-Common-Inst
imports
  ..../Lib/Refine-Add-Fofu
  Generic-Push-Relabel
begin

context Network
begin
  definition relabel f l u  $\equiv$  do {
    assert (Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l);
    assert (relabel-precond f l u);
    assert (u  $\in$  V - {s, t});
    return (relabel-effect f l u)
  }

  definition gap-relabel f l u  $\equiv$  do {
    assert (u  $\in$  V - {s, t});
    assert (Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l);
    assert (relabel-precond f l u);
    assert (l u < 2 * card V  $\wedge$  relabel-effect f l u u < 2 * card V);
    return (gap-relabel-effect f l u)
  }

  definition push f l  $\equiv$   $\lambda(u, v).$  do {
    assert (push-precond f l (u, v));
    assert (Labeling c s t f l);
    return (push-effect f (u, v))
  }

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

3 Relabel-to-Front Algorithm

```
theory Relabel-To-Front
imports
  ../Lib/Refine-Add-Fofu
  Prpu-Common-Inst
  ../Lib/Graph-Topological-Ordering
begin
```

As an example for an implementation, Cormen et al. discuss the relabel-to-front algorithm. It iterates over a queue of nodes, discharging each node, and putting a node to the front of the queue if it has been relabeled.

3.1 Admissible Network

The admissible network consists of those edges over which we can push flow.

```
context Network
```

```
begin
```

```
definition adm-edges :: 'capacity flow ⇒ (nat⇒nat) ⇒ -
  where adm-edges f l ≡ {(u,v)∈cfE-of f. l u = l v + 1}
```

```
lemma adm-edges-inv-disj: adm-edges f l ∩ (adm-edges f l)-1 = {}
  unfolding adm-edges-def by auto
```

```
lemma finite-adm-edges[simp, intro!]: finite (adm-edges f l)
  apply (rule finite-subset[of - cfE-of f])
  by (auto simp: adm-edges-def)
```

```
end — Network
```

The edge of a push operation is admissible.

```
lemma (in push-effect-locale) uv-adm: (u,v)∈adm-edges f l
  unfolding adm-edges-def by auto
```

A push operation will not create new admissible edges, but the edge that we pushed over may become inadmissible [Cormen 26.27].

```
lemma (in Labeling) push-adm-edges:
  assumes push-precond f l e
  shows adm-edges f l - {e} ⊆ adm-edges (push-effect f e) l (is ?G1)
  and adm-edges (push-effect f e) l ⊆ adm-edges f l (is ?G2)
```

```

proof -
  from assms consider (sat) sat-push-precond f l e
    | (nonsat) nonsat-push-precond f l e
    by (auto simp: push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat)
  hence ?G1 ∧ ?G2
  proof cases
    case sat have adm-edges (push-effect f e) l = adm-edges f l - {e}
      unfolding sat-push-alt[OF sat]
    proof -
      let ?f'=(augment-edge f e (cf e))
      interpret l': Labeling c s t ?f' l
        using push-pres-Labeling[OF assms]
        unfolding sat-push-alt[OF sat] .

      from sat have G1: e ∈ adm-edges f l
        unfolding sat-push-precond-def adm-edges-def by auto

      have l'.cf.E ⊆ insert (prod.swap e) cf.E - {e}    l'.cf.E ⊇ cf.E - {e}
        unfolding l'.cf-def cf-def
        unfolding augment-edge-def residualGraph-def Graph.E-def
        by (auto split!: if-splits prod.splits)
      hence l'.cf.E = insert (prod.swap e) cf.E - {e} ∨ l'.cf.E = cf.E - {e}
        by auto
      thus adm-edges ?f' l = adm-edges f l - {e}
      proof (cases rule: disjE[consumes 1])
        case 1
        from sat have e ∈ adm-edges f l unfolding sat-push-precond-def adm-edges-def
        by auto
        with adm-edges-inv-disj have prod.swap e ∉ adm-edges f l by (auto simp:
          swap-in-iff-inv)
        thus adm-edges ?f' l = adm-edges f l - {e} using G1
          unfolding adm-edges-def 1
          by auto
        next
        case 2
        thus adm-edges ?f' l = adm-edges f l - {e}
          unfolding adm-edges-def 2
          by auto
        qed
      qed
      thus ?thesis by auto
    next
    case nonsat
    hence adm-edges (push-effect f e) l = adm-edges f l
    proof (cases e; simp add: nonsat-push-alt)
      fix u v assume [simp]: e=(u,v)

      let ?f'=(augment-edge f (u,v) (excess f u))

```

```

interpret  $l'$ : Labeling  $c s t ?f' l$ 
  using push-pres-Labeling[OF assms] nonsat-push-alt nonsat
  by auto

from nonsat have  $e \in \text{adm-edges } f l$ 
  unfolding nonsat-push-precond-def adm-edges-def by auto
  with adm-edges-inv-disj have AUX:  $\text{prod.swap } e \notin \text{adm-edges } f l$ 
  by (auto simp: swap-in-iff-inv)

from nonsat have
   $\text{excess } f u < cf(u, v) \quad 0 < \text{excess } f u$ 
  and [simp]:  $l u = l v + 1$ 
  unfolding nonsat-push-precond-def by auto
  hence  $l'.cf.E \subseteq \text{insert}(\text{prod.swap } e) cf.E \quad l'.cf.E \supseteq cf.E$ 
  unfolding  $l'.cf\text{-def}$  cf-def
  unfolding augment-edge-def residualGraph-def Graph.E-def
  apply (safe)
  apply (simp split: if-splits)
  apply (simp split: if-splits)
  subgoal
    by (metis (full-types) capacity-const diff-0-right
          diff-strict-left-mono not-less)
  subgoal
    by (metis add-le-same-cancel1 f-non-negative linorder-not-le)
  done
  hence  $l'.cf.E = \text{insert}(\text{prod.swap } e) cf.E \vee l'.cf.E = cf.E$ 
  by auto
  thus  $\text{adm-edges } ?f' l = \text{adm-edges } f l$  using AUX
    unfolding adm-edges-def
    by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  thus ?G1 ?G2 by auto
qed

```

After a relabel operation, there is at least one admissible edge leaving the relabeled node, but no admissible edges do enter the relabeled node [Cor-men 26.28]. Moreover, the part of the admissible network not adjacent to the relabeled node does not change.

```

lemma (in Labeling) relabel-adm-edges:
  assumes PRE: relabel-precond  $f l u$ 
  defines  $l' \equiv \text{relabel-effect } f l u$ 
  shows  $\text{adm-edges } f l' \cap cf.outgoing u \neq \{\}$  (is ?G1)
  and  $\text{adm-edges } f l' \cap cf.incoming u = \{\}$  (is ?G2)
  and  $\text{adm-edges } f l' - cf.adjacent u = \text{adm-edges } f l - cf.adjacent u$  (is ?G3)
proof -
  from PRE have
    NOT-SINK:  $u \neq t$ 

```

```

and ACTIVE: excess f u > 0
and NO-ADM:  $\bigwedge v. (u,v) \in cf.E \implies l_u \neq l_v + 1$ 
unfolding relabel-precond-def by auto

have NE:  $\{l_v | v. (u, v) \in cf.E\} \neq \{\}$ 
  using active-has-cf-outgoing[OF ACTIVE] cf.outgoing-def by blast
obtain v
  where VUE:  $(u,v) \in cf.E$  and [simp]:  $l_v = \text{Min } \{l_v | v. (u, v) \in cf.E\}$ 
  using Min-in[OF finite-min-cf-outgoing[of u] NE] by auto
  hence  $(u,v) \in \text{adm-edges } f l' \cap cf.outgoing$  u
    unfolding l'-def relabel-effect-def adm-edges-def cf.outgoing-def
    by (auto simp: cf-no-self-loop)
  thus ?G1 by blast

{
  fix uh
  assume  $(uh,u) \in \text{adm-edges } f l'$ 
  hence 1:  $l'uh = l'u + 1$  and UHUE:  $(uh,u) \in cf.E$ 
    unfolding adm-edges-def by auto
  hence  $uh \neq u$  using cf-no-self-loop by auto
  hence [simp]:  $l'uh = luh$  unfolding l'-def relabel-effect-def by simp
  from 1 relabel-increase-u[OF PRE, folded l'-def] have  $luh > lu + 1$ 
    by simp
  with valid[OF UHUE] have False by auto
}
thus ?G2 by (auto simp: cf.incoming-def)

show ?G3
  unfolding adm-edges-def
  by (auto
    simp: l'-def relabel-effect-def cf.adjacent-def
    simp: cf.incoming-def cf.outgoing-def
    split: if-splits)

```

qed

3.2 Neighbor Lists

For each node, the algorithm will cycle through the adjacent edges when discharging. This cycling takes place across the boundaries of discharge operations, i.e. when a node is discharged, discharging will start at the edge where the last discharge operation stopped.

The crucial invariant for the neighbor lists is that already visited edges are not admissible.

Formally, we maintain a function $n :: \text{node} \Rightarrow \text{node set}$ from each node to the set of target nodes of not yet visited edges.

locale neighbor-invar = Height-Bounded-Labeling +

```

fixes n :: node  $\Rightarrow$  node set
assumes neighbors-adm:  $\llbracket v \in \text{adjacent-nodes } u - n \ u \rrbracket \implies (u, v) \notin \text{adm-edges } f$ 
l
assumes neighbors-adj:  $n \ u \subseteq \text{adjacent-nodes } u$ 
assumes neighbors-finite[simp, intro!]: finite (n u)
begin

lemma nbr-is-hbl: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l by unfold-locales

lemma push-pres-nbr-invar:
assumes PRE: push-precond f l e
shows neighbor-invar c s t (push-effect f e) l n
proof (cases e)
case [simp]: (Pair u v)
show ?thesis proof simp
from PRE interpret push-effect-locale c s t f l u v
by unfold-locales simp
from push-pres-height-bound[OF PRE]
interpret l': Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l .

show neighbor-invar c s t f' l n
apply unfold-locales
using push-adm-edges[OF PRE] neighbors-adm neighbors-adj
by auto
qed
qed

lemma relabel-pres-nbr-invar:
assumes PRE: relabel-precond f l u
shows neighbor-invar c s t f (relabel-effect f l u) (n(u:=adjacent-nodes u))
proof –
let ?l' = relabel-effect f l u
from relabel-pres-height-bound[OF PRE]
interpret l': Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f ?l' .

show ?thesis
using neighbors-adj
proof (unfold-locales; clar simp split: if-splits)
fix a b
assume A: a ≠ u    b ∈ adjacent-nodes a    b ∉ n a    (a, b) ∈ adm-edges f ?l'
hence (a, b) ∈ cf.E unfolding adm-edges-def by auto
with A relabel-adm-edges(2,3)[OF PRE] neighbors-adm
show False
apply (auto)
by (smt DiffD2 Diff-triv adm-edges-def cf.incoming-def
mem-Collect-eq prod.simps(2) relabel-preserve-other)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma excess-nz-iff-gz:  $\llbracket u \in V; u \neq s \rrbracket \implies \text{excess } f u \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{excess } f u > 0$ 
using excess-non-negative' by force

lemma no-neighbors-relabel-precond:
assumes  $n u = \{\} \quad u \neq t \quad u \neq s \quad u \in V \quad \text{excess } f u \neq 0$ 
shows relabel-precond  $f l u$ 
using assms neighbors-adm cfE-ss-invE
unfolding relabel-precond-def adm-edges-def
by (auto simp: adjacent-nodes-def excess-nz-iff-gz)

lemma remove-neighbor-pres-nbr-invar:  $(u, v) \notin \text{adm-edges } f l \implies \text{neighbor-invar } c s t f l (n (u := n u - \{v\}))$ 
apply unfold-locales
using neighbors-adm neighbors-adj
by (auto split: if-splits)

end

```

3.3 Discharge Operation

```

context Network
begin

```

The discharge operation performs push and relabel operations on a node until it becomes inactive. The lemmas in this section are based on the ideas described in the proof of [Cormen 26.29].

```

definition discharge  $f l n u \equiv \text{do} \{$ 
  assert ( $u \in V - \{s, t\}$ );
  whileT  $(\lambda(f, l, n). \text{excess } f u \neq 0) (\lambda(f, l, n). \text{do} \{$ 
     $v \leftarrow \text{selectp } v. v \in n u;$ 
    case  $v$  of
      None  $\Rightarrow \text{do} \{$ 
         $l \leftarrow \text{relabel } f l u;$ 
        return  $(f, l, n(u := \text{adjacent-nodes } u))$ 
      }
      | Some  $v \Rightarrow \text{do} \{$ 
        assert ( $v \in V \wedge (u, v) \in E \cup E^{-1}$ );
        if  $((u, v) \in \text{cfE-off} \wedge l u = l v + 1)$  then do {
           $f \leftarrow \text{push } f l (u, v);$ 
          return  $(f, l, n)$ 
        } else do {
          assert ( $(u, v) \notin \text{adm-edges } f l$ );
          return  $(f, l, n(u := n u - \{v\}))$ 
        }
      }
    }
  } (f, l, n)
}

end — Network

```

Invariant for the discharge loop

```

locale discharge-invar =
  neighbor-invar c s t f l n
  + lo: neighbor-invar c s t fo lo no
  for c s t and u :: node and fo lo no f l n +
  assumes lu-incr: lo u ≤ l u
  assumes u-node: u ∈ V - {s, t}
  assumes no-relabel-adm-edges: lo u = l u  $\implies$  adm-edges f l ⊆ adm-edges fo lo
  assumes no-relabel-excess:
     $\llbracket lo u = l u; u \neq v; excess fo v \neq excess f v \rrbracket \implies (u, v) \in adm\text{-}edges fo lo$ 
    assumes adm-edges-leaving-u:  $(u', v) \in adm\text{-}edges f l - adm\text{-}edges fo lo \implies u' = u$ 
    assumes relabel-u-no-incoming-adm: lo u ≠ l u  $\implies (v, u) \notin adm\text{-}edges f l
    assumes algo-rel:  $((f, l), (fo, lo)) \in pr\text{-}algo\text{-}rel^*$ 
begin

lemma u-node-simp1[simp]: u ≠ s u ≠ t s ≠ u t ≠ u using u-node by auto
lemma u-node-simp2[simp, intro!]: u ∈ V using u-node by auto

lemma dis-is-lbl: Labeling c s t f l by unfold-locales
lemma dis-is-hbl: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l by unfold-locales
lemma dis-is-nbr: neighbor-invar c s t f l n by unfold-locales

lemma new-adm-imp-relabel:
   $(u', v) \in adm\text{-}edges f l - adm\text{-}edges fo lo \implies lo u \neq l u$ 
  using no-relabel-adm-edges adm-edges-leaving-u by auto

lemma push-pres-dis-invar:
  assumes PRE: push-precond f l (u, v)
  shows discharge-invar c s t u fo lo no (push-effect f (u, v)) l n
proof -
  from PRE interpret push-effect-locale by unfold-locales

  from push-pres-nbr-invar[OF PRE] interpret neighbor-invar c s t f' l n .

  show discharge-invar c s t u fo lo no f' l n
    apply unfold-locales
    subgoal using lu-incr by auto
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal using no-relabel-adm-edges push-adm-edges(2)[OF PRE] by auto
    subgoal for v' proof -
      assume LOU: lo u = l u
      assume EXNE: excess fo v' ≠ excess f' v'
      assume UNV': u ≠ v'
      {
        assume excess fo v' ≠ excess f v'
        from no-relabel-excess[OF LOU UNV' this] have ?thesis .
      } moreover {
        assume excess fo v' = excess f v'
        with EXNE have excess f v' ≠ excess f' v' by simp
      }$ 
```

```

hence  $v' = v$  using UNV' by (auto simp: excess'-if split: if-splits)
hence ?thesis using no-relabel-adm-edges[OF LOU] uv-adm by auto
} ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
subgoal
  by (meson Diff-iff push-adm-edges(2)[OF PRE] adm-edges-leaving-u sub-
setCE)
subgoal
  using push-adm-edges(2)[OF PRE] relabel-u-no-incoming-adm by blast
subgoal
  using converse-rtrancl-into-rtrancl[
    OF pr-algo-rel.push[OF dis-is-hbl PRE] algo-rel]]
.

done
qed

lemma relabel-pres-dis-invar:
assumes PRE: relabel-precond f l u
shows discharge-invar c s t u fo lo no f
  (relabel-effect f l u) (n(u := adjacent-nodes u))
proof -
  let ?l' = relabel-effect f l u
  let ?n' = n(u := adjacent-nodes u)
  from relabel-pres-nbr-invar[OF PRE]
  interpret l': neighbor-invar c s t f ?l' ?n'.
  note lu-incr
  also note relabel-increase-u[OF PRE]
  finally have INCR: lo u < ?l' u .

  show ?thesis
    apply unfold-locales
    using INCR
    apply simp-all
    subgoal for u' v
      proof clarsimp
        assume IN': (u', v) ∈ adm-edges f ?l'
        and NOT-INO: (u', v) ∉ adm-edges fo lo
        {
          assume IN: (u', v) ∈ adm-edges f l
          with adm-edges-leaving-u NOT-INO have u' = u by auto
        } moreover {
          assume NOT-IN: (u', v) ∉ adm-edges f l
          with IN' relabel-adm-edges[OF PRE] have u' = u
          unfolding cf.incoming-def cf.outgoing-def cf.adjacent-def
          by auto
        } ultimately show ?thesis by blast
      qed
      subgoal

