

LOGIC EXERCISES

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF MUNICH
CHAIR FOR LOGIC AND VERIFICATION

PROF. TOBIAS NIPKOW
KEVIN KAPPELMANN

SS 2022

EXERCISE SHEET 13

22.07.2022

No tutorial on 29.07. Final Q&A and exam preparation tutorial will take place on 01.08, 02.08, or 03.08. Exact place and time will be decided and announced on **Zulip**.

Exercise 13.1. [Ferrante–Rackoff Elimination]

Apply the Ferrante–Rackoff Elimination to check the validity of the following sentence:

$$\exists x(\exists y(x = 2 \cdot y) \rightarrow (2 \cdot x \geq 0 \vee 3 \cdot x < 2))$$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists x(\exists y(x = 2 \cdot y) \rightarrow (2 \cdot x \geq 0 \vee 3 \cdot x < 2)) \\ \iff_{R_+} & \exists x(\exists y(y = \frac{1}{2}x) \rightarrow (2 \cdot x \geq 0 \vee 3 \cdot x < 2)) \\ \iff_{R_+} & \exists x \left(\perp \vee \perp \vee \frac{1}{2}x = \frac{1}{2}x \vee \perp \rightarrow (2 \cdot x \geq 0 \vee 3 \cdot x < 2) \right) \\ \iff & \exists x \left(\left(\top \wedge \top \wedge \neg \left(\frac{1}{2}x = \frac{1}{2}x \right) \wedge \top \right) \vee (2 \cdot x \geq 0 \vee 3 \cdot x < 2) \right) \\ \iff_{R_+} & \exists x \left(\left(\top \wedge \top \wedge \left(\frac{1}{2}x < \frac{1}{2}x \right) \wedge \top \right) \vee (2 \cdot x \geq 0 \vee 3 \cdot x < 2) \right) \\ \iff_{R_+} & \exists x \left((\top \wedge \top \wedge \perp \wedge \top) \vee \left(0 < x \vee x = 0 \vee x < \frac{2}{3} \right) \right) \\ \iff_{R_+} & \bigvee_{t \in \{-\infty, \infty, 0, 1/3\}} \left((\top \wedge \top \wedge \perp \wedge \top) \vee \left(0 < x \vee x = 0 \vee x < \frac{2}{3} \right) \right) [t/x] \\ \iff & (\dots \vee (\perp \vee \perp \vee \top)) \vee (\dots \vee (\top \vee \perp \vee \perp)) \vee \left(\dots \vee \left(0 < 0 \vee 0 = 0 \vee 0 < \frac{2}{3} \right) \right) \\ & \vee \left(\dots \vee \left(0 < \frac{1}{3} \vee \frac{1}{3} = 0 \vee \frac{1}{3} < \frac{2}{3} \right) \right) \\ \iff & \top \quad (\text{optional step; not part of QEP}) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 13.2. [Presburger Arithmetic]

Eliminate the quantifiers from the following formulas according to Presburger arithmetic:

1. $\forall y(3 < x + 2y \vee 2x + y < 3)$
2. $\forall x(2 \mid x \rightarrow (2x \geq 0 \vee 3x < 2))$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall y(3 < x + 2y \vee 2x + y < 3) \\
& \iff \neg \exists y \neg (3 < x + 2y \vee 2x + y < 3) \\
& \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \neg \exists y (3 \geq x + 2y \wedge 2x + y \geq 3) \\
& \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \neg \exists y (0 \leq 3 - x - 2y \wedge 0 \leq 2x + y - 3) \\
& \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \neg \exists y (0 \leq 3 - x - 2y \wedge 0 \leq 4x + 2y - 6) \\
& \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \neg \exists z (0 \leq 3 - x - z \wedge 0 \leq 4x + z - 6 \wedge 2 \mid z) \\
& \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \neg \left((0 \leq 3 - x - (6 - 4x) \wedge 0 \leq 4x + (6 - 4x) - 6 \wedge 2 \mid 6 - 4x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \vee (0 \leq 3 - x - (6 - 4x + 1) \wedge 0 \leq 4x + (6 - 4x + 1) - 6 \wedge 2 \mid 6 - 4x + 1) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall x(2 \mid x \rightarrow (2x \geq 0 \vee 3x < 2)) \\
& \iff \neg \exists x \neg (2 \mid x \rightarrow (2x \geq 0 \vee 3x < 2)) \\
& \iff \neg \exists x (2 \mid x \wedge \neg (2x \geq 0 \vee 3x < 2)) \\
& \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \neg \exists x (2 \mid x \wedge 2x < 0 \wedge 3x \geq 2) \\
& \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \neg \exists x (2 \mid x \wedge 2x \leq -1 \wedge 2 \leq 3x) \\
& \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \neg \exists x (2 \mid x \wedge 0 \leq -1 - 2x \wedge 0 \leq 3x - 2) \\
& \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \neg \exists x (12 \mid 6x \wedge 0 \leq -3 - 6x \wedge 0 \leq 6x - 4) \\
& \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \neg \exists z (12 \mid z \wedge 0 \leq -3 - z \wedge 0 \leq z - 4 \wedge 6 \mid z) \\
& \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \neg \bigvee_{4 \leq t \leq 15} (12 \mid t \wedge 0 \leq -3 - t \wedge 0 \leq t - 4 \wedge 6 \mid t) \\
& \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \neg \bigvee_{4 \leq t \leq 15} (12 \mid t \wedge \perp \wedge \dots) \iff_{\mathcal{P}} \top \quad (\text{optional steps; not part of QEP})
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 13.3. [Quantifier Elimination for $Th(\mathbb{N}, 0, S, =)$]

