

Exercise 1 (Fixed-point Combinator)

- Use a fixed-point combinator to compute the length of lists on the encoding given in the last tutorial.
- Find an easier solution for the encoding from the last homework.

Solution

- We use the Y-combinator:

$$y := \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x)) (\lambda x. f (x x))$$

The Y-combinator satisfies the property $y f =_{\beta}^* f (y f)$.

Recall how the Church numerals are implemented:

$$\text{zero} := \lambda f x. x \qquad \text{succ} := \lambda n f x. f (n x)$$

In a programming language with recursion, length would be implemented as follows:

```
len x = if null x then 0 else Succ (len (tl x))
```

We obtain the following definition:

$$\text{length} := y (\lambda l x. (\text{null } x) \text{ zero } (\text{succ } (l (\text{tl } x))))$$

- $\text{length} := \lambda l. l (\lambda x. \text{succ}) \underline{0}$

Exercise 2 (β -reduction on de Bruijn Preserves Substitution)

We consider an alternative representation of λ -terms that is due to de Bruijn. In this representation, λ -terms are defined according to the following grammar:

$$d ::= i \in \mathbb{N} \mid d_1 d_2 \mid \lambda d$$

Define substitution and β -reduction on de Bruijn terms.

Now restate Lemma 1.2.5 for de Bruijn terms and prove it:

$$s \rightarrow_{\beta} s' \implies s[u/x] \rightarrow_{\beta} s'[u/x]$$

Solution

$$i \uparrow_l = \begin{cases} i, & \text{if } i < l \\ i + 1, & \text{if } i \geq l \end{cases}$$

$$(d_1 d_2) \uparrow_l = d_1 \uparrow_l d_2 \uparrow_l$$

$$(\lambda d) \uparrow_l = \lambda d \uparrow_{l+1}$$

$$i[t/j] = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } i < j \\ t & \text{if } i = j \\ i - 1 & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}$$

$$(d_1 d_2)[t/j] = (d_1[t/j]) (d_2[t/j])$$

$$(\lambda d)[t/j] = \lambda(d[t \uparrow_0 / j + 1])$$

We now have $(\lambda d)e \rightarrow_\beta d[e/0]$. The other cases for \rightarrow_β remain the same as before. Similarly to the lecture, we first prove the key property (*)

$$i < j + 1 \longrightarrow t[v \uparrow_i / j + 1][u[v/j]/i] = t[u/i][v/j]$$

by induction on t . Now

$$s \rightarrow_\beta s' \implies s[u/i] \rightarrow_\beta s'[u/i]$$

can be proved by induction on \rightarrow_β for arbitrary u and i .

The base case is the hardest. We need to show

$$((\lambda s) t)[u/i] \rightarrow_\beta s[t/0][u/i]$$

for arbitrary s, t . Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\lambda s) t)[u/i] \\ &= (\lambda s[u \uparrow_0 / i + 1]) t[u/i] && \text{Def. of substitution} \\ &\rightarrow_\beta s[u \uparrow_0 / i + 1][t[u/i]/0] \\ &= s[t/0][u/i] && (*) \end{aligned}$$

The other cases follow trivially from the rules of \rightarrow_β and the definition of substitution.

Homework 3 (Multiplication)

Define multiplication using `fix` and prove its correctness. You can assume that you are given a predecessor function `pred` such that:

- $\text{pred } \underline{0} \rightarrow_{\beta}^* \underline{0}$
- $\text{pred } (\text{succ } n) \rightarrow_{\beta}^* n$

Homework 4 (Efficient Substitution on de Bruijn)

We define a new lifting operator $- \uparrow_{\square}$:

$$i \uparrow_l^n = \begin{cases} i, & \text{if } i < l \\ i + n, & \text{if } i \geq l \end{cases}$$
$$(d_1 d_2) \uparrow_l^n = d_1 \uparrow_l^n d_2 \uparrow_l^n$$
$$(\lambda d) \uparrow_l^n = \lambda d \uparrow_{l+1}^n$$

Use $- \uparrow_{\square}$ to define a more efficient version of substitution for de Bruijn terms that only applies lifting in the case that a variable is actually replaced by a term. Prove that $t[s/0]$ yields the same result for both, your new version and the version from the tutorial. *Hint:* Find a suitable generalization first.

Homework 5 (Expanding Lets)

We have a language with `let`-expressions, i.e.:

$$t ::= v \mid t t \mid \text{let } v = t \text{ in } t$$

Write a program which expands all `let`-expressions. The `let`-semantics are:

$$(\text{let } v = t_1 \text{ in } t_2) = (\lambda v. t_2) t_1$$

If you want to use a language different from ML, Ocaml, Haskell, Java, and Python, please talk to the tutor first.