```

```

using relabel-adm-edges(2)[OF PRE]
unfolding adm-edges-def cf.incoming-def
by fastforce
subgoal
  using converse-rtranc1-into-rtranc1[
    OF pr-algo-rel.relabel[OF dis-is-hbl PRE] algo-rel]
  .
  done
qed

lemma push-precondI-nz:
  [excess f u ≠ 0; (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f; l u = l v + 1] ⇒ push-precond f l (u,v)
  unfolding push-precond-def by (auto simp: excess-nz-iff-gz)

lemma remove-neighbor-pres-dis-invar:
  assumes PRE: (u,v) ∉ adm-edges f l
  defines n' ≡ n (u := n u - {v})
  shows discharge-invar c s t u fo lo no f l n'
proof -
  from remove-neighbor-pres-nbr-invar[OF PRE]
  interpret neighbor-invar c s t f l n' unfolding n'-def .
  show ?thesis
    apply unfold-locales
    using lu-incr no-relabel-adm-edges no-relabel-excess adm-edges-leaving-u
      relabel-u-no-incoming-adm algo-rel
    by auto
qed

lemma neighbors-in-V: v ∈ n u ⇒ v ∈ V
  using neighbors-adj[of u] E-ss-VxV unfolding adjacent-nodes-def by auto

lemma neighbors-in-E: v ∈ n u ⇒ (u,v) ∈ E ∪ E⁻¹
  using neighbors-adj[of u] E-ss-VxV unfolding adjacent-nodes-def by auto

lemma relabeled-node-has-outgoing:
  assumes relabel-precond f l u
  shows ∃ v. (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f
  using assms unfolding relabel-precond-def
  using active-has-cf-outgoing unfolding cf.outgoing-def by auto

end

lemma (in neighbor-invar) discharge-invar-init:
  assumes u ∈ V - {s,t}
  shows discharge-invar c s t u f l n f l n
  using assms

```

by *unfold-locales auto*

context *Network begin*

The discharge operation preserves the invariant, and discharges the node.

```

lemma discharge-correct[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
  assumes DINV: neighbor-invar c s t f l n
  assumes NOT-ST:  $u \neq t \quad u \neq s$  and UIV:  $u \in V$ 
  shows discharge f l n u
     $\leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda(f',l',n'). \text{ discharge-invar } c \ s \ t \ u \ f \ l \ n \ f' \ l' \ n')$ 
     $\wedge \text{excess } f' \ u = 0)$ 
  unfolding discharge-def push-def relabel-def
  apply (refine-vcg WHILET-rule[where
     $I = \lambda(f',l',n'). \text{ discharge-invar } c \ s \ t \ u \ f \ l \ n \ f' \ l' \ n'$ 
    and  $R = \text{inv-image } (\text{pr-algo-rel } <*\text{lex}* > \text{ finite-psubset})$ 
     $(\lambda(f',l',n'). ((f',l'),n' \ u))]$ 
  )
  apply (vc-solve
    solve: wf-lex-prod DINV
    solve: neighbor-invar.discharge-invar-init[OF DINV]
    solve: neighbor-invar.no-neighbors-relabel-precond
    solve: discharge-invar.relabel-pres-dis-invar
    solve: discharge-invar.push-pres-dis-invar
    solve: discharge-invar.push-precondI-nz pr-algo-rel.relabel
    solve: pr-algo-rel.push[OF discharge-invar.dis-is-hbl]
    solve: discharge-invar.remove-neighbor-pres-dis-invar
    solve: discharge-invar.neighbors-in-V
    solve: discharge-invar.relabeled-node-has-outgoing
    solve: discharge-invar.dis-is-hbl
    intro: discharge-invar.dis-is-nbr
    solve: discharge-invar.dis-is-lbl
    simp: NOT-ST
    simp: neighbor-invar.neighbors-finite[OF discharge-invar.dis-is-nbr] UIV)
  subgoal by (auto dest: discharge-invar.neighbors-in-E)
  subgoal unfolding adm-edges-def by auto
  subgoal by (auto)
  done

```

end — *Network*

3.4 Main Algorithm

We state the main algorithm and prove its termination and correctness

context *Network*
begin

Initially, all edges are unprocessed.

definition *rtf-init-n u* \equiv *if u* $\in V - \{s,t\} *then adjacent-nodes u else {}*$

```

lemma rtf-init-n-finite[simp, intro!]: finite (rtf-init-n u)
  unfolding rtf-init-n-def
  by auto

lemma init-no-adm-edges[simp]: adm-edges pp-init-f pp-init-l = {}
  unfolding adm-edges-def pp-init-l-def
  using card-V-ge2
  by auto

lemma rtf-init-neighbor-invar:
  neighbor-invar c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l rtf-init-n
proof -
  from pp-init-height-bound
  interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l .

  have [simp]: rtf-init-n u ⊆ adjacent-nodes u for u
    by (auto simp: rtf-init-n-def)

  show ?thesis by unfold-locales auto
qed

definition relabel-to-front ≡ do {
  let f = pp-init-f;
  let l = pp-init-l;
  let n = rtf-init-n;

  let L-left= [];
  L-right ← spec l. distinct l ∧ set l = V - {s,t};

  (f,l,n,L-left,L-right) ← whileT
    (λ(f,l,n,L-left,L-right). L-right ≠ [])
    (λ(f,l,n,L-left,L-right). do {
      let u = hd L-right;
      assert (u ∈ V);
      let old-lu = l u;

      (f,l,n) ← discharge f l n u;

      if (l u ≠ old-lu) then do {
        (* Move u to front of l, and restart scanning L *)
        let (L-left,L-right) = ([u],L-left @ tl L-right);
        return (f,l,n,L-left,L-right)
      } else do {
        (* Goto next node in l *)
        let (L-left,L-right) = (L-left@[u], tl L-right);
        return (f,l,n,L-left,L-right)
      }
    })
}

```

```

}) (f,l,n,L-left,L-right);

assert (neighbor-invar c s t f l n);

return f
}

```

end — Network

Invariant for the main algorithm:

1. Nodes in the queue left of the current node are not active
2. The queue is a topological sort of the admissible network
3. All nodes except source and sink are on the queue

```

locale rtf-invar = neighbor-invar +
fixes L-left L-right :: node list
assumes left-no-excess:  $\forall u \in \text{set } (L\text{-left}). \text{excess } f u = 0$ 
assumes L-sorted: is-top-sorted (adm-edges f l) (L-left @ L-right)
assumes L-set: set L-left  $\cup$  set L-right =  $V - \{s,t\}$ 
begin
lemma rtf-is-nbr: neighbor-invar c s t f l n by unfold-locales

lemma L-distinct: distinct (L-left @ L-right)
using is-top-sorted-distinct[OF L-sorted] .

lemma terminated-imp-maxflow:
assumes [simp]: L-right = []
shows isMaxFlow f
proof -
from L-set left-no-excess have  $\forall u \in V - \{s,t\}. \text{excess } f u = 0$  by auto
with no-excess-imp-maxflow show ?thesis .
qed

end

context Network begin
lemma rtf-init-invar:
assumes DIS: distinct L-left and L-set: set L-left =  $V - \{s,t\}$ 
shows rtf-invar c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l rtf-init-n [] L-left
proof -
from rtf-init-neighbor-invar
interpret neighbor-invar c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l rtf-init-n .
show ?thesis using DIS L-set by unfold-locales auto

```

qed

theorem *relabel-to-front-correct*:

relabel-to-front \leq *SPEC isMaxFlow*

unfolding *relabel-to-front-def*

apply (*rewrite in whileT* - \square *vcg-intro-frame*)

apply (*refine-vcg*

WHILET-rule[where]

$I = \lambda(f, l, n, L-left, L-right). rtf-invar c s t f l n L-left L-right$

and $R = \text{inv-image}$

(*pr-algo-rel*⁺ <*lex*> *less-than*)

($\lambda(f, l, n, L-left, L-right). ((f, l), \text{length } L-right)$)

]

)

apply (*vc-solve simp: rtf-init-inv var rtf-invar.rtf-is-nbr*)

subgoal by (*blast intro: wf-lex-prod wf-trancl*)

subgoal for $- f l n L-left L-right$ **proof** –

assume *rtf-invar c s t f l n L-left L-right*

then interpret *rtf-invar c s t f l n L-left L-right*.

assume *L-right* $\neq []$ **then obtain** *u L-right'*

where [*simp*]: *L-right* = *u # L-right'* **by** (*cases L-right*) *auto*

from *L-set have* [*simp*]: *u* ∈ *V* *u* ≠ *s* *u* ≠ *t* *s* ≠ *u* *t* ≠ *u* **by** *auto*

from *L-distinct have* [*simp*]: *u* ∉ *set L-left* *u* ∉ *set L-right'* **by** *auto*

show ?*thesis*

apply (*rule vcg-rem-frame*)

apply (*rewrite in do {(-,-,-) ← discharge ---; } vcg-intro-frame*)

apply *refine-vcg*

apply (*vc-solve simp: rtf-is-nbr split del: if-split*)

subgoal for *f' l' n'* **proof** –

assume *discharge-inv var c s t u f l n f' l' n'*

then interpret *l': discharge-inv var c s t u f l n f' l' n'*.

assume [*simp*]: *excess f' u* = 0

show ?*thesis*

apply (*rule vcg-rem-frame*)

apply *refine-vcg*

apply (*vc-solve*)

subgoal proof –

assume *RELABEL: l' u* ≠ *l u*

have *AUX1: x=u if (x, u) ∈ (adm-edges f' l')** **for** *x*

using *that l'.relabel-u-no-incoming-adm[OF RELABEL[symmetric]]*

by (*auto elim: rtranclE*)

```

have TS1: is-top-sorted (adm-edges f l) (L-left @ L-right')
  using L-sorted by (auto intro: is-top-sorted-remove-elem)

from l'.adm-edges-leaving-u
  and l'.relabel-u-no-incoming-adm[OF RELABEL[symmetric]]
have adm-edges f' l' ⊆ adm-edges f l ∪ {u} × UNIV
  and adm-edges f' l' ∩ UNIV × {u} = {} by auto
from is-top-sorted-isolated-constraint[OF this - TS1]
have AUX2: is-top-sorted (adm-edges f' l') (L-left @ L-right')
  by simp

show rtf-invar c s t f' l' n' [u] (L-left @ L-right')
  apply unfold-locales
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal using AUX2 by (auto simp: is-top-sorted-cons dest!: AUX1)
  subgoal using L-set by auto
  done
qed
subgoal using l'.algo-rel by (auto dest: rtranclD)
subgoal proof -
  assume NO-RELABEL[simp]: l' u = l u

  have AUX: excess f' v = 0 if v ∈ set L-left for v
  proof (rule ccontr)
    from that ⟨u ∉ set L-left⟩ have u ≠ v by blast
    moreover assume excess f' v ≠ 0
    moreover from that left-no-excess have excess f v = 0 by auto
    ultimately have (u,v) ∈ adm-edges f l
      using l'.no-relabel-excess[OF NO-RELABEL[symmetric]]
      by auto

    with L-sorted that show False
      by (auto simp: is-top-sorted-append is-top-sorted-cons)
  qed
  show rtf-invar c s t f' l' n' (L-left @ [u]) L-right'
    apply unfold-locales
    subgoal by (auto simp: AUX)
    subgoal
      apply (rule is-top-sorted-antimono[
        OF l'.no-relabel-adm-edges[OF NO-RELABEL[symmetric]]])
      using L-sorted by simp
      subgoal using L-set by auto
      done
    qed
    subgoal using l'.algo-rel by (auto dest: rtranclD)
    done
  qed
done

```

```

qed
subgoal by (auto intro: rtf-invar.terminated-imp-maxflow)
done

end — Network

```

```
end
```

4 FIFO Push Relabel Algorithm

```

theory Fifo-Push-Relabel
imports
  ..../Lib/Refine-Add-Fofu
  Generic-Push-Relabel
begin

```

The FIFO push-relabel algorithm maintains a first-in-first-out queue of active nodes. As long as the queue is not empty, it discharges the first node of the queue.

Discharging repeatedly applied push operations from the node. If no more push operations are possible, and the node is still active, it is relabeled and enqueueued.

Moreover, we implement the gap heuristics, which may accelerate relabeling if there is a gap in the label values, i.e., a label value that is assigned to no node.