Give a quantifier-elimination procedure for $Th(\mathbb{N}, 0, S, =)$ where S is the successor operation on natural numbers, i.e. $S(n) = n + 1$.

Hint: $a = b$ iff $S^k(a) = S^k(b)$ for any $a, b, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Solution:

We assume $F = \exists x(A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n)$ where x occurs in all A_i and each A_i is of the form

$$S^k(x) = S^m(t) \text{ or } S^k(x) \neq S^m(t)$$

where t is 0 or a variable (using symmetry of $=$).

If x occurs on both sides of an atom A_i , we can compare the number of successors and replace it with \perp or \top , i.e. $Th(\mathbb{N}, 0, S) \models (S^k(x) = S^l(x)) \iff k = l$. Hence, we can assume that $x \neq t$.

We have to distinguish two cases:

1. All A_i only use \neq , but not $=$: We can return \top because x can always be chosen to be different from finitely many natural numbers.
2. There is at least one A_i of the form $S^m(x) = t$ where $x \neq t$.

We replace A_i as follows:

- If $m > 0$, we add the constraints $t \neq 0 \wedge \dots \wedge t \neq S^{m-1}(0)$ to ensure that the solution for x is non-negative.
- Otherwise, replace it with \top .

The other A_j ($i \neq j$) can be replaced as follows: Let A_j be $S^k(x) = u$. Using the hint, first increment both sides by m : $S^{k+m}(x) = S^m(u)$. Then, substitute A_i , resulting in $S^k(t) = S^m(u)$.

This works similarly for inequality, resulting in $S^k(t) \neq S^m(u)$.

For optimization purposes, we could also assume that either side of the equalities/inequalities contains no successor application. If they do, we can decrement until at least one side is 0 or a variable.

Homework 13.1. [Under Presburger]

(++)

Perform Presburger arithmetic quantifier elimination for the following formula:

