

Exercise 1 (Reduction Relation with Closures)

For the evaluation of lambda terms that is closer to evaluation of programs in functional programming languages, one usually replaces textual substitution $t[v/x]$ with a more lazy approach that records the binding $x \mapsto v$ in an environment. These bindings are used whenever need the value of a variable v .

In this approach abstractions $\lambda x.t$ do not evaluate to themselves, but to a pair $(\lambda x.t)[e]$, where e is the current environment. We call such pairs function *closures*.

- Define a big-step reduction relation for the lambda calculus with function closures and environments.
- Add explicit error handling for the case where the binding of a free variable v cannot be found in the environment. Introduce an explicit value **abort** to indicate such an exception in the relation.

Solution

a)

$$\frac{\frac{e(x) = v}{e \vdash x \Rightarrow_{cbv} v} \quad e \vdash \lambda x.t \Rightarrow_{cbv} (\lambda x.v)[e]}{e \vdash t_1 \Rightarrow_{cbv} (\lambda x.t)[e'] \quad e \vdash t_2 \Rightarrow_{cbv} v' \quad e' + (x \mapsto v') \vdash t \Rightarrow_{cbv} v} e \vdash t_1 t_2 \Rightarrow_{cbv} v$$

- We just need to add rules to propagate errors, and modify the existing rules to ensure that no subexpression evaluates to **abort**.

$$\frac{\frac{x \notin e}{e \vdash x \Rightarrow_{cbv} \mathbf{abort}} \quad \frac{e(x) = v}{e \vdash x \Rightarrow_{cbv} v} \quad e \vdash \lambda x.t \Rightarrow_{cbv} (\lambda x.v)[e]}{e \vdash t_1 \Rightarrow_{cbv} (\lambda x.t)[e'] \quad e \vdash t_2 \Rightarrow_{cbv} v' \quad e' + (x \mapsto v') \vdash t \Rightarrow_{cbv} v \quad v' \neq \mathbf{abort}} e \vdash t_1 t_2 \Rightarrow_{cbv} v}$$

$$\frac{e \vdash t_1 \Rightarrow_{cbv} \mathbf{abort} \quad e \vdash t_2 \Rightarrow_{cbv} v}{e \vdash t_1 t_2 \Rightarrow_{cbv} \mathbf{abort}} \quad \frac{e \vdash t_2 \Rightarrow_{cbv} \mathbf{abort}}{e \vdash t_1 t_2 \Rightarrow_{cbv} \mathbf{abort}}$$

Exercise 2 (Reduction Relation with Pattern Matching)

In this exercise, we consider a λ -calculus extended with a special set of constructor values and pattern matching. Constructor values are constructed according to the following grammar:

$$c ::= C (c_1, \dots, c_n) \text{ for } n \geq 0$$

where C is one from a distinguished set of *constructor* symbols.

We illustrate pattern matching by example. The expression

$$\mathbf{match} C_1 (\mathbf{false}) \mathbf{with} C_2 () \rightarrow \mathbf{true} \mid C_1 (x) \rightarrow x$$

should evaluate to **false**, while

$$\mathbf{match} C_2 (\mathbf{false}) \mathbf{with} C_2 () \rightarrow \mathbf{true} \mid C_1 (x) \rightarrow x$$

should evaluate to **abort**.

- a) Define a big-step reduction relation for this language.
- b) Prove that the two derivations stated informally above are indeed possible in the relation.

Solution

- a) We need two things here: a relation that determines whether a pattern matches a constructor term and produces the corresponding variable bindings; and additional cases in the big step semantics that work through the patterns of a **match**-construct case by case.

The relation for matching a single pattern can look like this:

$$\frac{e \vdash v \Downarrow x \Rightarrow e + (x \mapsto v) \quad e \vdash c_1 \Downarrow p_1 \Rightarrow e_1 \quad e_1 \vdash c_2 \Downarrow p_2 \Rightarrow e_2 \quad \dots}{e \vdash C c_1 c_2 \dots c_n \Downarrow C p_1 p_2 \dots p_n \Rightarrow e_n}$$

We did not explicitly give the rules that produce and propagate **abort** in the case of a mismatch.

Now we extend the big-step relation from the last exercise:

$$\frac{\frac{e \vdash c_1 \Downarrow p_1 \Rightarrow e_1 \quad e_1 \vdash t_1 \Rightarrow_{cbv} v}{e \vdash \mathbf{match} c \mathbf{with} p_1 \rightarrow t_1 \mid \dots \Rightarrow_{cbv} v} \quad e \vdash c_1 \Downarrow p_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{abort} \quad e \vdash \mathbf{match} c \mathbf{with} p_2 \rightarrow t_2 \mid \dots \Rightarrow_{cbv} v}{e \vdash \mathbf{match} c \mathbf{with} p_1 \rightarrow t_1 \mid p_2 \rightarrow t_2 \mid \dots \Rightarrow_{cbv} v} \quad e \vdash \mathbf{match} c \mathbf{with} [] \Rightarrow_{cbv} \mathbf{abort}$$

- We illustrate the first case only. We first show:

$$\frac{\{\} \vdash \text{false} \Downarrow x \Rightarrow \{x \mapsto \text{false}\}}{\{\} \vdash C_1(\text{false}) \Downarrow C_1(x) \Rightarrow \{x \mapsto \text{false}\}}$$

and

$$\{\} \vdash C_1(\text{false}) \Downarrow C_2() \Rightarrow \mathbf{abort}.$$

Then:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{\} \vdash C_1(\text{false}) \Downarrow C_1(x) \Rightarrow \{x \mapsto \text{false}\} \quad \{x \mapsto \text{false}\} \vdash x \Rightarrow_{cbv} \text{false}}{e \vdash \mathbf{match} C_1(\text{false}) \mathbf{with} C_1(x) \rightarrow x \Rightarrow_{cbv} \text{false}}}{e \vdash C_1(\text{false}) \Downarrow C_2() \Rightarrow \mathbf{abort}}}{e \vdash \mathbf{match} C_1(\text{false}) \mathbf{with} C_2() \rightarrow \text{true} \mid C_1(x) \rightarrow x \Rightarrow_{cbv} \text{false}}}$$

Homework 3 (Normal Forms)

Recall the inductive definition of the set NF of *normal forms*:

$$\frac{\frac{t \in \text{NF}}{\lambda x. t \in \text{NF}}}{\frac{n \geq 0 \quad t_1 \in \text{NF} \quad t_2 \in \text{NF} \quad \dots \quad t_n \in \text{NF}}{x \ t_1 \ t_2 \ \dots \ t_n \in \text{NF}}}$$

Show that this set precisely captures all normal forms, i.e.:

$$t \in \text{NF} \Leftrightarrow \nexists t'. t \rightarrow_{\beta} t'$$

Homework 4 (Normal Forms & Big Step)

Show:

$$t \in \text{NF} \wedge t \Rightarrow_n u \Longrightarrow u = t$$

Homework 5 (Proofs with Small-steps and Big-steps)

Let $\omega := \lambda x. xx$ and

$$t := (\lambda x. (\lambda xy. x)zy)(\omega\omega((\lambda xy. x)y)).$$

Prove the following:

- $t \Rightarrow_n z$
- $t \rightarrow_{cbv}^3 t$
- $t \not\rightarrow_{cbn}^+ t$