4.1 Implementing the Discharge Operation

```

context Network
begin

```

First, we implement push and relabel operations that maintain a queue of all active nodes.

```

definition fifo-push f l Q ≡ λ(u,v). do {
  assert (push-precond f l (u,v));
  assert (Labeling c s t f l);
  let Q = (if v≠s ∧ v≠t ∧ excess f v = 0 then Q@[v] else Q);
  return (push-effect f (u,v),Q)
}

```

For the relabel operation, we assume that only active nodes are relabeled, and enqueue the relabeled node.

```

definition fifo-gap-relabel f l Q u ≡ do {
  assert (u∈V-{s,t});
  assert (Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l);
  let Q = Q@[u];
}

```

```

assert (relabel-precond f l u);
assert (l u < 2*card V ∧ relabel-effect f l u u < 2*card V);
let l = gap-relabel-effect f l u;
return (l,Q)
}

```

The discharge operation iterates over the edges, and pushes flow, as long as then node is active. If the node is still active after all edges have been saturated, the node is relabeled.

```

definition fifo-discharge f₀ l Q ≡ do {
  assert (Q ≠ []);
  let u = hd Q; let Q = tl Q;
  assert (u ∈ V ∧ u ≠ s ∧ u ≠ t);

  (f, l, Q) ← FOREACHc {v . (u, v) ∈ cfE-of f₀} (λ(f, l, Q). excess f u ≠ 0) (λv
  (f, l, Q). do {
    if (l u = l v + 1) then do {
      (f', Q) ← fifo-push f l Q (u, v);
      assert (∀v'. v' ≠ v → cf-of f' (u, v') = cf-of f (u, v'));
      return (f', l, Q)
    } else return (f, l, Q)
  }) (f₀, l, Q);

  if excess f u ≠ 0 then do {
    (l, Q) ← fifo-gap-relabel f l Q u;
    return (f, l, Q)
  } else do {
    return (f, l, Q)
  }
}

```

We will show that the discharge operation maintains the invariant that the queue is disjoint and contains exactly the active nodes:

definition Q-invar f Q ≡ distinct Q ∧ set Q = { v ∈ V - {s, t} . excess f v ≠ 0 }

Inside the loop of the discharge operation, we will use the following version of the invariant:

definition QD-invar u f Q ≡ u ∈ V - {s, t} ∧ distinct Q ∧ set Q = { v ∈ V - {s, t, u} . excess f v ≠ 0 }

lemma Q-invar-when-discharged1: [QD-invar u f Q; excess f u = 0] ⇒ Q-invar f Q

unfold Q-invar-def QD-invar-def by auto

lemma Q-invar-when-discharged2: [QD-invar u f Q; excess f u ≠ 0] ⇒ Q-invar f (Q@[u])

unfold Q-invar-def QD-invar-def

by auto

```

lemma (in Labeling) push-no-activate-pres-QD-invar:
  fixes v
  assumes INV: QD-invar u f Q
  assumes PRE: push-precond f l (u,v)
  assumes VC: s=v ∨ t=v ∨ excess f v ≠ 0
  shows QD-invar u (push-effect f (u,v)) Q
proof -
  interpret push-effect-locale c s t f l u v
  using PRE by unfold-locales

  from excess-non-negative Δ-positive have excess f v + Δ ≠ 0 if v∉{s,t}
    using that by force
  thus ?thesis
    using VC INV
    unfolding QD-invar-def
    by (auto simp: excess'-if split!: if-splits)
qed

```

```

lemma (in Labeling) push-activate-pres-QD-invar:
  fixes v
  assumes INV: QD-invar u f Q
  assumes PRE: push-precond f l (u,v)
  assumes VC: s≠v ∨ t≠v and [simp]: excess f v = 0
  shows QD-invar u (push-effect f (u,v)) (Q@[v])
proof -
  interpret push-effect-locale c s t f l u v
  using PRE by unfold-locales

  show ?thesis
    using VC INV Δ-positive
    unfolding QD-invar-def
    by (auto simp: excess'-if split!: if-splits)
qed

```

Main theorem for the discharge operation: It maintains a height bounded labeling, the invariant for the FIFO queue, and only performs valid steps due to the generic push-relabel algorithm with gap-heuristics.

```

theorem fifo-discharge-correct[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
  assumes DINV: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
  assumes QINV: Q-invar f Q and QNE: Q≠[]
  shows fifo-discharge f l Q ≤ SPEC (λ(f',l',Q').
    Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l'
    ∧ Q-invar f' Q'
    ∧ ((f',l'),(f,l))∈gap-algo-rel+
  )
proof -
  from QNE obtain u Qr where [simp]: Q=u#Qr by (cases Q) auto

```

```

from QINV have U:  $u \in V - \{s, t\}$   $\quad QD\text{-invar } u f Qr \text{ and } XU\text{-orig: excess } f u \neq 0$ 
by (auto simp: Q-invar-def QD-invar-def)

have [simp, intro!]: finite {v. (u, v) ∈ cfE-of f}
  apply (rule finite-subset[where B=V])
  using cfE-of-ss-VxV
  by auto

show ?thesis
  using U
  unfolding fifo-discharge-def fifo-push-def fifo-gap-relabel-def
  apply (simp only: split nres-monad-laws)
  apply (rewrite in FOREACHc -- □ - vcg-intro-frame)
  apply (rewrite in if excess -- ≠ 0 then □ else - vcg-intro-frame)
  apply (refine-vcg FOREACHc-rule[where
    I=λit (f',l',Q').
    Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l'
    ∧ QD-invar u f' Q'
    ∧ ((f',l'),(f,l)) ∈ gap-algo-rel*
    ∧ it ⊆ {v. (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f'}
    ∧ (excess f' u ≠ 0 → (∀ v ∈ {v. (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f'} - it. l' u ≠ l' v + 1))
  ])
  ])
  apply (vc-solve simp: DINV QINV it-step-insert-iff split del: if-split)
  subgoal for v it f' l' Q' proof -
    assume HBL: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l'
    then interpret l': Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l'.

    assume X: excess f' u ≠ 0 and UI: u ∈ V u ≠ s u ≠ t
    and QDI: QD-invar u f' Q'

    assume v ∈ it and ITSS: it ⊆ {v. (u, v) ∈ l'.cf.E}
    hence UVE: (u,v) ∈ l'.cf.E by auto

    assume REL: ((f', l'), f, l) ∈ gap-algo-rel*

    assume SAT-EDGES: ∀ v ∈ {v. (u, v) ∈ cfE-of f'} - it. l' u ≠ Suc (l' v)

    from X UI l'.excess-non-negative have X': excess f' u > 0 by force

    have PP: push-precond f' l' (u, v) if l' u = l' v + 1
      unfolding push-precond-def using that UVE X' by auto

    show ?thesis
      apply (rule vcg-rem-frame)
      apply (rewrite in if - then (assert - ≈ □) else - vcg-intro-frame)

```

```

apply refine-vcg
  apply (vc-solve simp: REL solve: PP l'.push-pres-height-bound HBL QDI
split del: if-split)
  subgoal proof -
    assume [simp]: l' u = Suc (l' v)
    assume PRE: push-precond f' l' (u, v)
    then interpret pe: push-effect-locale c s t f' l' u v by unfold-locales

    have UVNE': l'.cf (u, v) ≠ 0
      using l'.resE-positive by fastforce

    show ?thesis
      apply (rule vcg-rem-frame)
      apply refine-vcg
      apply (vc-solve simp: l'.push-pres-height-bound[OF PRE])
      subgoal by unfold-locales
      subgoal by (auto simp: pe.cf'-alt augment-edge-cf-def)
      subgoal
        using l'.push-activate-pres-QD-invar[OF QDI PRE]
        using l'.push-no-activate-pres-QD-invar[OF QDI PRE]
        by auto
      subgoal
        by (meson gap-algo-rel.push REL PRE converse-rtrancl-into-rtrancl
HBL)
      subgoal for x proof -
        assume x∈it x≠v
        with ITSS have (u,x)∈l'.cf.E by auto
        thus ?thesis
          using ⟨x≠v⟩
          unfolding pe.f'-alt
          apply (simp add: augment-edge-cf')
          unfolding Graph.E-def
          by (auto)
      qed
      subgoal for v' proof -
        assume excess f' u ≠ pe.Δ
        hence PED: pe.Δ = l'.cf (u,v)
        unfolding pe.Δ-def by auto
        hence E'SS: pe.l'.cf.E ⊆ (l'.cf.E ∪ {(v,u)}) - {(u,v)}
        unfolding pe.f'-alt
        apply (simp add: augment-edge-cf')
        unfolding Graph.E-def
        by auto

        assume v' ∈ it → v' = v and UV'E: (u, v') ∈ pe.l'.cf.E and
LUSLV': l' v = l' v'
        with E'SS have v'notinit by auto
        moreover from UV'E E'SS ⟨v≠u⟩ have (u,v')∈l'.cf.E by auto
        ultimately have l' u ≠ Suc (l' v') using SAT-EDGES by auto

```

```

with  $LUSLV'$  show  $\text{False}$  by simp
qed
done
qed
subgoal using ITSS by auto
subgoal using SAT-EDGES by auto
done
qed
subgoal premises prems for  $f' l' Q'$  proof -
from prems interpret  $l': \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f' l'$  by simp
from prems have  $UI: u \in V \quad u \neq s \quad u \neq t$ 
  and  $X: \text{excess } f' u \neq 0$ 
  and  $QDI: QD\text{-invar } u f' Q'$ 
  and  $REL: ((f', l'), f, l) \in \text{gap-algo-rel}^*$ 
  and  $\text{NO-ADM}: \forall v. (u, v) \in l'.cf.E \longrightarrow l' u \neq \text{Suc } (l' v)$ 
  by simp-all

from  $X$  have  $X': \text{excess } f' u > 0$  using  $l'.\text{excess-non-negative } UI$  by force

from  $X' UI \text{NO-ADM}$  have  $\text{PRE: relabel-precond } f' l' u$ 
  unfolding relabel-precond-def by auto

from  $l'.\text{height-bound } \langle u \in V \rangle \text{ card-}V\text{-ge2}$  have [simp]:  $l' u < 2 * \text{card } V$  by
auto

from  $l'.\text{relabel-pres-height-bound[OF PRE]}$ 
interpret  $l'': \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f' \text{ relabel-effect } f' l' u$  .

from  $l''.\text{height-bound } \langle u \in V \rangle \text{ card-}V\text{-ge2}$  have [simp]:  $\text{relabel-effect } f' l' u u < 2 * \text{card } V$  by auto

show ?thesis
  apply (rule vcg-rem-frame)
  apply refine-vcg
  apply (vc-solve)
    simp:  $UI \text{ PRE}$ 
    simp:  $l'.\text{gap-relabel-pres-hb-labeling[OF PRE]}$ 
    simp:  $Q\text{-invar-when-discharged2[OF QDI X]}$ 
  subgoal by unfold-locales
  subgoal
    by (meson PRE REL gap-algo-rel.relabel l'.Height-Bounded-Labeling-axioms rtrancl-into-trancl2)
  done
qed
subgoal by (auto simp:  $Q\text{-invar-when-discharged1 } Q\text{-invar-when-discharged2}$ )
subgoal using XU-orig by (metis Pair-inject rtranclD)
subgoal by (auto simp:  $Q\text{-invar-when-discharged1}$ )
subgoal using XU-orig by (metis Pair-inject rtranclD)

```

```

done
qed

end — Network

```

4.2 Main Algorithm

```

context Network
begin

```

The main algorithm initializes the flow, labeling, and the queue, and then applies the discharge operation until the queue is empty:

```

definition fifo-push-relabel ≡ do {
  let  $f = pp\text{-init-}f$ ;
  let  $l = pp\text{-init-}l$ ;

   $Q \leftarrow spec\ l.\ distinct\ l \wedge set\ l = \{v \in V - \{s,t\} . excess\ f\ v \neq 0\}$ ; (* TODO: This
  is exactly  $E^{\leftarrow}\{s\} - \{t\}!$  *)

   $(f,l,\cdot) \leftarrow while_T (\lambda(f,l,Q). Q \neq \emptyset) (\lambda(f,l,Q). do \{
    fifo-discharge\ f\ l\ Q
  \}) (f,l,Q)$ ;

  assert (Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l);
  return f
}

```

Having proved correctness of the discharge operation, the correctness theorem of the main algorithm is straightforward: As the discharge operation implements the generic algorithm, the loop will terminate after finitely many steps. Upon termination, the queue that contains exactly the active nodes is empty. Thus, all nodes are inactive, and the resulting preflow is actually a maximal flow.

```

theorem fifo-push-relabel-correct:
  fifo-push-relabel ≤ SPEC isMaxFlow
  unfolding fifo-push-relabel-def
  apply (refine-vcg
    WHILET-rule[where
       $I = \lambda(f,l,Q). Height\text{-Bounded-Labeling } c\ s\ t\ f\ l \wedge Q\text{-invar } f\ Q$ 
      and  $R = \text{inv-image } (\text{gap-algo-rel}^+) (\lambda(f,l,Q). ((f,l)))$ 
    ]
  )
  apply (vc-solve solve: pp-init-height-bound)
  subgoal by (blast intro: wf-lex-prod wf-trancl)
  subgoal unfolding Q-invar-def by auto
  subgoal for initQ f l proof -
    assume Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
    then interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l .

```

```

assume  $Q\text{-invar } f []$ 
hence  $\forall u \in V - \{s, t\}. excess f u = 0$  unfolding  $Q\text{-invar-def}$  by auto
thus  $isMaxFlow f$  by (rule no-excess-imp-maxflow)
qed
done

end — Network

end

```

5 Tools for Implementing Push-Relabel Algorithms

```

theory Prpu-Common-Impl
imports
  Prpu-Common-Inst
  ..../Flow-Networks/Network-Impl
  ..../Net-Check/NetCheck
begin

```

5.1 Basic Operations

```
type-synonym excess-impl = node  $\Rightarrow$  capacity-impl
```

```
context Network-Impl
begin
```

5.1.1 Excess Map

Obtain an excess map with all nodes mapped to zero.

```
definition x-init :: excess-impl nres where x-init  $\equiv$  return ( $\lambda u. 0$ )
```

Get the excess of a node.

```
definition x-get :: excess-impl  $\Rightarrow$  node  $\Rightarrow$  capacity-impl nres
  where x-get x u  $\equiv$  do {
    assert ( $u \in V$ );
    return (x u)
  }
```

Add a capacity to the excess of a node.

```
definition x-add :: excess-impl  $\Rightarrow$  node  $\Rightarrow$  capacity-impl  $\Rightarrow$  excess-impl nres
  where x-add x u  $\Delta$   $\equiv$  do {
    assert ( $u \in V$ );
    return (x(u := x u +  $\Delta$ ))
  }
```

5.1.2 Labeling

Obtain the initial labeling: All nodes are zero, except the source which is labeled by $|V|$. The exact cardinality of V is passed as a parameter.

```
definition l-init :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (node  $\Rightarrow$  nat) nres
  where l-init C  $\equiv$  return  $((\lambda\_. \ 0)(s := C))$ 
```

Get the label of a node.

```
definition l-get :: (node  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  node  $\Rightarrow$  nat nres
  where l-get l u  $\equiv$  do {
    assert ( $u \in V$ );
    return ( $l\ u$ )
  }
```

Set the label of a node.

```
definition l-set :: (node  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  node  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (node  $\Rightarrow$  nat) nres
  where l-set l u a  $\equiv$  do {
    assert ( $u \in V$ );
    assert ( $a < 2 * \text{card } V$ );
    return ( $l(u := a)$ )
  }
```

5.1.3 Label Frequency Counts for Gap Heuristics

Obtain the frequency counts for the initial labeling. Again, the cardinality of $|V|$, which is required to determine the label of the source node, is passed as an explicit parameter.

```
definition cnt-init :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat) nres
  where cnt-init C  $\equiv$  do {
    assert ( $C < 2 * N$ );
    return  $((\lambda\_. \ 0)(0 := C - 1, C := 1))$ 
  }
```

Get the count for a label value.

```
definition cnt-get :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat nres
  where cnt-get cnt lv  $\equiv$  do {
    assert ( $lv < 2 * N$ );
    return (cnt lv)
  }
```

Increment the count for a label value by one.

```
definition cnt-incr :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat) nres
  where cnt-incr cnt lv  $\equiv$  do {
    assert ( $lv < 2 * N$ );
    return (cnt (lv := cnt lv + 1))
  }
```

Decrement the count for a label value by one.

```

definition cnt-decr :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat) nres
  where cnt-decr cnt lv  $\equiv$  do {
    assert (lv < 2*N  $\wedge$  cnt lv > 0);
    return (cnt (lv := cnt lv - 1))
  }

end — Network Implementation Locale

```

5.2 Refinements to Basic Operations

```

context Network-Impl
begin

```

In this section, we refine the algorithm to actually use the basic operations.

