Functional Data Structures

Exercise Sheet 9

Exercise 9.1 Indicate Unchanged by Option

Write an insert function for red-black trees that either inserts the element and returns a new tree, or returns None if the element was already in the tree.

fun $ins' :: "'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \ rbt \Rightarrow 'a \ rbt \ option"$ **lemma** "invc $t \Rightarrow case \ ins' \ x \ t \ of \ None \Rightarrow ins \ x \ t = t \ | \ Some \ t' \Rightarrow ins \ x \ t = t'"$

Exercise 9.2 Joining 2-3-Trees

Write a join function for complete 2-3-trees: The function shall take two 2-3-trees l and r and an element x, and return a new 2-3-tree with the inorder-traversal l x r.

Write two functions, one for the height of l being greater, the other for the height of r being greater. The result should also be a complete tree, with height equal to the greater height of l and r.

height r greater:

fun joinL :: "'a $tree23 \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a tree23 \Rightarrow 'a upI$ " **lemma** $complete_joinL$: "[[$complete \ l; \ complete \ r; \ height \ l < height \ r$]] $\Rightarrow complete \ (treeI \ (joinL \ l \ x \ r)) \land hI \ (joinL \ l \ x \ r) = height \ r$ "

lemma inorder_joinL: "[[complete l; complete r; height l < height r]] \implies inorder (treeI (joinL l x r)) = inorder l @x # inorder r"

height l greater:

fun $joinR :: "'a \ tree 23 \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ tree 23 \Rightarrow 'a \ upI"$ **lemma** $complete_joinR: "[complete l; complete r; height l > height r]] \implies$ $complete \ (treeI \ (joinR \ l \ x \ r)) \land hI(joinR \ l \ x \ r) = height \ l"$

lemma inorder_joinR: "[[complete l; complete r; height l > height r]] \implies inorder (treeI (joinR l x r)) = inorder l @x # inorder r"

Combine both functions.

fun join :: "'a tree23 \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a tree23 \Rightarrow 'a tree23" **lemma** "[[complete l; complete r]] \Rightarrow complete (join l x r)"

lemma "[[complete l; complete r]] \implies inorder (join l x r) = inorder l @x # inorder r"

Homework 9.1 List to RBT

Submission until Thursday, June 17, 23:59pm.

In this task you are to define a function $list_to_rbt$ which constructs a red-black tree that contains the members of a given list.

Hint:

This function could be constructed by composing two functions. The first is a function that constructs an almost complete binary tree from a list (see the function *balance_list* in $HOL-Data_Structures.Balance)$ – a tree is almost complete if its minimum height and its height differ by at most 1 (see *acomplete* in the file HOL-Library.Tree)

The second function, which is mk_rbt , constructs the equivalent red-black tree to a given almost complete binary tree:

```
fun mk\_rbt :: "'a tree \Rightarrow 'a rbt" where

"mk\_rbt \langle \rangle = \langle \rangle"

| "mk\_rbt \langle l, a, r \rangle = (let

l'=mk\_rbt l;

r'=mk\_rbt r

in

if min\_height l > min\_height r then

B (paint Red l') a r'

else if min\_height l < min\_height r then

B l' a (paint Red r')

else

B l' a r'

) "
```

```
fun list\_to\_rbt :: "'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ rbt"
```

Hint: If you follow the hint above and construct the function $list_to_rbt$ by composing the functions mk_rbt and $balance_list$, then a good idea to prove the theorems required below is to prove lemmas about mk_rbt applied to almost complete trees, and then leverage the results to get the theorems about $list_to_rbt$

Warmup

Show the following alternative characterization of almost complete: **lemma** *acomplete_alt*: "acomplete $t \leftrightarrow height t = min_height t \lor height t = min_height t + 1$ "

The Easy Parts

Show that the inorder traversal of the tree constructed by *list_to_rbt* is the same as the given list:

lemma $mk_rbt_inorder$: "Tree2.inorder (list_to_rbt xs) = xs"

Show that the color of the root node is always black:

lemma mk_rbt_color : "color (list_to_rbt xs) = Black"

Medium Complex Parts

Show that the returned tree satisfies the height invariant.

lemma *mk_rbt_invh*: *"invh* (*list_to_rbt xs*) "

Hint: Use Isar to have better control on when to unfold with $acomplete_alt$, and when to use (e.g. to discharge the premises of the IH). Also, a useful lemma to prove is $acomplete ?t \implies bheight (mk_rbt ?t) = min_height ?t.$

The Hard Part (Bonus, 5 points)

Show that the returned tree satisfies the color invariant.

lemma *mk_rbt_invc*: *"invc* (*list_to_rbt t*)*"*

Hint: A useful lemma is *acomplete* $?t \implies invc (mk_rbt ?t)$. To prove it, combine case splitting, automation and manual proof (Isar, aux-lemmas), in order to deal with the multiple cases without a combinatorial explosion of the proofs.