

Functional Data Structures

Exercise Sheet 5

Solve this exercise sheet without *sledgehammer* proofs i.e., *smt*, *metis*, *meson*, or *moura* are forbidden!

Exercise 5.1 Bounding power-of-two by factorial

Prove that, for all natural numbers $n > 3$, we have $2^n < n!$. We have already prepared the proof skeleton for you.

```
lemma exp_fact_estimate: "n>3 ==> (2::nat)^n < fact n"
proof (induction n)
  case 0 then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  show ?case
```

Fill in a proof here. Hint: Start with a case distinction whether $n > 3$ or $n = 3$.

Warning! Make sure that your numerals have the right type, otherwise proofs will not work! To check the type of a numeral, hover the mouse over it with pressed CTRL (Mac: CMD) key. Example:

```
lemma "2^n ≤ 2^Suc n"
  apply auto oops
```

Leaves the subgoal $2^n \leq 2 * 2^n$

You will find out that the numeral 2 has type $'a$, for which you do not have any ordering laws. So you have to manually restrict the numeral's type to, e.g., nat .

```
lemma "(2::nat)^n ≤ 2^Suc n" by simp
```

Exercise 5.2 Sum Squared is Sum of Cubes

- Define a recursive function $sumto f n = \sum_{i=0..n} f(i)$.
- Show that $(\sum_{i=0..n} i)^2 = \sum_{i=0..n} i^3$.

```
fun sumto :: "(nat ⇒ nat) ⇒ nat ⇒ nat"
```

You may need the following lemma:

```
lemma sum_of_naturals: "2 * sumto (λx. x) n = n * (n + 1)"
```

```
lemma "sumto (λx. x) n ^ 2 = sumto (λx. x ^ 3) n"
```

```
proof (induct n)
```

```
  case 0 show ?case by simp
```

```
next
```

```
  case (Suc n)
```

```
  assume IH: "(sumto (λx. x) n)2 = sumto (λx. x ^ 3) n"
```

```
  note [simp] = algebra_simps — Extend the simpset only in this block
```

```
  show "(sumto (λx. x) (Suc n))2 = sumto (λx. x ^ 3) (Suc n)"
```

Exercise 5.3 Pretty Printing of Binary Trees

Binary trees can be uniquely pretty-printed by emitting a symbol L for a leaf, and a symbol N for a node. Each N is followed by the pretty-prints of the left and right tree. No additional brackets are required!

```
datatype 'a tchar = L | N 'a
```

```
fun pretty :: "'a tree ⇒ 'a tchar list"
```

```
value "pretty (Node (Node Leaf 0 Leaf) (1::nat) (Node Leaf 2 Leaf)) = [N 1, N 0, L, L, N 2, L, L]"
```

Show that pretty-printing is actually unique, i.e. no two different trees are pretty-printed the same way. Hint: Auxiliary lemma.

```
lemma pretty_unique: "pretty t = pretty t' ⇒ t=t'"
```

Define a function that checks whether two binary trees have the same structure. The values at the nodes may differ.

```
fun bin_tree2 :: "'a tree ⇒ 'b tree ⇒ bool"
```

While this function itself is not very useful, the induction principle generated by the function package is! It allows simultaneous induction over two trees:

```
print_statement bin_tree2.induct
```

Try to prove the above lemma with that new induction principle.

Homework 5.1 Landau Notation

Submission until Thursday, June 2, 23:59pm.

(Solve the homework without sledgehammer proofs!)

We define a (slightly simplified) version of the landau symbol \mathcal{O} :

$$\mathcal{O} g = \{f. \exists c > 0. \exists x_0. \forall x \geq x_0. f x \leq c * g x\}$$

Show that $2n \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$. Use Isar proof patterns, and make sure that your types are correct.

lemma *lin_in_square*: “ $(\lambda n. 2*n) \in \mathcal{O} (\lambda n. n^2)$ ”
unfolding *O_def*

Show that the other direction does not hold, i.e., $n^2 \notin 2n$

Hint: to simplify quadratic formulae, give *power2_eq_square* and *algebra_simps* to the simplifier.

lemma *square_notin_lin*: “ $(\lambda n. n^2) \notin \mathcal{O} (\lambda n. 2*n)$ ”

Homework 5.2 Interleave Lists

Submission until Thursday, June 2, 23:59pm.

(Solve the homework without sledgehammer proofs!)

The function *splice* takes two lists and interleaves them. Check its recursion equations:

thm *splice_simps*

Show that, using the splice function, every list can be constructed from two lists, where each of which is at least as long as half the length of the constructed list.

lemma *split_splice*:
“ $\exists ys zs. xs = splice\ ys\ zs \wedge length\ ys \geq (length\ xs)\ div\ 2 \wedge length\ zs \geq (length\ xs)\ div\ 2$ ”

Hint: To prove that theorem, you will need a stronger induction hypothesis than that which you get by using structural induction on lists. To get such a stronger hypothesis, you will need to use a different induction principle, like the one below.

$\llbracket P []; \bigwedge x. P [x]; \bigwedge x\ y\ zs. P zs \implies P (x \# y \# zs) \rrbracket \implies P xs$

In particular, your proof should begin by *proof(induction xs rule: induct_cppl)*.