5.2.1 Explicit Computation of the Excess

```

definition xf-rel  $\equiv$  { ((excess f, cf-of f), f) | f. True }
lemma xf-rel-RELATES[refine-dref-RELATES]: RELATES xf-rel
  by (auto simp: RELATES-def)

definition pp-init-x
   $\equiv$   $\lambda u$ . (if  $u=s$  then ( $\sum_{(u,v) \in \text{outgoing } s} c(u,v)$ ) else  $c(s,u)$ )

lemma excess-pp-init-f[simp]: excess pp-init-f = pp-init-x
  apply (intro ext)
  subgoal for u
    unfolding excess-def pp-init-f-def pp-init-x-def
    apply (cases  $u=s$ )
    subgoal
      unfolding outgoing-def incoming-def
      by (auto intro: sum.cong simp: sum-negf)
    subgoal proof -
      assume [simp]:  $u \neq s$ 
      have [simp]:
        (case e of (u, v)  $\Rightarrow$  if  $u = s$  then  $c(u, v)$  else 0) = 0
        if e  $\in$  outgoing u for e
        using that by (auto simp: outgoing-def)
      have [simp]: (case e of (u, v)  $\Rightarrow$  if  $u = s$  then  $c(u, v)$  else 0)
        = (if e = (s, u) then  $c(s, u)$  else 0)
        if e  $\in$  incoming u for e
        using that by (auto simp: incoming-def split: if-splits)
      show ?thesis by (simp add: sum.delta) (simp add: incoming-def)
    qed
    done
  done

definition pp-init-cf
   $\equiv$   $\lambda(u,v)$ . if ( $v=s$ ) then  $c(v,u)$  else if  $u=s$  then 0 else  $c(u,v)$ 

```

```

lemma cf-of-pp-init-f[simp]: cf-of pp-init-f = pp-init-cf
  apply (intro ext)
  unfolding pp-init-cf-def pp-init-f-def residualGraph-def
  using no-parallel-edge
  by auto

```

```

lemma pp-init-x-rel: ((pp-init-x, pp-init-cf), pp-init-f) ∈ xf-rel
  unfolding xf-rel-def by auto

```

5.2.2 Algorithm to Compute Initial Excess and Flow

```

definition pp-init-xcf2-aux ≡ do {
  let x=(λ-. 0);
  let cf=c;
  foreach (adjacent-nodes s) (λv (x,cf). do {
    assert ((s,v)∈E);
    assert (s ≠ v);
    let a = cf (s,v);
    assert (x v = 0);
    let x = x( s := x s - a, v := a );
    let cf = cf( (s,v) := 0, (v,s) := a );
    return (x,cf)
  }) (x,cf)
}

lemma pp-init-xcf2-aux-spec:
  shows pp-init-xcf2-aux ≤ SPEC (λ(x,cf). x=pp-init-x ∧ cf = pp-init-cf)
proof -
  have ADJ-S-AUX: adjacent-nodes s = {v . (s,v)∈E}
    unfolding adjacent-nodes-def using no-incoming-s by auto

  have CSU-AUX: c (s,u) = 0 if u∉adjacent-nodes s for u
    using that unfolding adjacent-nodes-def by auto

  show ?thesis
    unfolding pp-init-xcf2-aux-def
    apply (refine-vcg FOREACH-rule[where I=λit (x,cf).
      x s = (∑ v∈adjacent-nodes s - it. - c(s,v))
      ∧ (∀ v∈adjacent-nodes s. x v = (if v∈it then 0 else c (s,v)))
      ∧ (∀ v∈insert s (adjacent-nodes s). x v = 0)
      ∧ (∀ v∈adjacent-nodes s.
        if v∉it then cf (s,v) = 0 ∧ cf (v,s) = c (s,v)
        else cf (s,v) = c (s,v) ∧ cf (v,s) = c (v,s))
      ∧ (∀ u v. u≠s ∧ v≠s → cf (u,v) = c (u,v) )
      ∧ (∀ u. u∉adjacent-nodes s → cf (u,s) = 0 ∧ cf (s,u) = 0)
    ])
    apply (vc-solve simp: it-step-insert-iff simp: CSU-AUX)

```

```

subgoal for v it by (auto simp: ADJ-S-AUX)
subgoal for u it - v by (auto split: if-splits)
subgoal by (auto simp: ADJ-S-AUX)
subgoal by (auto simp: ADJ-S-AUX)
subgoal by (auto split: if-splits)

subgoal for x
  unfolding pp-init-x-def
  apply (intro ext)
  subgoal for u
    apply (clarsimp simp: ADJ-S-AUX outgoing-def; intro conjI)
    applyS (auto intro!: sum.reindex-cong[where l=snd] intro: inj-onI)
    applyS (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Compl-Iff Graph.zero-cap-simp insertE
mem-Collect-eq)
    done
  done
  subgoal for x cf
    unfolding pp-init-cf-def
    apply (intro ext)
    apply (clarsimp; auto simp: CSU-AUX)
    done
  done
qed

definition pp-init-xcf2 am ≡ do {
  x ← x-init;
  cf ← cf-init;

  assert (s ∈ V);
  adj ← am-get am s;
  nfoldli adj (λ-. True) (λv (x,cf). do {
    assert ((s,v) ∈ E);
    assert (s ≠ v);
    a ← cf-get cf (s,v);
    x ← x-add x s (-a);
    x ← x-add x v a;
    cf ← cf-set cf (s,v) 0;
    cf ← cf-set cf (v,s) a;
    return (x,cf)
  }) (x,cf)
}

lemma pp-init-xcf2-refine-aux:
  assumes AM: is-adj-map am
  shows pp-init-xcf2 am ≤ ↓Id (pp-init-xcf2-aux)
  unfolding pp-init-xcf2-def pp-init-xcf2-aux-def
  unfolding x-init-def cf-init-def am-get-def cf-get-def cf-set-def x-add-def
  apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)

```

supply LFO-refine[*OF am-to-adj-nodes-refine*[*OF AM*], *refine*]

apply refine-rcg

using E-ss-*VxV*

by auto

lemma pp-init-xcf2-refine[*refine2*]:

assumes *AM*: is-adj-map *am*

shows pp-init-xcf2 *am* $\leq \Downarrow_{xf\text{-}rel}$ (RETURN pp-init-f)

using pp-init-xcf2-refine-aux[*OF AM*] pp-init-xcf2-aux-spec pp-init-x-rel

by (auto simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps)

5.2.3 Computing the Minimal Adjacent Label

definition (in Network) min-adj-label-aux *cf l u* \equiv do {

assert (*u* ∈ *V*);

x ← foreach (adjacent-nodes *u*) ($\lambda v x.$ do {

assert ((*u,v*) ∈ *E* ∪ *E*⁻¹);

assert (*v* ∈ *V*);

if (*cf* (*u,v*) ≠ 0) then

case *x* of

None ⇒ return (Some (l *v*))

| Some *xx* ⇒ return (Some (min (l *v*) (*xx*)))

else

return *x*

) None;

assert (*x* ≠ None);

return (the *x*)

}

lemma (in –) set-filter-xform-aux:

{ *f x* | *x*. (*x* = *a* ∨ *x* ∈ *S* ∧ *x* ∉ *it*) ∧ *P x* }

= (if *P a* then {*f a*} else {}) ∪ {*f x* | *x*. *x* ∈ *S* − *it* ∧ *P x*}

by auto

lemma (in Labeling) min-adj-label-aux-spec:

assumes PRE: relabel-precond *f l u*

shows min-adj-label-aux *cf l u* \leq SPEC ($\lambda x.$ *x* = Min { l *v* | *v*. (*u,v*) ∈ *cf.E* })

proof –

have AUX: *cf* (*u,v*) ≠ 0 \longleftrightarrow (*u,v*) ∈ *cf.E* **for** *v* **unfolding** *cf.E-def* **by** auto

have EQ: { l *v* | *v*. (*u,v*) ∈ *cf.E* }

= { l *v* | *v*. *v* ∈ adjacent-nodes *u* ∧ *cf* (*u,v*) ≠ 0 }

unfolding AUX

using cfE-ss-invE

by (auto simp: adjacent-nodes-def)

```

define Min-option :: nat set  $\rightarrow$  nat
  where Min-option X  $\equiv$  if  $X = \{\}$  then None else Some (Min X) for X

from PRE active-has-cf-outgoing have cf.outgoing  $u \neq \{\}$ 
  unfolding relabel-precond-def by auto
hence [simp]:  $u \in V$  unfolding cf.outgoing-def using cfE-of-ss-VxV by auto
from <cf.outgoing  $u \neq \{\}$ >
have AUX2:  $\exists v. v \in \text{adjacent-nodes } u \wedge cf(u, v) \neq 0$ 
  by (smt AUX Collect-empty-eq Image-singleton-iff UnCI adjacent-nodes-def
    cf.outgoing-def cf-def converse-iff prod.simps(2))

show ?thesis unfolding min-adj-label-aux-def EQ
  apply (refine-vcg
    FOREACH-rule[where
       $I = \lambda it. x = \text{Min-option}$ 
       $\{ l v \mid v \in \text{adjacent-nodes } u - it \wedge cf(u, v) \neq 0 \}$ 
    ]
  )
  apply (vc-solve
    simp: Min-option-def it-step-insert-iff set-filter-xform-aux
    split: if-splits)
  subgoal unfolding adjacent-nodes-def by auto
  subgoal unfolding adjacent-nodes-def by auto
  subgoal using adjacent-nodes-ss-V by auto
  subgoal using adjacent-nodes-ss-V by auto
  subgoal by (auto simp: Min.insert-remove)
  subgoal using AUX2 by auto
  done
qed

definition min-adj-label am cf l u  $\equiv$  do {
  assert ( $u \in V$ );
  adj  $\leftarrow$  am-get am u;
   $x \leftarrow nfoldl adj (\lambda -. \text{True}) (\lambda v x. \text{do} \{$ 
    assert ( $(u, v) \in E \cup E^{-1}$ );
    assert ( $v \in V$ );
    cfuv  $\leftarrow$  cf-get cf  $(u, v)$ ;
    if ( $cfuv \neq 0$ ) then do {
      lv  $\leftarrow$  l-get l v;
      case x of
        None  $\Rightarrow$  return (Some lv)
        | Some xx  $\Rightarrow$  return (Some (min lv xx))
    } else
      return x
  }) None;
  assert ( $x \neq \text{None}$ );
  return (the x)
}

```

```

lemma min-adj-label-refine[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
  assumes Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
  assumes AM: (am,adjacent-nodes) ∈ nat-rel → (nat-rel) list-set-rel
  assumes PRE: relabel-precond f l u
  assumes [simp]: cf = cf-of f
  shows min-adj-label am cf l u ≤ SPEC (λx. x
    = Min { l v | v. (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f })
proof –
  interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l by fact
  have min-adj-label am (cf-of f) l u ≤ ↓Id (min-adj-label-aux (cf-of f) l u)
  unfolding min-adj-label-def min-adj-label-aux-def Let-def
  unfolding am-get-def cf-get-def l-get-def
  apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
  supply LFO-refine[OF fun-relD[OF AM IdI] - IdI, refine]
  apply (refine-rcg)
  by auto
  also note min-adj-label-aux-spec[OF PRE]
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

5.2.4 Refinement of Relabel

Utilities to Implement Relabel Operations

```

definition relabel2 am cf l u ≡ do {
  assert (u ∈ V - {s,t});
  nl ← min-adj-label am cf l u;
  l ← l-set l u (nl+1);
  return l
}

lemma relabel2-refine[refine]:
  assumes ((x,cf),f) ∈ xf-rel
  assumes AM: (am,adjacent-nodes) ∈ nat-rel → (nat-rel) list-set-rel
  assumes [simplified,simp]: (li,l) ∈ Id   (ui,u) ∈ Id
  shows relabel2 am cf li ui ≤ ↓Id (relabel f l u)
proof –
  have [simp]: {l v | v. v ∈ V ∧ cf-of f (u, v) ≠ 0} = {l v | v. cf-of f (u, v) ≠ 0}
  using cfE-of-ss-VxV[of f]
  by (auto simp: Graph.E-def)

  show ?thesis
  using assms
  unfolding relabel2-def relabel-def
  unfolding l-set-def
  apply (refine-vcg AM)
  apply (vc-solve (nopre) simp: xf-rel-def relabel-effect-def solve: asm-rl)
  subgoal premises prems for a proof –
    from prems interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l by simp

```

```

interpret l': Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f relabel-effect f l u
  by (rule relabel-pres-height-bound) (rule prems)
from prems have u ∈ V by simp
from prems have a + 1 = relabel-effect f l u u
  by (auto simp: relabel-effect-def)
also note l'.height-bound[THEN bspec, OF ‹u ∈ V›]
finally show a + 1 < 2 * card V using card-V-ge2 by auto
qed
done
qed

```

5.2.5 Refinement of Push

```

definition push2-aux x cf ≡ λ(u,v). do {
  assert ( (u,v) ∈ E ∪ E⁻¹ );
  assert ( u ≠ v );
  let Δ = min (x u) (cf (u,v));
  return ((x( u := x u - Δ, v := x v + Δ ), augment-edge-cf cf (u,v) Δ))
}

```

```

lemma push2-aux-refine:
  ⟦((x,cf),f) ∈ xf-rel; (ei,e) ∈ Id ×r Id⟧
    ⇒ push2-aux x cf ei ≤ ↓xf-rel (push f l e)
unfolding push-def push2-aux-def
apply refine-vcg
apply (vc-solve simp: xf-rel-def no-self-loop)
subgoal for u v
  unfolding push-precond-def using cfE-of-ss-invE by auto
subgoal for u v
proof –
  assume [simp]: Labeling c s t f l
  then interpret Labeling c s t f l .
    thm cfE-ss-invE
  assume push-precond f l (u, v)
  then interpret l': push-effect-locale c s t f l u v by unfold-locales
  show ?thesis
    apply (safe intro!: ext)
    using l'.excess'-if l'.Δ-def l'.cf'-alt ‹u ≠ v›
    by (auto)
qed
done

```

```

definition push2 x cf ≡ λ(u,v). do {
  assert ( (u,v) ∈ E ∪ E⁻¹ );
  xu ← x-get x u;
  cfuv ← cf-get cf (u,v);
  cfvu ← cf-get cf (v,u);
  let Δ = min xu cfuv;
}

```

```

 $x \leftarrow x\text{-add } x u (-\Delta);$ 
 $x \leftarrow x\text{-add } x v \Delta;$ 

 $cf \leftarrow cf\text{-set } cf (u,v) (cf_{uv} - \Delta);$ 
 $cf \leftarrow cf\text{-set } cf (v,u) (cf_{vu} + \Delta);$ 

return (x,cf)
}

lemma push2-refine[refine]:
assumes  $((x,cf),f) \in xf\text{-rel}$   $(ei,e) \in Id \times_r Id$ 
shows  $push2 x cf ei \leq \downarrow xf\text{-rel} (push f l e)$ 
proof -
have  $push2 x cf ei \leq (push2\text{-aux } x cf ei)$ 
unfolding push2-def push2-aux-def
unfolding x-get-def x-add-def cf-get-def cf-set-def
unfolding augment-edge-cf-def
apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
apply refine-vcg
using E-ss-VxV
by auto
also note push2-aux-refine[OF assms]
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

5.2.6 Adding frequency counters to labeling

definition l-invar $l \equiv \forall v. l v \neq 0 \rightarrow v \in V$

definition clc-invar $\equiv \lambda(cnt,l).$
 $(\forall lv. cnt lv = card \{ u \in V . l u = lv \})$
 $\wedge (\forall u. l u < 2*N) \wedge l\text{-invar } l$

definition clc-rel $\equiv br\text{ snd clc-invar}$

definition clc-init $C \equiv do \{$
 $l \leftarrow l\text{-init } C;$
 $cnt \leftarrow cnt\text{-init } C;$
 $return (cnt,l)$
 $\}$

definition clc-get $\equiv \lambda(cnt,l) u. l\text{-get } l u$
definition clc-set $\equiv \lambda(cnt,l) u a. do \{$
 $assert (a < 2*N);$
 $lu \leftarrow l\text{-get } l u;$
 $cnt \leftarrow cnt\text{-decr } cnt lu;$
 $l \leftarrow l\text{-set } l u a;$
 $lu \leftarrow l\text{-get } l u;$
 $cnt \leftarrow cnt\text{-incr } cnt lu;$
 $return (cnt,l)$

```

}

definition clc-has-gap  $\equiv \lambda(cnt,l) lu.$  do {
  nlu  $\leftarrow$  cnt-get cnt lu;
  return (nlu = 0)
}

lemma cardV-le-N: card V  $\leq N$  using card-mono[OF - V-ss] by auto
lemma N-not-Z:  $N \neq 0$  using card-V-ge2 cardV-le-N by auto
lemma N-ge-2:  $2 \leq N$  using card-V-ge2 cardV-le-N by auto

lemma clc-init-refine[refine]:
  assumes [simplified,simp]:  $(Ci,C) \in \text{nat-rel}$ 
  assumes [simp]:  $C = \text{card } V$ 
  shows clc-init Ci  $\leq \Downarrow \text{clc-rel} (l\text{-init } C)$ 
proof -
  have AUX:  $\{u. u \neq s \wedge u \in V\} = V - \{s\}$  by auto

  show ?thesis
    unfolding clc-init-def l-init-def cnt-init-def
    apply refine-vcg
    unfolding clc-rel-def clc-invar-def
    using cardV-le-N N-not-Z
    by (auto simp: in-br-conv V-not-empty AUX l-invar-def)
qed

lemma clc-get-refine[refine]:
   $\llbracket (clc,l) \in \text{clc-rel}; (ui,u) \in \text{nat-rel} \rrbracket \implies \text{clc-get clc ui} \leq \Downarrow \text{Id} (l\text{-get } l u)$ 
  unfolding clc-get-def clc-rel-def
  by (auto simp: in-br-conv split: prod.split)

definition l-get-rlx ::  $(\text{node} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow \text{node} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  nres
  where l-get-rlx l u  $\equiv$  do {
    assert ( $u < N$ );
    return (l u)
  }
definition clc-get-rlx  $\equiv \lambda(cnt,l) u.$  l-get-rlx l u

lemma clc-get-rlx-refine[refine]:
   $\llbracket (clc,l) \in \text{clc-rel}; (ui,u) \in \text{nat-rel} \rrbracket \implies \text{clc-get-rlx clc ui} \leq \Downarrow \text{Id} (l\text{-get-rlx } l u)$ 
  unfolding clc-get-rlx-def clc-rel-def
  by (auto simp: in-br-conv split: prod.split)

lemma card-insert-disjointI:
   $\llbracket \text{finite } Y; X = \text{insert } x Y; x \notin Y \rrbracket \implies \text{card } X = \text{Suc} (\text{card } Y)$ 
  by auto

lemma clc-set-refine[refine]:

