

### Exercise 1 (Reduction Relation with Closures)

For the evaluation of lambda terms that is closer to evaluation of programs in functional programming languages, one usually replaces textual substitution  $t[v/x]$  with a more lazy approach that records the binding  $x \mapsto v$  in an environment. These bindings are used whenever need the value of a variable  $v$ .

In this approach abstractions  $\lambda x.t$  do not evaluate to themselves, but to a pair  $(\lambda x.t)[e]$ , where  $e$  is the current environment. We call such pairs function *closures*.

- a) Define a big-step reduction relation for the lambda calculus with function closures and environments.
- b) Add explicit error handling for the case where the binding of a free variable  $v$  cannot be found in the environment. Introduce an explicit value **abort** to indicate such an exception in the relation.

### Exercise 2 (Reduction Relation with Pattern Matching)

In this exercise, we consider a  $\lambda$ -calculus extended with a special set of constructor values and pattern matching. Constructor values are constructed according to the following grammar:

$$c ::= C (c_1, \dots, c_n) \text{ for } n \geq 0$$

where  $C$  is one from a distinguished set of *constructor* symbols.

We illustrate pattern matching by example. The expression

$$\mathbf{match} C_1 (\mathbf{false}) \mathbf{with} C_2 () \rightarrow \mathbf{true} \mid C_1 (x) \rightarrow x$$

should evaluate to **false**, while

$$\mathbf{match} C_2 (\mathbf{false}) \mathbf{with} C_2 () \rightarrow \mathbf{true} \mid C_1 (x) \rightarrow x$$

should evaluate to **abort**.

- a) Define a big-step reduction relation for this language.
- b) Prove that the two derivations stated informally above are indeed possible in the relation.

### Homework 3 (Normal Forms)

Recall the inductive definition of the set NF of *normal forms*:

$$\frac{\frac{t \in \text{NF}}{\lambda x.t \in \text{NF}}}{\frac{n \geq 0 \quad t_1 \in \text{NF} \quad t_2 \in \text{NF} \quad \dots \quad t_n \in \text{NF}}{x t_1 t_2 \dots t_n \in \text{NF}}}$$

Show that this set precisely captures all normal forms, i.e.:

$$t \in \text{NF} \Leftrightarrow \nexists t'. t \rightarrow_{\beta} t'$$

### Homework 4 (Normal Forms & Big Step)

Show:

$$t \in \text{NF} \wedge t \Rightarrow_n u \implies u = t$$

### Homework 5 (Proofs with Small-steps and Big-steps)

Let  $\omega := \lambda x.xx$  and

$$t := (\lambda x.(\lambda xy.x)zy)(\omega\omega((\lambda xy.x)y)).$$

Prove the following:

- a)  $t \Rightarrow_n z$
- b)  $t \rightarrow_{cbv}^3 t$
- c)  $t \not\rightarrow_{cbn}^+ t$