$$\forall x(\exists y(x = 2y \wedge 2 \mid y) \rightarrow 4 \mid x)$$

You may additionally simplify ground atoms during the process.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x(\exists y(x = 2y \wedge 2 \mid y) \rightarrow 4 \mid x) \\ \iff_{\mathcal{P}} & \forall x(\exists y(0 \leq 2y - x \wedge 0 \leq -2y + x \wedge 4 \mid 2y) \rightarrow 4 \mid x) \\ \iff_{\mathcal{P}} & \forall x(\exists z(0 \leq z - x \wedge 0 \leq -z + x \wedge 4 \mid z \wedge 2 \mid z) \rightarrow 4 \mid x) \\ \iff_{\mathcal{P}} & \forall x\left(\left((0 \leq x - x \wedge 0 \leq -x + x \wedge 4 \mid x \wedge 2 \mid x) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \vee (0 \leq x + 1 - x \wedge 0 \leq -(x + 1) + x \wedge 4 \mid x + 1 \wedge 2 \mid x + 1) \\ & \quad \vee (0 \leq x + 2 - x \wedge 0 \leq -(x + 2) + x \wedge 4 \mid x + 2 \wedge 2 \mid x + 2) \\ & \quad \left. \left. \vee (0 \leq x + 3 - x \wedge 0 \leq -(x + 3) + x \wedge 4 \mid x + 3 \wedge 2 \mid x + 3)\right) \rightarrow 4 \mid x\right) \\ \iff_{\mathcal{P}} & \forall x\left(\left((\top \wedge \top \wedge 4 \mid x \wedge 2 \mid x) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \vee (\top \wedge \perp \wedge 4 \mid x + 1 \wedge 2 \mid x + 1) \\ & \quad \vee (\top \wedge \perp \wedge 4 \mid x + 2 \wedge 2 \mid x + 2) \\ & \quad \left. \left. \vee (\top \wedge \perp \wedge 4 \mid x + 3 \wedge 2 \mid x + 3)\right) \rightarrow 4 \mid x\right) \\ \iff & \forall x\left(\left((4 \mid x \wedge 2 \mid x) \vee \perp \vee \perp \vee \perp\right) \rightarrow 4 \mid x\right) \\ \iff & \forall x\left((4 \mid x \wedge 2 \mid x) \rightarrow 4 \mid x\right) \\ \iff & \neg \exists x\left(4 \mid x \wedge 2 \mid x \wedge \neg(4 \mid x)\right) \\ \iff_{\mathcal{P}} & \neg \bigvee_{i=1}^3 \exists x(4 \mid x \wedge 2 \mid x \wedge 4 \mid x + i) \\ \iff_{\mathcal{P}} & \neg \bigvee_{i=1}^3 \bigvee_{j=0}^3 (4 \mid j \wedge 2 \mid j \wedge 4 \mid j + i) \\ \iff_{\mathcal{P}} & \neg \bigvee_{i=1}^3 \bigvee_{j=0}^3 (\perp \wedge \dots) \iff \top \quad (\text{optional step; not part of QEP}) \end{aligned}$$

Homework 13.2. [Quantifier Elimination for $Th(\mathbb{Z}, 0, S, P, =, <)$] (+++)

Give a quantifier-elimination procedure for $Th(\mathbb{Z}, 0, S, P, =, <)$ where S is the successor and P the predecessor operation on integers, i.e. $S(n) = n + 1$ and $P(n) = n - 1$. Do not use Presburger arithmetic; give a direct algorithm.

Solution:

At any point, we normalise any term t such that it might contain S or P but not both:

1. If $t = S^k(P^m(u))$, replace t by $P^{m-k}(u)$ if $k \leq m$ and $S^{k-m}(u)$ otherwise.
2. Case $t = P^k(S^m(u))$: analogous.

Moreover, we apply the following transformations:

1. Replace $\neg(t < u)$ by $t = u \vee u < t$.
2. Replace $t = u$ by $t < S(u) \wedge u < S(t)$.
3. Replace $t \neq u$ by $t < u \vee u < t$.

We can then assume that we have some $F = \exists x(A_1 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n)$ where x occurs in all A_i and each A_i is of the form

$$f^k(x) < g^m(t) \text{ or } f^k(t) < g^m(x)$$

where t is 0 or a variable and $f, g \in \{S, P\}$. First consider the case $t = x$:

1. Replace $S^k(x) < S^m(x)$ by \top if $k < m$ and \perp otherwise.
2. Replace $P^k(x) < P^m(x)$ by \top if $k > m$ and \perp otherwise.
3. Replace $P^k(x) < S^m(x)$ by \top .
4. Replace $S^k(x) < P^m(x)$ by \perp .

Let F_x be the conjunction of all these atoms. We then bring all remaining A_i into canonical form for x :

1. Replace $S^k(x) < g^m(t)$ by $x < P^k(g^m(t))$
2. Replace $P^k(x) < g^m(t)$ by $x < S^k(g^m(t))$
3. Replace $f^k(t) < S^m(x)$ by $P^m(f^k(t)) < x$
4. Replace $f^k(t) < P^m(x)$ by $S^m(f^k(t)) < x$

Let U be the set of these atoms. We then replace F by

$$F_x \wedge \bigwedge_{(l < x) \in U} \bigwedge_{(x < u) \in U} S(l) < u.$$

It is always easy to be logical.

It is almost impossible to be logical to the bitter end.

— Albert Camus