```

```

 $\llbracket (clc, l) \in clc\text{-}rel; (ui, u) \in nat\text{-}rel; (ai, a) \in nat\text{-}rel \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $clc\text{-}set clc ui ai \leq \Downarrow_{clc\text{-}rel} (l\text{-}set l u a)$ 
unfolding clc-set-def l-set-def l-get-def cnt-decr-def cnt-incr-def
apply refine-vcg
apply vc-solve
unfolding clc-rel-def in-br-conv clc-invar-def l-invar-def
subgoal using cardV-le-N by auto
applyS auto
applyS (auto simp: simp: card-gt-0-iff)

subgoal for cnt ll
apply clarsimp
apply (intro impI conjI; clarsimp?)
subgoal
apply (subst le-imp-diff-is-add; simp)
apply (rule card-insert-disjointI[where x=u])
by auto
subgoal
apply (rule card-insert-disjointI[where x=u, symmetric])
by auto
subgoal
by (auto intro!: arg-cong[where f=card])
done
done

lemma clc-has-gap-correct[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
 $\llbracket (clc, l) \in clc\text{-}rel; k < 2*N \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies clc\text{-}has\text{-}gap clc k \leq (spec r. r \longleftrightarrow gap\text{-}precond l k)$ 
unfolding clc-has-gap-def cnt-get-def gap-precond-def
apply refine-vcg
unfolding clc-rel-def clc-invar-def in-br-conv
by auto

```

5.2.7 Refinement of Gap-Heuristics

Utilities to Implement Gap-Heuristics

```

definition gap-aux C l k  $\equiv$  do {
  nfoldli [ $0..<N$ ] ( $\lambda\_. \text{True}$ ) ( $\lambda v l. \text{do} \{$ 
     $lv \leftarrow l\text{-}get\text{-}rlx l v;$ 
    if ( $k < lv \wedge lv < C$ ) then do {
      assert ( $C+1 < 2*N$ );
       $l \leftarrow l\text{-}set l v (C+1);$ 
      return l
    } else return l
  ) l
}

```

```

lemma gap-effect-invar[simp]: l-invar l  $\implies l\text{-invar} (\text{gap}\text{-}effect l k)$ 
unfolding gap-effect-def l-invar-def

```

by auto

lemma *relabel-effect-invar*[*simp*]: $\llbracket l\text{-invar } l; u \in V \rrbracket \implies l\text{-invar } (\text{relabel-effect } f l u)$

unfolding *relabel-effect-def* *l-invar-def* **by auto**

lemma *gap-aux-correct*[*THEN order-trans, refine-vcg*]:

$\llbracket l\text{-invar } l; C = \text{card } V \rrbracket \implies \text{gap-aux } C l k \leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda r. r = \text{gap-effect } l k)$

unfolding *gap-aux-def* *l-get-rlx-def* *l-set-def*

apply (*simp only: nres-monad-laws*)

apply (*refine-vcg nfldli-rule[where I = λit1 it2 l'. ∀ u. if u ∈ set it2 then l' u = l u else l' u = gap-effect l k u]*)

apply (*vc-solve simp: upt-eq-lel-conv*)

subgoal

apply (*frule gap-effect-invar[where k=k]*)

unfolding *l-invar-def* **using** *V-ss* **by force**

subgoal **using** *N-not-Z cardV-le-N* **by auto**

subgoal **unfolding** *l-invar-def* **by auto**

subgoal **unfolding** *gap-effect-def* **by auto**

subgoal **for** *v l' u*

apply (*drule spec[where x=u]*)

by (*auto split: if-splits simp: gap-effect-def*)

subgoal **by** *auto*

done

definition *gap2* *C clc k* ≡ *do* {

nfldli [*0..<N*] ($\lambda_. \text{True}$) ($\lambda v. \text{clc. do }$ {

lv \leftarrow *clc-get-rlx clc v*;

if (*k < lv* \wedge *lv < C*) *then do* {

clc \leftarrow *clc-set clc v (C+1)*;

return clc

} *else return clc*

}) *clc*

}

lemma *gap2-refine*[*refine*]:

assumes [*simplified,simp*]: $(Ci, C) \in \text{nat-rel} \quad (ki, k) \in \text{nat-rel}$

assumes *CLC*: $(clc, l) \in \text{clc-rel}$

shows *gap2 Ci clc ki* $\leq \Downarrow_{clc-rel} (\text{gap-aux } C l k)$

unfolding *gap2-def* *gap-aux-def*

apply (*refine-rcg CLC*)

apply *refine-dref-type*

by *auto*

definition *gap-relabel-aux* *C f l u* ≡ *do* {

lu \leftarrow *l-get l u*;

l \leftarrow *relabel f l u*;

if gap-precond l lu then

```

gap-aux C l lu
else return l
}

lemma gap-relabel-aux-refine:
assumes [simp]:  $C = \text{card } V$      $l\text{-invar } l$ 
shows  $\text{gap-relabel-aux } C f l u \leq \text{gap-relabel } f l u$ 
unfolding gap-relabel-aux-def gap-relabel-def relabel-def
gap-relabel-effect-def l-get-def
apply (simp only: Let-def nres-monad-laws)
apply refine-vcg
by auto

```

definition min-adj-label-clc am cf clc u \equiv case clc of $(-, l) \Rightarrow \text{min-adj-label } am cf l u$

```

definition clc-relabel2 am cf clc u  $\equiv$  do {
  assert ( $u \in V - \{s, t\}$ );
  nl  $\leftarrow$  min-adj-label-clc am cf clc u;
  clc  $\leftarrow$  clc-set clc u (nl+1);
  return clc
}

```

```

lemma clc-relabel2-refine[refine]:
assumes XF:  $((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-rel}$ 
assumes CLC:  $(clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}$ 
assumes AM:  $(am, \text{adjacent-nodes}) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$ 
assumes [simplified,simp]:  $(ui, u) \in Id$ 
shows  $\text{clc-relabel2 } am cf clc ui \leq \Downarrow \text{clc-rel } (\text{relabel } f l u)$ 
proof -
have  $\text{clc-relabel2 } am cf clc ui \leq \Downarrow \text{clc-rel } (\text{relabel2 } am cf l ui)$ 
  unfolding clc-relabel2-def relabel2-def
  apply (refine-rcg)
  apply (refine-dref-type)
  apply (vc-solve simp: CLC)
  subgoal
    using CLC
    unfolding clc-rel-def in-br-conv min-adj-label-clc-def
    by (auto split: prod.split)
  done
also note relabel2-refine[OF XF AM, of l l ui u]
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

definition gap-relabel2 C am cf clc u \equiv do {
 $lu \leftarrow \text{clc-get clc u};$

```

 $clc \leftarrow clc\text{-relabel2 } am\ cf\ clc\ u;$ 
 $has\text{-gap} \leftarrow clc\text{-has-gap } clc\ lu;$ 
 $\text{if } has\text{-gap} \text{ then } gap2\ C\ clc\ lu$ 
 $\text{else}$ 
 $\quad RETURN\ clc$ 
}

lemma gap-relabel2-refine-aux:
assumes XCF:  $((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-rel}$ 
assumes CLC:  $(clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}$ 
assumes AM:  $(am, adjacent\text{-nodes}) \in nat\text{-rel} \rightarrow \langle nat\text{-rel} \rangle list\text{-set}\text{-rel}$ 
assumes [simplified, simp]:  $(Ci, C) \in Id \quad (ui, u) \in Id$ 
shows gap-relabel2  $Ci\ am\ cf\ clc\ ui \leq \Downarrow clc\text{-rel} (gap\text{-relabel-aux } C\ f\ l\ u)$ 
unfolding gap-relabel2-def gap-relabel-aux-def
apply (refine-vcg XCF AM CLC if-bind-cond-refine bind-refine')
apply (vc-solve solve: refl)
subgoal for -  $lu$ 
using CLC
unfolding clc-get-def l-get-def clc-rel-def in-br-conv clc-invar-def
by (auto simp: refine-pw-simps split: prod.splits)
done

lemma gap-relabel2-refine[refine]:
assumes XCF:  $((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-rel}$ 
assumes CLC:  $(clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}$ 
assumes AM:  $(am, adjacent\text{-nodes}) \in nat\text{-rel} \rightarrow \langle nat\text{-rel} \rangle list\text{-set}\text{-rel}$ 
assumes [simplified, simp]:  $(ui, u) \in Id$ 
assumes CC:  $C = card V$ 
shows gap-relabel2  $C\ am\ cf\ clc\ ui \leq \Downarrow clc\text{-rel} (gap\text{-relabel } f\ l\ u)$ 
proof -
  from CLC have LINV: l-invar l unfolding clc-rel-def in-br-conv clc-invar-def
  by auto

  note gap-relabel2-refine-aux[OF XCF CLC AM IdI IdI]
  also note gap-relabel-aux-refine[OF CC LINV]
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

5.3 Refinement to Efficient Data Structures

5.3.1 Registration of Abstract Operations

We register all abstract operations at once, auto-rewriting the capacity matrix type

```

context includes Network-Impl-Sepref-Register
begin
sepref-register x-get x-add
sepref-register l-init l-get l-get-rlx l-set

```

```

sepref-register clc-init clc-get clc-set clc-has-gap clc-get-rlx

sepref-register cnt-init cnt-get cnt-incr cnt-decr
sepref-register gap2 min-adj-label min-adj-label-clc

sepref-register push2 relabel2 clc-relabel2 gap-relabel2

sepref-register pp-init-xcf2

end — Anonymous Context

```

5.3.2 Excess by Array

definition *x-assn* \equiv *is-nf N* (*0::capacity-impl*)

```

lemma x-init-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry0 (Array.new N 0), uncurry0 x-init)  $\in$  unit-assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  x-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare unfolding x-assn-def x-init-def
  by (sep-auto heap: nf-init-rule)

lemma x-get-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry Array.nth, uncurry (PR-CONST x-get))
   $\in$  x-assnk *a node-assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  cap-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare
  unfolding x-assn-def x-get-def by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

definition (in -) x-add-impl x u Δ  $\equiv$  do {
  xu  $\leftarrow$  Array.nth x u;
  x  $\leftarrow$  Array.upd u (xu+Δ) x;
  return x
}

lemma x-add-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry2 x-add-impl, uncurry2 (PR-CONST x-add))
   $\in$  x-assnd *a node-assnk *a cap-assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  x-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare
  unfolding x-assn-def x-add-impl-def x-add-def
  by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

```

5.3.3 Labeling by Array

```

definition l-assn  $\equiv$  is-nf N (0::nat)
definition (in -) l-init-impl N s cardV  $\equiv$  do {
  l  $\leftarrow$  Array.new N (0::nat);
  l  $\leftarrow$  Array.upd s cardV l;
  return l
}

lemma l-init-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (l-init-impl N s, (PR-CONST l-init))  $\in$  nat-assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  l-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare

```

```

unfolding l-assn-def l-init-def l-init-impl-def
by (sep-auto heap: nf-init-rule)

lemma l-get-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry Array.nth, uncurry (PR-CONST l-get))
  ∈ l-assnk *a node-assnk →a nat-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare
  unfold l-assn-def l-get-def by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

lemma l-get-rlx-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry Array.nth, uncurry (PR-CONST l-get-rlx))
  ∈ l-assnk *a node-assnk →a nat-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare
  unfold l-assn-def l-get-rlx-def by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

lemma l-set-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry2 (λa i x. Array.upd i x a), uncurry2 (PR-CONST l-set))
  ∈ l-assnd *a node-assnk *a nat-assnk →a l-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare
  unfold l-assn-def l-set-def
  by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps split: prod.split)

```

5.3.4 Label Frequency by Array

```

definition cnt-assn (f::node⇒nat) a
  ≡ ∃A l. a ↦a l * ↑(length l = 2*N ∧ (∀ i < 2*N. l!i = f i) ∧ (∀ i ≥ 2*N. f i = 0))

definition (in −) cnt-init-impl N C ≡ do {
  a ← Array.new (2*N) (0::nat);
  a ← Array.upd 0 (C−1) a;
  a ← Array.upd C 1 a;
  return a
}

definition (in −) cnt-incr-impl a k ≡ do {
  freq ← Array.nth a k;
  a ← Array.upd k (freq+1) a;
  return a
}

definition (in −) cnt-decr-impl a k ≡ do {
  freq ← Array.nth a k;
  a ← Array.upd k (freq−1) a;
  return a
}

```

lemma cnt-init-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]: (cnt-init-impl N, PR-CONST cnt-init) ∈ nat-assn^k

```

 $\rightarrow_a \text{cnt-assn}$ 
apply sepref-to-hoare
unfolding cnt-init-def cnt-init-impl-def cnt-assn-def
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)
lemma cnt-get-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]: (uncurry Array.nth, uncurry (PR-CONST cnt-get))
 $\in \text{cnt-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{nat-assn}$ 
apply sepref-to-hoare
unfolding cnt-get-def cnt-assn-def
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)
lemma cnt-incr-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]: (uncurry cnt-incr-impl, uncurry (PR-CONST cnt-incr))
 $\in \text{cnt-assn}^d *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{cnt-assn}$ 
apply sepref-to-hoare
unfolding cnt-incr-def cnt-incr-impl-def cnt-assn-def
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)
lemma cnt-decr-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]: (uncurry cnt-decr-impl, uncurry (PR-CONST cnt-decr))
 $\in \text{cnt-assn}^d *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{cnt-assn}$ 
apply sepref-to-hoare
unfolding cnt-decr-def cnt-decr-impl-def cnt-assn-def
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

```

5.3.5 Combined Frequency Count and Labeling

definition *clc-assn* \equiv *cnt-assn* \times_a *l-assn*

```

sepref-thm clc-init-impl is PR-CONST clc-init ::  $\text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{clc-assn}$ 
unfolding clc-init-def PR-CONST-def clc-assn-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) clc-init-impl
uses Network-Impl.clc-init-impl.refine-raw
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-init-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

sepref-thm clc-get-impl is uncurry (PR-CONST clc-get)
 $:: \text{clc-assn}^k *_a \text{node-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{nat-assn}$ 
unfolding clc-get-def PR-CONST-def clc-assn-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) clc-get-impl
uses Network-Impl.clc-get-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry ?f,-)
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-get-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

sepref-thm clc-get-rlx-impl is uncurry (PR-CONST clc-get-rlx)
 $:: \text{clc-assn}^k *_a \text{node-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{nat-assn}$ 
unfolding clc-get-rlx-def PR-CONST-def clc-assn-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) clc-get-rlx-impl
uses Network-Impl.clc-get-rlx-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry ?f,-)

