

### Exercise 1 (Peirce's Law in Intuitionistic Logic)

Prove the following variant of Peirce's Law in intuitionistic logic:

$$(((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow P) \rightarrow P) \rightarrow Q$$

### Exercise 2 (Intuitionistic Proof Search in Haskell)

The goal of this exercise is to implement the procedure to decide  $\Gamma \vdash A$  in Haskell, i.e. the algorithm from the proof of Theorem 4.0.6.

- Have a look at the template provided on the website. It provides definitions of formulae and proof terms of intuitionistic propositional logic.
- Try to fill in the implementation of *solve*.
- Implement the three proof rules seen in the lecture: *assumption*, *intro*, and *elim*. Use the examples at the end of the template to test your implementation as you go. For *elim*, use the criterion from the proof to guess suitable instantiations.

### Homework 3 (Constructive Logic)

- a) Prove the following statement using the calculus for intuitionistic propositional logic:

$$((c \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow a) \rightarrow ((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b)$$

*Hint:* To make your proof tree more compact, you may remove unneeded assumptions to the left of the  $\vdash$  during the proof as you see fit. For example, the following step is valid:

$$\frac{p \vdash p}{p, q \vdash p}$$

- b) Give a well-typed expression in  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$  with the type

$$((\gamma \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta)$$

(You don't need to give the derivation tree.)

#### Homework 4 (The Negative Fragment)

We say that a formula  $A$  is negative if atomic formulas  $P$  only occur *negated* in  $A$ , i.e. in the form  $P \rightarrow \perp$  ( $\neg P$  for short). The symbol  $\perp$  for *falsehood* plays the role of an unprovable propositional constant: we do not have any special proof rules or axioms for it.

Show that if  $A$  is negative, then:

$$\vdash \neg\neg A \rightarrow A$$

*Hint:* First show:

- a)  $\vdash \neg\neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg A$
- b)  $\vdash \neg\neg(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg\neg B)$
- c)  $\vdash (\neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg\neg B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \neg\neg B)$