

Quantifier elimination with Sturm sequences

The seminar showed that you can use Sturm sequences to transform a formula $\exists X. \mathcal{S}(X, T)$ to a system of equations and inequalities only depending on T . Here now an example similar to Exercise 6.4 with a polynomial in x and depending on parameters a and c . We want to eliminate the existential quantifier from the formula $\exists x. x^4 + ax^3 + c$. We start with building the Sturm sequence.

$$\begin{aligned} P &= P_0 = x^4 + ax^3 + c \\ P_1 &= P' = 4x^3 + 3ax^2 \\ P_2 &= \text{negative remainder of the division of } P_0 \text{ by } P_1 \\ P_2 &= -(P_0 \bmod P_1) = \frac{1}{16}(3a^2x^2 - 16c) \hat{=} 3a^2x^2 - 16c \end{aligned}$$

We can multiply polynomials in the Sturm sequence with positive real numbers to get nicer looking integer coefficients. This works because it doesn't change the sign and the divisibility/roots of the polynomial (regarding division in $\mathbb{R}[X]$). Since we look at the limits at $-\infty$ and ∞ it's necessary to do a case distinction on the leading coefficients. In our example the leading coefficient of P_2 is depending on a and can become 0:

Case 1: $a = 0$

Then $P_0 = x^4 + c$, $P_1 = 4x^3$ and $P_2 = -16c$. The leading coefficient of P_2 is now c . We need another case distinction.

Case 1.1: $a = 0, c = 0$

Then $P_0 = x^4$, $P_1 = 4x^3$ and $P_2 = 0$. By having a look at the sign changes at $-\infty$ and ∞ we see that P has one root. If a polynomial evaluates to zero in the Sturm algorithm, we simply ignore it.

	$-\infty$	∞
P_0	+	+
P_1	-	+
P_2	0	0

Case 1.2: $a = 0, c \neq 0$

Then $P_0 = x^4 + c$, $P_1 = 4x^3$ and $P_2 = -16c$.

	$-\infty$	∞		$c > 0$	$c < 0$
P_0	+	+	P_0	+	+
P_1	-	+	P_1	-	+
P_2	$-\text{sign}(c)$	$-\text{sign}(c)$	P_2	-	+

So P has two roots for $a = 0$ and $c < 0$ and no roots for $a = 0$ and $c > 0$.

Case 2: $a \neq 0$

$$P_3 = \frac{1}{3a^3}(-64cx - 48ac) \hat{=} -64cx - 48ac$$

Looking at the leading coefficient leads to another case distinction.

Case 2.1: $a \neq 0, c = 0$

Then $P_0 = x^4 + ax^3$, $P_1 = 4x^3 + 3ax^2$, $P_2 = 3a^2x^2$ and $P_3 = 0$.

	$-\infty$	∞
P_0	+	+
P_1	-	+
P_2	+	+
P_3	0	0

So for $a \neq 0$ and $c = 0$ P has two roots. As you can easily see those are 0 and $-a$ since $x^4 + ax^3 = x^3(x + a)$.

Case 2.2: $a \neq 0, c \neq 0$

$$P_4 = \frac{1}{256}(-27a^4 + 256c) \stackrel{\wedge}{=} -27a^4 + 256c$$

Hey, how about a case distinction?

Case 2.2.1: $a \neq 0, c \neq 0, P_4 = 0$

Then $P_0 = x^4 + ax^3 + c, P_1 = 4x^3 + 3ax^2, P_2 = 3a^2x^2 - 16c, P_3 = -64cx - 48ac$ and $P_4 = 0$.

	$-\infty$	∞
P_0	+	+
P_1	-	+
P_2	+	+
P_3	$\text{sign}(c)$	$-\text{sign}(c)$
P_4	0	0

So P has one real roots if $c > 0$ and three roots if $c < 0$. But the case $c < 0$ is in contradiction to $-27a^4 + 256c = 0 \Leftrightarrow c = \frac{27}{256}a^4$. We can ignore this case.

Case 2.2.2: $a \neq 0, c \neq 0, P_4 \neq 0$

Then $P_0 = x^4 + ax^3 + c, P_1 = 4x^3 + 3ax^2, P_2 = 3a^2x^2 - 16c, P_3 = -64cx - 48ac$ and $P_4 = -27a^4 + 256c$.

	$-\infty$	∞
P_0	+	+
P_1	-	+
P_2	+	+
P_2	$\text{sign}(c)$	$-\text{sign}(c)$
P_4	$\text{sign}(P_4)$	$\text{sign}(P_4)$

	$c > 0$ $P_4 > 0$		$c > 0$ $P_4 < 0$		$c < 0$ $P_4 > 0$		$c < 0$ $P_4 < 0$	
	$-\infty$	∞	$-\infty$	∞	$-\infty$	∞	$-\infty$	∞
P_0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
P_1	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
P_2	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
P_3	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+
P_4	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
	0 roots		2 roots		4 roots		2 roots	

The third case $c < 0$ and $P_4 > 0$ is a contradiction and can be ignored in the final formula.

Conclusion

By gathering all cases where P has roots, we can now eliminate the existential quantifier. We can also negate the cases where P has no roots to get an also equivalent formula.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists x. x^4 + a * x^3 + c = 0 \\
& \iff \\
& (a = 0 \wedge c = 0) \vee \\
& (a = 0 \wedge c < 0) \vee \\
& (a \neq 0 \wedge c > 0 \wedge -27a^4 + 256c = 0) \vee \\
& (a \neq 0 \wedge c > 0 \wedge -27a^4 + 256c < 0) \vee \\
& (a \neq 0 \wedge c < 0 \wedge -27a^4 + 256c < 0) \\
& \iff \\
& \neg((a = 0 \wedge c > 0) \wedge (a \neq 0 \wedge c > 0 \wedge -27a^4 + 256c > 0))
\end{aligned}$$

The above formula could of course be further simplified.

What to take from all of this:

- You can multiply all polynomials in the Sturm sequence with positive real numbers without changing the result of the algorithm (even P_0).
- You have to make a case distinction if the leading coefficient of a Sturm polynomial contains a parameter.
- Avoid doing long division on complex polynomials by hand. It's cumbersome and errorprone.