```

```
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-get-rlx-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]
```

```
sepref-thm clc-set-impl is uncurry2 (PR-CONST clc-set)
  :: clc-assnd *a node-assnk *a nat-assnk →a clc-assn
  unfolding clc-set-def PR-CONST-def clc-assn-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in –) clc-set-impl
  uses Network-Impl.clc-set-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry2 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-set-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

sepref-thm clc-has-gap-impl is uncurry (PR-CONST clc-has-gap)
  :: clc-assnk *a nat-assnk →a bool-assn
  unfolding clc-has-gap-def PR-CONST-def clc-assn-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in –) clc-has-gap-impl
  uses Network-Impl.clc-has-gap-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-has-gap-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]
```

5.3.6 Push

```
sepref-thm push-impl is uncurry2 (PR-CONST push2)
  :: x-assnd *a cf-assnd *a edge-assnk →a (x-assn ×a cf-assn)
  unfolding push2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in –) push-impl
  uses Network-Impl.push-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry2 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = push-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]
```

5.3.7 Relabel

```
sepref-thm min-adj-label-impl is uncurry3 (PR-CONST min-adj-label)
  :: am-assnk *a cf-assnk *a l-assnk *a node-assnk →a nat-assn
  unfolding min-adj-label-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in –) min-adj-label-impl
  uses Network-Impl.min-adj-label-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry3 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = min-adj-label-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]
```

```
sepref-thm relabel-impl is uncurry3 (PR-CONST relabel2)
  :: am-assnk *a cf-assnk *a l-assnd *a node-assnk →a l-assn
  unfolding relabel2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in –) relabel-impl
  uses Network-Impl.relabel-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry3 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = relabel-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]
```

5.3.8 Gap-Relabel

```

sepref-thm gap-impl is uncurry2 (PR-CONST gap2)
  :: nat-assnk *a clc-assnd *a nat-assnk →a clc-assn
  unfolding gap2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) gap-impl
  uses Network-Impl.gap-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry2 ?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = gap-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

sepref-thm min-adj-label-clc-impl is uncurry3 (PR-CONST min-adj-label-clc)
  :: am-assnk *a cf-assnk *a clc-assnk *a nat-assnk →a nat-assn
  unfolding min-adj-label-clc-def PR-CONST-def clc-assn-def
  by sepref

concrete-definition (in -) min-adj-label-clc-impl
  uses Network-Impl.min-adj-label-clc-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry3 ?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = min-adj-label-clc-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

sepref-thm clc-relabel-impl is uncurry3 (PR-CONST clc-relabel2)
  :: am-assnk *a cf-assnk *a clc-assnd *a node-assnk →a clc-assn
  unfolding clc-relabel2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) clc-relabel-impl
  uses Network-Impl.clc-relabel-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry3 ?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-relabel-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

sepref-thm gap-relabel-impl is uncurry4 (PR-CONST gap-relabel2)
  :: nat-assnk *a am-assnk *a cf-assnk *a clc-assnd *a node-assnk
    →a clc-assn
  unfolding gap-relabel2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) gap-relabel-impl
  uses Network-Impl.gap-relabel-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry4 ?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = gap-relabel-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

5.3.9 Initialization

```

sepref-thm pp-init-xcf2-impl is (PR-CONST pp-init-xcf2)
  :: am-assnk →a x-assn ×a cf-assn
  unfolding pp-init-xcf2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) pp-init-xcf2-impl
  uses Network-Impl.pp-init-xcf2-impl.refine-raw is (?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = pp-init-xcf2-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

end — Network Implementation Locale

```
end
```

6 Implementation of the FIFO Push/Relabel Algorithm

```
theory Fifo-Push-Relabel-Impl2
imports
  Fifo-Push-Relabel
  Prpu-Common-Impl
  ./Net-Check/NetCheck
begin
```

6.1 Basic Operations

```
context Network-Impl
begin
```

6.1.1 Queue

Obtain the empty queue.

```
definition q-empty :: node list nres where
  q-empty ≡ return []
```

Check whether a queue is empty.

```
definition q-is-empty :: node list ⇒ bool nres where
  q-is-empty Q ≡ return ( Q = [] )
```

Enqueue a node.

```
definition q-enqueue :: node ⇒ node list ⇒ node list nres where
  q-enqueue v Q ≡ do {
    assert (v ∈ V);
    return (Q@[v])
  }
```

Dequeue a node.

```
definition q-dequeue :: node list ⇒ (node × node list) nres where
  q-dequeue Q ≡ do {
    assert (Q ≠ []);
    return (hd Q, tl Q)
  }
```

```
end — Network Implementation Locale
```

6.2 Refinements to Basic Operations

```
context Network-Impl
begin
```

In this section, we refine the algorithm to actually use the basic operations.

6.2.1 Refinement of Push

```
definition fifo-push2-aux x cf Q ≡ λ(u,v). do {
    assert ( (u,v) ∈ E ∪ E⁻¹ );
    assert ( u ≠ v );
    let Δ = min (x u) (cf (u,v));
    let Q = (if v≠s ∧ v≠t ∧ x v = 0 then Q@[v] else Q);
    return ((x( u := x u - Δ, v := x v + Δ ),augment-edge-cf cf (u,v) Δ),Q)
}
```

lemma fifo-push2-aux-refine:

```
[((x,cf),f) ∈ xf-rel; (ei,e) ∈ Id ×r Id; (Qi,Q) ∈ Id]
    ⇒ fifo-push2-aux x cf Qi ei ≤ ↓(xf-rel ×r Id) (fifo-push f l Q e)
```

unfolding fifo-push-def fifo-push2-aux-def

apply refine-vcg

apply (vc-solve simp: xf-rel-def no-self-loop)

subgoal for u v

unfolding push-precond-def **using** cfE-of-ss-invE **by** auto

subgoal for u v

proof –

assume [simp]: Labeling c s t f l

then interpret Labeling c s t f l .

thm cfE-ss-invE

assume push-precond f l (u, v)

then interpret l': push-effect-locale c s t f l u v **by** unfold-locales

show ?thesis

apply (safe intro!: ext)

using l'.excess'-if l'.Δ-def l'.cf'-alt {u≠v}

by (auto)

qed

done

```
definition fifo-push2 x cf Q ≡ λ(u,v). do {
```

assert ((u,v) ∈ E ∪ E⁻¹);

xu ← x-get x u;

xv ← x-get x v;

cfuv ← cf-get cf (u,v);

cfvu ← cf-get cf (v,u);

let Δ = min xu cfuv;

x ← x-add x u (-Δ);

x ← x-add x v Δ;

```

 $cf \leftarrow cf\text{-set } cf\ (u,v)\ (cfuv - \Delta);$ 
 $cf \leftarrow cf\text{-set } cf\ (v,u)\ (cfvu + \Delta);$ 

if  $v \neq s \wedge v \neq t \wedge xv = 0$  then do {
   $Q \leftarrow q\text{-enqueue } v\ Q;$ 
  return  $((x,cf),Q)$ 
} else
  return  $((x,cf),Q)$ 
}

lemma fifo-push2-refine[refine]:
assumes  $((x,cf),f) \in xf\text{-rel}$     $(ei,e) \in Id \times_r Id$     $(Qi,Q) \in Id$ 
shows  $\text{fifo-push2 } x\ cf\ Qi\ ei \leq \Downarrow(xf\text{-rel} \times_r Id)\ (\text{fifo-push } f\ l\ Q\ e)$ 
proof -
have  $\text{fifo-push2 } x\ cf\ Qi\ ei \leq (\text{fifo-push2-aux } x\ cf\ Qi\ ei)$ 
unfolding fifo-push2-def fifo-push2-aux-def
unfolding x-get-def x-add-def cf-get-def cf-set-def q-enqueue-def
unfolding augment-edge-cf-def
apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
apply refine-vcg
using E-ss-VxV
by auto
also note fifo-push2-aux-refine[OF assms]
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

6.2.2 Refinement of Gap-Relabel

```

definition fifo-gap-relabel-aux  $C\ f\ l\ Q\ u \equiv$  do {
   $Q \leftarrow q\text{-enqueue } u\ Q;$ 
   $lu \leftarrow l\text{-get } l\ u;$ 
   $l \leftarrow \text{relabel } f\ l\ u;$ 
  if gap-precond  $l\ lu$  then do {
     $l \leftarrow \text{gap-aux } C\ l\ lu;$ 
    return  $(l,Q)$ 
  } else return  $(l,Q)$ 
}

lemma fifo-gap-relabel-aux-refine:
assumes [simp]:  $C = \text{card } V$     $l\text{-invar } l$ 
shows  $\text{fifo-gap-relabel-aux } C\ f\ l\ Q\ u \leq \text{fifo-gap-relabel } f\ l\ Q\ u$ 
unfolding fifo-gap-relabel-aux-def fifo-gap-relabel-def relabel-def
  gap-relabel-effect-def l-get-def q-enqueue-def
apply (simp only: Let-def nres-monad-laws)
apply refine-vcg
by auto

```

```

definition fifo-gap-relabel2 C am cf clc Q u ≡ do {
  Q ← q-enqueue u Q;
  lu ← clc-get clc u;
  clc ← clc-relabel2 am cf clc u;
  has-gap ← clc-has-gap clc lu;
  if has-gap then do {
    clc ← gap2 C clc lu;
    RETURN (clc,Q)
  } else
    RETURN (clc,Q)
}

lemma fifo-gap-relabel2-refine-aux:
  assumes XCF: ((x, cf), f) ∈ xf-rel
  assumes CLC: (clc,l) ∈ clc-rel
  assumes AM: (am,adjacent-nodes) ∈ nat-rel → ⟨nat-rel⟩ list-set-rel
  assumes [simplified,simp]: (Ci,C) ∈ Id   (Qi,Q) ∈ Id   (ui,u) ∈ Id
  shows fifo-gap-relabel2 Ci am cf clc Qi ui ≤ ↴(clc-rel ×r Id) (fifo-gap-relabel-aux
  C fl Q u)
  unfolding fifo-gap-relabel2-def fifo-gap-relabel-aux-def
  apply (refine-vcg XCF AM CLC if-bind-cond-refine bind-refine')
  apply refine-dref-type
  apply (vc-solve solve: refl)
  subgoal for - lu
  using CLC
  unfolding clc-get-def l-get-def clc-rel-def in-br-conv clc-invar-def
  by (auto simp: refine-pw-simps split: prod.splits)
  done

lemma fifo-gap-relabel2-refine[refine]:
  assumes XCF: ((x, cf), f) ∈ xf-rel
  assumes CLC: (clc,l) ∈ clc-rel
  assumes AM: (am,adjacent-nodes) ∈ nat-rel → ⟨nat-rel⟩ list-set-rel
  assumes [simplified,simp]: (Qi,Q) ∈ Id   (ui,u) ∈ Id
  assumes CC: C = card V
  shows fifo-gap-relabel2 C am cf clc Qi ui ≤ ↴(clc-rel ×r Id) (fifo-gap-relabel f l
  Q u)
  proof -
    from CLC have LINV: l-invar l unfolding clc-rel-def in-br-conv clc-invar-def
    by auto

    note fifo-gap-relabel2-refine-aux[OF XCF CLC AM IdI IdI IdI]
    also note fifo-gap-relabel-aux-refine[OF CC LINV]
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed

```

6.2.3 Refinement of Discharge

context begin

Some lengthy, multi-step refinement of discharge, changing the iteration to iteration over adjacent nodes with filter, and showing that we can do the filter wrt. the current state, rather than the original state before the loop.

```

lemma am-nodes-as-filter:
  assumes is-adj-map am
  shows {v . (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f} = set (filter (λv. cf-of f (u,v) ≠ 0) (am u))
  using assms cfE-of-ss-invE
  unfolding is-adj-map-def Graph.E-def
  by fastforce

private lemma adjacent-nodes-iterate-refine1:
  fixes ff u f
  assumes AMR: (am,adjacent-nodes) ∈ Id → ⟨Id⟩list-set-rel
  assumes CR: ⋀s si. (si,s) ∈ Id ⇒ cci si ↔ cc s
  assumes FR: ⋀v vi s si. [(vi,v) ∈ Id; v ∈ V; (u,v) ∈ E ∪ E⁻¹; (si,s) ∈ Id] ⇒
    ffi vi si ≤ ↓Id (do {
      if (cf-of f (u,v) ≠ 0) then ff v s else RETURN s
    }) (is ⋀v vi s si. [‐;‐;‐] ⇒ - ≤ ↓- (?ff' v s))
  assumes SOR: (s0i,s0) ∈ Id
  assumes UR: (ui,u) ∈ Id
  shows nfoldli (am ui) cci ffi s0i ≤ ↓Id (FOREACHc {v . (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f} cc ff
s0)
  proof –
    from fun-relD[OF AMR] have AM: is-adj-map am
    unfolding is-adj-map-def
    by (auto simp: list-set-rel-def in-br-conv adjacent-nodes-def)

  from AM have AM-SS-V: set (am u) ⊆ V {u} × set (am u) ⊆ E ∪ E⁻¹
    unfolding is-adj-map-def using E-ss-VxV by auto

  thm nfoldli-refine
  have nfoldli (am ui) cci ffi s0 ≤ ↓Id (nfoldli (am ui) cc ?ff' s0)
    apply (refine-vcg FR)
    apply (rule list-rel-congD)
    apply refine-dref-type
    using CR
    apply vc-solve
    using AM-SS-V UR by auto
    also have nfoldli (am ui) cc ?ff' s0 ≤ ↓Id (FOREACHc (adjacent-nodes u) cc
?ff' s0)
      by (rule LFOc-refine[OF fun-relD[OF AMR UR]]; simp)
    also have FOREACHc (adjacent-nodes u) cc ?ff' s0 ≤ FOREACHc {v . (u,v) ∈ cfE-of
f} cc ff s0
      apply (subst am-nodes-as-filter[OF AM])
      apply (subst FOREACHc-filter-deforestation2)

```

```

subgoal using AM unfolding is-adj-map-def by auto
subgoal
  apply (rule eq-refl)
  apply ((fo-rule cong)+; (rule refl)?)
  subgoal using fun-relD[OF AMR IdI[of u]] by (auto simp: list-set-rel-def
in-br-conv)
  done
  done
  finally show ?thesis using S0R by simp
qed

private definition dis-loop-aux am f0 l Q u ≡ do {
  assert (u ∈ V − {s, t});
  assert (distinct (am u));
  nfoldli (am u) (λ(f, l, Q). excess f u ≠ 0) (λv (f, l, Q). do {
    assert ((u, v) ∈ E ∪ E-1 ∧ v ∈ V);
    if (cf-of f0 (u, v) ≠ 0) then do {
      if (l u = l v + 1) then do {
        (f', Q) ← fifo-push f l Q (u, v);
        assert (∀v'. v' ≠ v → cf-of f' (u, v') = cf-of f (u, v'));
        return (f', l, Q)
      } else return (f, l, Q)
    } else return (f, l, Q)
  }) (f0, l, Q)
}

private definition fifo-discharge-aux am f0 l Q ≡ do {
  (u, Q) ← q-dequeue Q;
  assert (u ∈ V ∧ u ≠ s ∧ u ≠ t);

  (f, l, Q) ← dis-loop-aux am f0 l Q u;

  if excess f u ≠ 0 then do {
    (l, Q) ← fifo-gap-relabel f l Q u;
    return (f, l, Q)
  } else do {
    return (f, l, Q)
  }
}

private lemma fifo-discharge-aux-refine:
  assumes AM: (am, adjacent-nodes) ∈ Id → ⟨Id⟩ list-set-rel
  assumes [simplified, simp]: (fi, f) ∈ Id (li, l) ∈ Id (Qi, Q) ∈ Id
  shows fifo-discharge-aux am fi li Qi ≤ ↓Id (fifo-discharge f l Q)
  unfolding fifo-discharge-aux-def fifo-discharge-def dis-loop-aux-def
  unfolding q-dequeue-def
  apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
  apply (refine-rcg adjacent-nodes-iterate-refine1[OF AM])
  apply refine-dref-type

```

