Propositional Logic Normal Forms

Abbreviations

Until further notice:

- $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ abbreviates $\neg F_1 \lor F_2$
 - \top abbreviates $A_1 \lor \neg A_1$
 - \bot abbreviates $A_1 \land \neg A_1$

Literals

Definition

A literal is an atom or the negation of an atom. In the former case the literal is positive, in the latter case it is negative.

Negation Normal Form (NNF)

Definition

A formula is in negation formal form (NNF)

if negation (\neg) occurs only directly in front of atoms.

Example

In NNF: $\neg A \land \neg B$ Not in NNF: $\neg (A \lor B)$

Transformation into NNF

Any formula can be transformed into an equivalent formula in NNF by pushing \neg inwards. Apply the following equivalences from left to right as long as possible:

$$\neg \neg F \equiv F$$

$$\neg (F \land G) \equiv (\neg F \lor \neg G)$$

$$\neg (F \lor G) \equiv (\neg F \land \neg G)$$

Example

$$(\neg (A \land \neg B) \land C) \equiv ((\neg A \lor \neg \neg B) \land C) \equiv ((\neg A \lor B) \land C)$$

Warning: " $F \equiv G \equiv H$ " is merely an abbreviation for
" $F \equiv G$ and $G \equiv H$ "

Does this process always terminate? Is the result unique?

CNF and DNF

Definition

A formula F is in conjunctive normal form (CNF) if it is a conjunction of disjunctions of literals:

$$F = (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} (\bigvee_{j=1}^{m_i} L_{i,j})),$$

where $L_{i,j} \in \{A_1, A_2, \cdots\} \cup \{\neg A_1, \neg A_2, \cdots\}$

Definition

A formula F is in disjunctive normal form (DNF) if it is a disjunction of conjunctions of literals:

$$F = (\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} (\bigwedge_{j=1}^{m_i} L_{i,j})),$$

where $L_{i,j} \in \{A_1, A_2, \cdots\} \cup \{\neg A_1, \neg A_2, \cdots\}$

Transformation into CNF and DNF

Any formula can be transformed into an equivalent formula in CNF or DNF in two steps:

- 1. Transform the initial formula into its NNF
- 2. Transform the NNF into CNF or DNF:
 - Transformation into CNF. Apply the following equivalences from left to right as long as possible:

$$(F \lor (G \land H)) \equiv ((F \lor G) \land (F \lor H)) ((F \land G) \lor H) \equiv ((F \lor H) \land (G \lor H))$$

Transformation into DNF. Apply the following equivalences from left to right as long as possible:

$$(F \land (G \lor H)) \equiv ((F \land G) \lor (F \land H)) ((F \lor G) \land H) \equiv ((F \land H) \lor (G \land H))$$

Termination

Why does the transformation into NNF and CNF terminate? **Challenge Question:** Find a weight function $w :: formula \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that w(l.h.s.) > w(r.h.s.) for the equivalences

$$\neg \neg F \equiv F$$

$$\neg (F \land G) \equiv (\neg F \lor \neg G)$$

$$\neg (F \lor G) \equiv (\neg F \land \neg G)$$

$$(F \lor (G \land H)) \equiv ((F \lor G) \land (F \lor H))$$

$$((F \land G) \lor H) \equiv ((F \lor H) \land (G \lor H))$$

Define *w* recursively:

$$w(A_i) = \dots$$

 $w(\neg F) = \dots w(F) \dots$
 $w(F \land G) = \dots w(F) \dots w(G) \dots$
 $w(F \lor G) = \dots w(F) \dots w(G) \dots$

Complexity considerations

The CNF and DNF of a formula of size n can have size 2^n

Can we do better? Yes, if we do not instist on \equiv .

Definition Two formulas F and G are equisatisfiable if F is satisfiable iff G is satisfiable.

Theorem For every formula F of size n there is an equisatisfiable CNF formula G of size O(n).