```

apply vc-solve
subgoal
  using fun-relD[OF AM IdI[of hd Q]]
  unfolding list-set-rel-def by (auto simp: in-br-conv)
  done

private definition dis-loop-aux2 am f0 l Q u ≡ do {
  assert (u ∈ V − {s, t});
  assert (distinct (am u));
  nfoldli (am u) (λ(f,l,Q). excess f u ≠ 0) (λv (f,l,Q). do {
    assert ((u,v) ∈ E ∪ E-1 ∧ v ∈ V);
    if (cf-of f (u,v) ≠ 0) then do {
      if (l u = l v + 1) then do {
        (f',Q) ← fifo-push f l Q (u,v);
        assert (∀v'. v' ≠ v → cf-of f' (u,v') = cf-of f (u,v'));
        return (f',l,Q)
      } else return (f,l,Q)
    } else return (f,l,Q)
  }) (f0,l,Q)
}

private lemma dis-loop-aux2-refine:
  shows dis-loop-aux2 am f0 l Q u ≤↓Id (dis-loop-aux am f0 l Q u)
  unfolding dis-loop-aux2-def dis-loop-aux-def
  apply (intro ASSERT-refine-right ASSERT-refine-left; assumption?)
  apply (rule nfoldli-invar-refine[where I=λit1 it2 (f,-,-). ∀v∈set it2. cf-of f (u,v) = cf-of f0 (u,v)])
  apply refine-dref-type
  apply vc-solve
  apply (auto simp: pw-leof-iff refine-pw-simps fifo-push-def; metis)
  done

private definition dis-loop-aux3 am x cf l Q u ≡ do {
  assert (u ∈ V ∧ distinct (am u));
  monadic-nfoldli (am u)
  (λ((x,cf),l,Q). do { xu ← x-get x u; return (xu ≠ 0) })
  (λv ((x,cf),l,Q). do {
    cfuv ← cf-get cf (u,v);
    if (cfuv ≠ 0) then do {
      lu ← l-get l u;
      lv ← l-get l v;
      if (lu = lv + 1) then do {
        ((x,cf),Q) ← fifo-push2 x cf Q (u,v);
        return ((x,cf),l,Q)
      } else return ((x,cf),l,Q)
    } else return ((x,cf),l,Q)
  }) ((x,cf),l,Q)
}

```

```

private lemma dis-loop-aux3-refine:
  assumes [simplified,simp]: (ami,am)∈Id   (li,l)∈Id   (Qi,Q)∈Id   (ui,u)∈Id
  assumes XF: ((x,cf),f)∈xf-rel
  shows dis-loop-aux3 ami x cf li Qi ui ≤↓(xf-rel ×r Id ×r Id) (dis-loop-aux2 am
f l Q u)
  unfolding dis-loop-aux3-def dis-loop-aux2-def
  unfolding x-get-def cf-get-def l-get-def
  apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws nfoldli-to-monadic)
  apply (refine-rcg)
  apply refine-dref-type
  using XF
  by (vc-solve simp: xf-rel-def in-br-conv)

definition dis-loop2 am x cf clc Q u ≡ do {
  assert (distinct (am u));
  amu ← am-get am u;
  monadic-nfoldli amu
  (λ((x,cf),clc,Q). do { xu ← x-get x u; return (xu ≠ 0) })
  (λv ((x,cf),clc,Q). do {
    cfuv ← cf-get cf (u,v);
    if (cfuv ≠ 0) then do {
      lu ← clc-get clc u;
      lv ← clc-get clc v;
      if (lu = lv + 1) then do {
        ((x,cf),Q) ← fifo-push2 x cf Q (u,v);
        return ((x,cf),clc,Q)
      } else return ((x,cf),clc,Q)
    } else return ((x,cf),clc,Q)
  }) ((x,cf),clc,Q)
}
}

private lemma dis-loop2-refine-aux:
  assumes [simplified,simp]: (xi,x)∈Id   (cfi,cf)∈Id   (ami,am)∈Id   (li,l)∈Id
  (Qi,Q)∈Id   (ui,u)∈Id
  assumes CLC: (clc,l)∈clc-rel
  shows dis-loop2 ami xi cfi clc Qi ui ≤↓(Id ×r clc-rel ×r Id) (dis-loop-aux3 am
x cf l Q u)
  unfolding dis-loop2-def dis-loop-aux3-def am-get-def
  apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
  apply refine-rcg
  apply refine-dref-type
  apply (vc-solve simp: CLC)
  done

lemma dis-loop2-refine[refine]:
  assumes XF: ((x,cf),f)∈xf-rel
  assumes CLC: (clc,l)∈clc-rel
  assumes [simplified,simp]: (ami,am)∈Id   (Qi,Q)∈Id   (ui,u)∈Id
  shows dis-loop2 ami x cf clc Qi ui ≤↓(xf-rel ×r clc-rel ×r Id) (dis-loop-aux am

```

```

 $f l Q u)$ 
proof –
  have [simp]:  $((Id \times_r clc\text{-rel} \times_r Id) O (xf\text{-rel} \times_r Id)) = xf\text{-rel} \times_r clc\text{-rel} \times_r Id$ 
    by (auto simp: prod-rel-comp)

  note dis-loop2-refine-aux[ $OF IdI IdI IdI IdI IdI CLC$ ]
  also note dis-loop-aux3-refine[ $OF IdI IdI IdI IdI XF$ ]
  also note dis-loop-aux2-refine
  finally show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: conc-fun-chain monoD[ $OF conc\text{-fun}\text{-mono}$ ])
qed

definition fifo-discharge2 C am x cf clc Q ≡ do {
   $(u, Q) \leftarrow q\text{-dequeue } Q;$ 
  assert ( $u \in V \wedge u \neq s \wedge u \neq t$ );
   $((x, cf), clc, Q) \leftarrow dis\text{-loop2 am x cf clc } Q u;$ 

   $xu \leftarrow x\text{-get } x u;$ 
  if  $xu \neq 0$  then do {
     $(clc, Q) \leftarrow fifo\text{-gap\text{-}relabel2 C am cf clc } Q u;$ 
    return  $((x, cf), clc, Q)$ 
  } else do {
    return  $((x, cf), clc, Q)$ 
  }
}

lemma fifo-discharge2-refine[refine]:
  assumes AM:  $(am, adjacent\text{-nodes}) \in nat\text{-rel} \rightarrow \langle nat\text{-rel} \rangle list\text{-set\text{-}rel}$ 
  assumes XCF:  $((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-rel}$ 
  assumes CLC:  $(clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}$ 
  assumes [simplified,simp]:  $(Qi, Q) \in Id$ 
  assumes CC:  $C = card V$ 
  shows fifo-discharge2 C am x cf clc Qi ≤ $\Downarrow$   $(xf\text{-rel} \times_r clc\text{-rel} \times_r Id)$  (fifo-discharge
 $f l Q)$ 
proof –
  have fifo-discharge2 C am x cf clc Q ≤ $\Downarrow$   $(xf\text{-rel} \times_r clc\text{-rel} \times_r Id)$  (fifo-discharge-aux
  am f l Q)
  unfolding fifo-discharge2-def fifo-discharge-aux-def
  unfolding x-get-def
  apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
  apply (refine-reg XCF CLC AM IdI)
  apply (vc-solve simp: CC)
  subgoal unfolding xf-rel-def in-br-conv by auto
  applyS assumption
  done
  also note fifo-discharge-aux-refine[ $OF AM IdI IdI IdI$ ]
  finally show ?thesis by simp

```

qed

end — Anonymous Context

6.2.4 Computing the Initial Queue

```
definition q-init am ≡ do {
  Q ← q-empty;
  ams ← am-get am s;
  nfoldli ams (λ_. True) (λv Q. do {
    if v ≠ t then q-enqueue v Q else return Q
  }) Q
}

lemma q-init-correct[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
  assumes AM: is-adj-map am
  shows q-init am ≤ (spec l. distinct l ∧ set l = {v ∈ V - {s, t}. excess pp-init-f v ≠ 0})
  proof -
    from am-to-adj-nodes-refine[OF AM] have set (am s) ⊆ V
    using adjacent-nodes-ss-V
    by (auto simp: list-set-rel-def in-br-conv)
    hence q-init am ≤ RETURN (filter (op ≠ t) (am s))
    unfolding q-init-def q-empty-def q-enqueue-def am-get-def
    apply (refine-vcg nfoldli-rule[where I=λl1 - l. l = filter (op ≠ t) l1])
    by auto
    also have ... ≤ (spec l. distinct l ∧ set l = {v ∈ V - {s, t}. excess pp-init-f v ≠ 0})
    proof -
      from am-to-adj-nodes-refine[OF AM] have [simp]: distinct (am s) ∧ set (am s) = adjacent-nodes s
      unfolding list-set-rel-def
      by (auto simp: in-br-conv)

      show ?thesis
      using E-ss-VxV
      apply (auto simp: pp-init-x-def adjacent-nodes-def)
      unfolding Graph.E-def by auto
    qed
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
```

6.2.5 Refining the Main Algorithm

```
definition fifo-push-relabel-aux am ≡ do {
  cardV ← init-C am;
  assert (cardV = card V);
  let f = pp-init-f;
  l ← l-init cardV;
```

```

 $Q \leftarrow q\text{-init } am;$ 

 $(f,l,-) \leftarrow \text{monadic-WHILEIT } (\lambda -. \text{ True})$ 
 $(\lambda(f,l,Q). \text{ do } \{qe \leftarrow q\text{-is-empty } Q; \text{return } (\neg qe)\})$ 
 $(\lambda(f,l,Q). \text{ do } \{$ 
 $\quad \text{fifo-discharge } f l Q$ 
 $\})$ 
 $(f,l,Q);$ 

assert (Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l);
return f
}

lemma fifo-push-relabel-aux-refine:
assumes AM: is-adj-map am
shows fifo-push-relabel-aux am  $\leq \Downarrow \text{Id}$  (fifo-push-relabel)
unfolding fifo-push-relabel-aux-def fifo-push-relabel-def
unfolding l-init-def pp-init-l-def q-is-empty-def bind-to-let-conv
apply (rule specify-left[OF init-C-correct[OF AM]])
apply (refine-rcg q-init-correct[OF AM])
apply refine-dref-type
apply vc-solve
done

definition fifo-push-relabel2 am  $\equiv$  do {
  cardV  $\leftarrow$  init-C am;
   $(x,cf) \leftarrow$  pp-init-xcf2 am;
  clc  $\leftarrow$  clc-init cardV;
   $Q \leftarrow q\text{-init } am;$ 

   $((x,cf),clc,Q) \leftarrow \text{monadic-WHILEIT } (\lambda -. \text{ True})$ 
   $(\lambda((x,cf),clc,Q). \text{ do } \{qe \leftarrow q\text{-is-empty } Q; \text{return } (\neg qe)\})$ 
   $(\lambda((x,cf),clc,Q). \text{ do } \{$ 
     $\quad \text{fifo-discharge2 } cardV am x cf clc Q$ 
   $\})$ 
   $((x,cf),clc,Q);$ 

  return cf
}

lemma fifo-push-relabel2-refine:
assumes AM: is-adj-map am
shows fifo-push-relabel2 am  $\leq \Downarrow (\text{br } (\text{flow-of-} cf) \text{ (RPreGraph c s t)}) \text{ fifo-push-relabel}$ 
proof -
{  

  fix f l n  

  assume Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l  

  then interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l .
}

```

```

have G1: flow-of-cf cf = f by (rule fo-rg-inv)
have G2: RPreGraph c s t cf by (rule is-RPreGraph)
note G1 G2
} note AUX1=this

have fifo-push-relabel2 am  $\leq \Downarrow(br(\text{flow-of-cf})(R\text{PreGraph }c\ s\ t))(\text{fifo-push-relabel-aux}
am)
unfolding fifo-push-relabel2-def fifo-push-relabel-aux-def
apply (refine-rcg)
apply (refine-dref-type)
apply (vc-solve simp: AM am-to-adj-nodes-refine[OF AM])
subgoal using AUX1 by (auto simp: in-br-conv xf-rel-def AM)
done
also note fifo-push-relabel-aux-refine[OF AM]
finally show ?thesis .
qed

end — Network Impl. Locale$ 
```

6.3 Separating out the Initialization of the Adjacency Matrix

```

context Network-Impl
begin

```

We split the algorithm into an initialization of the adjacency matrix, and the actual algorithm. This way, the algorithm can handle pre-initialized adjacency matrices.

```

definition fifo-push-relabel-init2  $\equiv$  cf-init
definition pp-init-xcf2' am cf  $\equiv$  do {
  x  $\leftarrow$  x-init;
  assert (s $\in V$ );
  adj  $\leftarrow$  am-get am s;
  nfoldli adj ( $\lambda$ . True) ( $\lambda v$  (x,cf). do {
    assert ((s,v) $\in E$ );
    assert (s  $\neq$  v);
    a  $\leftarrow$  cf-get cf (s,v);
    x  $\leftarrow$  x-add x s (-a);
    x  $\leftarrow$  x-add x v a;
    cf  $\leftarrow$  cf-set cf (s,v) 0;
    cf  $\leftarrow$  cf-set cf (v,s) a;
    return (x,cf)
  }) (x,cf)
}


```

```

definition fifo-push-relabel-run2 am cf  $\equiv$  do {
  cardV  $\leftarrow$  init-C am;

```

```

 $(x, cf) \leftarrow pp\text{-}init\text{-}xcf2' am cf;$ 
 $clc \leftarrow clc\text{-}init cardV;$ 
 $Q \leftarrow q\text{-}init am;$ 

 $((x, cf), clc, Q) \leftarrow monadic\text{-}WHILEIT (\lambda\text{-}. True)$ 
 $\quad (\lambda((x, cf), clc, Q). do \{qe \leftarrow q\text{-}is\text{-}empty Q; return (\neg qe)\})$ 
 $\quad (\lambda((x, cf), clc, Q). do \{$ 
 $\quad \quad fifo\text{-}discharge2 cardV am x cf clc Q$ 
 $\quad \})$ 
 $\quad ((x, cf), clc, Q);$ 

 $return cf$ 
}

lemma fifo-push-relabel2-alt:
 $fifo\text{-}push\text{-}relabel2 am = do \{$ 
 $\quad cf \leftarrow fifo\text{-}push\text{-}relabel\text{-}init2;$ 
 $\quad fifo\text{-}push\text{-}relabel\text{-}run2 am cf$ 
 $\}$ 
unfolding fifo-push-relabel-init2-def fifo-push-relabel-run2-def
 $fifo\text{-}push\text{-}relabel2\text{-}def pp\text{-}init\text{-}xcf2\text{-}def pp\text{-}init\text{-}xcf2'\text{-}def$ 
 $cf\text{-}init\text{-}def$ 
by simp

```

end — Network Impl. Locale

6.4 Refinement To Efficient Data Structures

context *Network-Impl*
begin

6.4.1 Registration of Abstract Operations

We register all abstract operations at once, auto-rewriting the capacity matrix type

context includes *Network-Impl-Sepref-Register*
begin

```

sepref-register q-empty q-is-empty q-enqueue q-dequeue
sepref-register fifo-push2
sepref-register fifo-gap-relabel2
sepref-register dis-loop2 fifo-discharge2
sepref-register q-init pp-init-xcf2'

```

```
sepref-register fifo-push-relabel-run2 fifo-push-relabel-init2
sepref-register fifo-push-relabel2
```

end — Anonymous Context

6.4.2 Queue by Two Stacks

```
definition (in -) q- $\alpha$   $\equiv \lambda(L,R). L @ rev R$ 
definition (in -) q-empty-impl  $\equiv (\[],[])$ 
definition (in -) q-is-empty-impl  $\equiv \lambda(L,R). is\text{-}Nil L \wedge is\text{-}Nil R$ 
definition (in -) q-enqueue-impl  $\equiv \lambda x (L,R). (L,x\#R)$ 
definition (in -) q-dequeue-impl  $\equiv \lambda(x\#L,R) \Rightarrow (x,(L,R)) \mid ([] ,R) \Rightarrow case rev R$ 
of  $(x\#L) \Rightarrow (x,(L,[]))$ 

lemma q-empty-impl-correct[simp]:  $q\text{-}\alpha q\text{-empty-impl} = []$  by (auto simp: q- $\alpha$ -def q-empty-impl-def)
lemma q-enqueue-impl-correct[simp]:  $q\text{-}\alpha (q\text{-enqueue-impl } x Q) = q\text{-}\alpha Q @ [x]$ 
by (auto simp: q- $\alpha$ -def q-enqueue-impl-def split: prod.split)

lemma q-is-empty-impl-correct[simp]:  $q\text{-is-empty-impl } Q \longleftrightarrow q\text{-}\alpha Q = []$ 
unfolding q- $\alpha$ -def q-is-empty-impl-def
by (cases Q) (auto split: list.splits)

lemma q-dequeue-impl-correct-aux:  $\llbracket q\text{-}\alpha Q = x\#xs \rrbracket \implies apsnd q\text{-}\alpha (q\text{-dequeue-impl } Q) = (x,xs)$ 
unfolding q- $\alpha$ -def q-dequeue-impl-def
by (cases Q) (auto split!: list.split)

lemma q-dequeue-impl-correct[simp]:
assumes q-dequeue-impl  $Q = (x,Q')$ 
assumes q- $\alpha Q \neq []$ 
shows  $x = hd (q\text{-}\alpha Q)$  and  $q\text{-}\alpha Q' = tl (q\text{-}\alpha Q)$ 
using assms q-dequeue-impl-correct-aux[of Q]
by – (cases q- $\alpha Q$ ; auto)+

definition q-assn  $\equiv pure (br q\text{-}\alpha (\lambda\_. True))$ 
```

```
lemma q-empty-impl-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]: ( $uncurry0 (return q\text{-empty-impl})$ ,  $uncurry0 q\text{-empty}$ )  $\in unit\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a q\text{-assn}$ 
apply (sepref-to-hoare)
unfolding q-assn-def q-empty-def pure-def
by (sep-auto simp: in-br-conv)
```

```
lemma q-is-empty-impl-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]: ( $return o q\text{-is-empty-impl}$ ,  $q\text{-is-empty}$ )  $\in q\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a bool\text{-assn}$ 
```

```

apply (sepref-to-hoare)
unfolding q-assn-def q-is-empty-def pure-def
by (sep-auto simp: in-br-conv)

lemma q-enqueue-impl-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry (return oo q-enqueue-impl), uncurry (PR-CONST q-enqueue))  $\in$  nat-assnk
   $*_a q\text{-assn}^d \rightarrow_a q\text{-assn}$ 
  apply (sepref-to-hoare)
  unfolding q-assn-def q-enqueue-def pure-def
  by (sep-auto simp: in-br-conv refine-pw-simps)

lemma q-dequeue-impl-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (return o q-dequeue-impl, q-dequeue)  $\in$  q-assnd  $\rightarrow_a$  nat-assn  $\times_a$  q-assn
  apply (sepref-to-hoare)
  unfolding q-assn-def q-dequeue-def pure-def prod-assn-def
  by (sep-auto simp: in-br-conv refine-pw-simps split: prod.split)

```

6.4.3 Push

```

sepref-thm fifo-push-impl is uncurry3 (PR-CONST fifo-push2)
  :: x-assnd  $*_a$  cf-assnd  $*_a$  q-assnd  $*_a$  edge-assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  ((x-assn  $\times_a$  cf-assn)  $\times_a$  q-assn)

  unfolding fifo-push2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
  concrete-definition (in -) fifo-push-impl
    uses Network-Impl.fifo-push-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry3 ?f,-  $\in$  -)
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-push-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

6.4.4 Gap-Relabel

```

sepref-thm fifo-gap-relabel-impl is uncurry5 (PR-CONST fifo-gap-relabel2)
  :: nat-assnk  $*_a$  am-assnk  $*_a$  cf-assnk  $*_a$  clc-assnd  $*_a$  q-assnd  $*_a$  node-assnk
     $\rightarrow_a$  clc-assn  $\times_a$  q-assn
  unfolding fifo-gap-relabel2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
  concrete-definition (in -) fifo-gap-relabel-impl
    uses Network-Impl.fifo-gap-relabel-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry5 ?f,-  $\in$  -)
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-gap-relabel-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

6.4.5 Discharge

```

sepref-thm fifo-dis-loop-impl is uncurry5 (PR-CONST dis-loop2)
  :: am-assnk  $*_a$  x-assnd  $*_a$  cf-assnd  $*_a$  clc-assnd  $*_a$  q-assnd  $*_a$  node-assnk
     $\rightarrow_a$  ((x-assn  $\times_a$  cf-assn)  $\times_a$  clc-assn  $\times_a$  q-assn)
  unfolding dis-loop2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
  concrete-definition (in -) fifo-dis-loop-impl
    uses Network-Impl.fifo-dis-loop-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry5 ?f,-  $\in$  -)
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-dis-loop-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

```

sepref-thm fifo-fifo-discharge-impl is uncurry5 (PR-CONST fifo-discharge2)
  :: nat-assnk *a am-assnk *a x-assnd *a cf-assnd *a clc-assnd *a q-assnd
  →a (x-assn ×a cf-assn) ×a clc-assn ×a q-assn
  unfolding fifo-discharge2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-fifo-discharge-impl
  uses Network-Impl.fifo-fifo-discharge-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry5 ?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-fifo-discharge-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

6.4.6 Computing the Initial State

```

sepref-thm fifo-init-C-impl is (PR-CONST init-C)
  :: am-assnk →a nat-assn
  unfolding init-C-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-init-C-impl
  uses Network-Impl.fifo-init-C-impl.refine-raw is (?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-init-C-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

sepref-thm fifo-q-init-impl is (PR-CONST q-init)
  :: am-assnk →a q-assn
  unfolding q-init-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-q-init-impl
  uses Network-Impl.fifo-q-init-impl.refine-raw is (?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-q-init-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

```

sepref-thm pp-init-xcf2'-impl is uncurry (PR-CONST pp-init-xcf2')
  :: am-assnk *a cf-assnd →a x-assn ×a cf-assn
  unfolding pp-init-xcf2'-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) pp-init-xcf2'-impl
  uses Network-Impl.pp-init-xcf2'-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry ?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = pp-init-xcf2'-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

6.4.7 Main Algorithm

```

sepref-thm fifo-push-relabel-run-impl
  is uncurry (PR-CONST fifo-push-relabel-run2)
  :: am-assnk *a cf-assnd →a cf-assn
  unfolding fifo-push-relabel-run2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-push-relabel-run-impl
  uses Network-Impl.fifo-push-relabel-run-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry ?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-push-relabel-run-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

sepref-thm fifo-push-relabel-init-impl
  is uncurry0 (PR-CONST fifo-push-relabel-init2)
  :: unit-assnk →a cf-assn
  unfolding fifo-push-relabel-init2-def PR-CONST-def

```

```

by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-push-relabel-init-impl
  uses Network-Impl.fifo-push-relabel-init-impl.refine-raw
  is (uncurry0 ?f,-) $\in$ -
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-push-relabel-init-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]
```

```

sepref-thm fifo-push-relabel-impl is (PR-CONST fifo-push-relabel2)
  :: am-assnk  $\rightarrow_a$  cf-assn
  unfolding fifo-push-relabel2-alt PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-push-relabel-impl
  uses Network-Impl.fifo-push-relabel-impl.refine-raw is (?f,-) $\in$ -
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-push-relabel-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]
```

end — Network Impl. Locale

export-code *fifo-push-relabel-impl* **checking** *SML-imp*

6.5 Combining the Refinement Steps

```

theorem (in Network-Impl) fifo-push-relabel-impl-correct[sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes AM: is-adj-map am
  shows
    <am-assn am ami>
      fifo-push-relabel-impl c s t N ami
    < $\lambda cf. \exists_A cf.$ 
      am-assn am ami * cf-assn cf cf
      *  $\uparrow(isMaxFlow (flow-of-cf cf) \wedge RGraph-Impl c s t N cf)$ >t
proof -
  note fifo-push-relabel2-refine[OF AM]
  also note fifo-push-relabel-correct
  finally have R1:
    fifo-push-relabel2 am
     $\leq \Downarrow (br flow-of-cf (RPreGraph c s t)) (SPEC isMaxFlow) .$ 
```

have [*simp*]: *nofail* ($\Downarrow R (RES X)$) **for** *R X* **by** (*auto simp: refine-pw-simps*)

```

note R2 = fifo-push-relabel-impl.refine[
  OF Network-Impl-axioms, to-hnr, unfolded autoref-tag-defs]
note R3 = hn-refine-ref[OF R1 R2, of ami]
note R4 = R3[unfolded hn-ctxt-def pure-def, THEN hn-refineD, simplified]

note RGII = rgraph-and-network-impl-imp-rgraph-impl[OF
  RPreGraph.maxflow-imp-rgraph
  Network-Impl-axioms
]
```

```

show ?thesis
  by (sep-auto
    heap: R4
    simp: RGII
    simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps in-br-conv)
qed

```

6.6 Combination with Network Checker and Main Correctness Theorem

```

definition fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am c s t N am ≡ do {
  ami ← Array.make N am; (* TODO/DUP: Called init-ps in Edmonds–Karp
  impl *)
  cfi ← fifo-push-relabel-impl c s t N ami;
  return (ami,cfi)
}

```

theorem fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am-correct[sep-heap-rules]:

assumes NW: Network c s t

assumes VN: Graph.V c ⊆ {0.. $< N$ }

assumes ABS-PS: Graph.is-adj-map c am

shows

```

<emp>
  fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am c s t N am
  <λ(ami,cfi). ∃ A cf.
    am-assn N am ami * cf-assn N cf cfi
    * ↑(Network.isMaxFlow c s t (Network.flow-of-cf c cf)
      ∧ RGraph-Impl c s t N cf
    )>_t

```

proof –

interpret Network c s t by fact

interpret Network-Impl c s t N using VN by unfold-locales

from ABS-PS have [simp]: am u = [] if $u \geq N$ for u

unfolding is-adj-map-def

using E-ss-VxV VN that

apply (subgoal-tac $u \notin V$)

by (auto simp del: inV-less-N)

show ?thesis

unfolding fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am-def

apply vcg

apply (rule Hoare-Triple.cons-rule[

OF -- fifo-push-relabel-impl-correct[OF ABS-PS]])

subgoal unfolding am-assn-def is-nf-def by sep-auto

apply (rule ent-refl)

subgoal by sep-auto

done

qed

```

definition fifo-push-relabel el s t ≡ do {
  case prepareNet el s t of
    None ⇒ return None
  | Some (c,am,N) ⇒ do {
    (ami,cf) ← fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am c s t N am;
    return (Some (c,ami,N,cf))
  }
}

export-code fifo-push-relabel checking SML-imp

```

Main correctness statement: If *fifo-push-relabel* returns *None*, the edge list was invalid or described an invalid network. If it returns *Some (c,am,N,cf)*, then the edge list is valid and describes a valid network. Moreover, *cfi* is an integer square matrix of dimension *N*, which describes a valid residual graph in the network, whose corresponding flow is maximal. Finally, *am* is a valid adjacency map of the graph, and the nodes of the graph are integers less than *N*.

```

theorem fifo-push-relabel-correct[sep-heap-rules]:
<emp>
  fifo-push-relabel el s t
  <λ
    None ⇒ ↑(¬ln-invar el ∨ ¬Network (ln-α el) s t)
  | Some (c,ami,N,cfi) ⇒
    ↑(c = ln-α el ∧ ln-invar el ∧ Network c s t)
    * (exists_A am cf. am-assn N am ami * cf-assn N cf cfi
      * ↑(RGraph-Impl c s t N cf ∧ Graph.is-adj-map c am
        ∧ Network.isMaxFlow c s t (Network.flow-of-cf c cf)))
  )
  >t

unfolding fifo-push-relabel-def
using prepareNet-correct[of el s t]
by (sep-auto simp: ln-rel-def in-br-conv)

```

6.6.1 Justification of Splitting into Prepare and Run Phase

```

definition fifo-push-relabel-prepare-impl el s t ≡ do {
  case prepareNet el s t of
    None ⇒ return None
  | Some (c,am,N) ⇒ do {
    ami ← Array.make N am;
    cfi ← fifo-push-relabel-init-impl c N;
    return (Some (N,ami,c,cfi))
  }
}

```

```

theorem justify-fifo-push-relabel-prep-run-split:
  fifo-push-relabel el s t =
    do {
      pr  $\leftarrow$  fifo-push-relabel-prepare-impl el s t;
      case pr of
        None  $\Rightarrow$  return None
        | Some (N,ami,c,cf)  $\Rightarrow$  do {
          cf  $\leftarrow$  fifo-push-relabel-run-impl s t N ami cf;
          return (Some (c,ami,N,cf))
        }
      }
    }
  unfolding fifo-push-relabel-def fifo-push-relabel-prepare-impl-def
  fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am-def fifo-push-relabel-impl-def
  by (auto split: option.split)

```

6.7 Usage Example: Computing Maxflow Value

We implement a function to compute the value of the maximum flow.

```

definition fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val el s t  $\equiv$  do {
  r  $\leftarrow$  fifo-push-relabel el s t;
  case r of
    None  $\Rightarrow$  return None
    | Some (c,am,N,cf)  $\Rightarrow$  do {
      v  $\leftarrow$  compute-flow-val-impl s N am cf;
      return (Some v)
    }
}

```

The computed flow value is correct

```

theorem fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val-correct:
  <emp>
  fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val el s t
  <λ
  None  $\Rightarrow$   $\uparrow(\neg \text{ln-invar el} \vee \neg \text{Network}(\text{ln-}\alpha\text{ el}) s t)$ 
  | Some v  $\Rightarrow$   $\uparrow(\text{ln-invar el}$ 
     $\wedge$  (let c = ln- $\alpha$  el in
      Network c s t  $\wedge$  Network.is-max-flow-val c s t v
    ))
  >t
proof -
  {
    fix cf N
    assume RGraph-Impl (ln- $\alpha$  el) s t N cf
    then interpret RGraph (ln- $\alpha$  el) s t cf by (rule RGraph-Impl.axioms)
    have f = flow-of cf cf unfolding f-def by simp
    } note aux=this

show ?thesis
  unfolding fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val-def

```

```

by (sep-auto simp: Network.is-max-flow-val-def aux)
qed

export-code fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val checking SML-imp

end

```

7 Conclusion

We have presented a verification of two push-relabel algorithms for solving the maximum flow problem. Starting with a generic push-relabel algorithm, we have used stepwise refinement techniques to derive the relabel-to-front and FIFO push-relabel algorithms. Further refinement yields verified efficient imperative implementations of the algorithms.

References

- [1] R.-J. Back. *On the correctness of refinement steps in program development*. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of Helsinki, 1978.
- [2] R.-J. Back and J. von Wright. *Refinement Calculus — A Systematic Introduction*. Springer, 1998.
- [3] B. V. Cherkassky and A. V. Goldberg. On implementing the push—relabel method for the maximum flow problem. *Algorithmica*, 19(4):390–410, 1997.
- [4] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms, Third Edition*. The MIT Press, 3rd edition, 2009.
- [5] A. V. Goldberg and R. E. Tarjan. A new approach to the maximum-flow problem. *J. ACM*, 35(4), Oct. 1988.
- [6] P. Lammich and S. R. Sefidgar. Formalizing the edmonds-karp algorithm. In *Interactive Theorem Proving*. Springer, 2016. to appear.
- [7] P. Lammich and S. R. Sefidgar. Formalizing the edmonds-karp algorithm. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Aug. 2016. http://isa-afp.org/entries/EdmondsKarp_Maxflow.shtml, Formal proof development.
- [8] G. Lee. Correctnesss of ford-fulkersons maximum flow algorithm1. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):305–314, 2005.

- [9] N. Wirth. Program development by stepwise refinement. *Commun. ACM*, 14(4), Apr. 1971.