

LOGICS EXERCISE

TU MÜNCHEN
INSTITUT FÜR INFORMATIK

PROF. TOBIAS NIPKOW
LARS HUPEL

SS 2017

EXERCISE SHEET 5

30.05.2017

Submission of homework: Before tutorial on **Wednesday, 07.06.2017**. You have to do the homework yourself; no teamwork allowed.

Exercise 5.1. [From Natural Deduction to Hilbert Calculus]

Prove the following formula to a linear proof in Hilbert calculus:

$$(F \wedge G) \rightarrow (G \wedge F)$$

Hint: Use the deduction theorem.

Exercise 5.2. [Equivalence]

Let F and G be arbitrary formulas. (In particular, they may contain free occurrences of x .) Which of the following equivalences hold? Proof or counterexample!

1. $\forall x(F \wedge G) \equiv \forall xF \wedge \forall xG$
2. $\exists x(F \wedge G) \equiv \exists xF \wedge \exists xG$

Exercise 5.3. [Infinite Models]

Consider predicate logic with equality. We use infix notation for equality, and abbreviate $\neg(s = t)$ by $s \neq t$. Moreover, we call a structure finite iff its universe is finite.

1. Specify a finite model for the formula $\forall x (c \neq f(x) \wedge x \neq f(x))$.
2. Specify a model for the formula $\forall x \forall y (c \neq f(x) \wedge (f(x) = f(y) \rightarrow x = y))$.
3. Show that the above formula has no finite model.

Homework 5.1. [A Smaller Set of Axioms for Hilbert Calculus] (6 points)

In the lecture, Hilbert calculus for propositional logic was introduced by means of nine axioms. However, the following three axioms are already sufficient:

$$\mathbf{A1} \quad F \rightarrow (G \rightarrow F)$$

$$\mathbf{A2} \quad (F \rightarrow G \rightarrow H) \rightarrow (F \rightarrow G) \rightarrow F \rightarrow H$$

$$\mathbf{A10} \quad (\neg F \rightarrow \neg G) \rightarrow (G \rightarrow F)$$

Derive the following axioms from the axioms above with help of \rightarrow_E :

$$1. \quad \neg(F \rightarrow F) \rightarrow G$$

$$2. \quad \neg\neg F \rightarrow F$$

Homework 5.2. [Predicate Logic] (6 points)

1. Specify a satisfiable formula F such that for all models \mathcal{A} of F , we have $|U_{\mathcal{A}}| \geq 3$.

2. Can you also specify a satisfiable formula F such that for all models \mathcal{A} of F , we have $|U_{\mathcal{A}}| \leq 3$?

Homework 5.3. [Orders] (8 points)

A reflexive and transitive relation is called *preorder*. In predicate logic, preorders can be characterized by the formula

$$F \equiv \forall x \forall y \forall z (P(x, x) \wedge (P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z) \rightarrow P(x, z)))$$

Which of the following structures are models of F ? Give an informal proof in the positive case and a counterexample for the negative case!

$$1. \quad U^{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{N} \text{ and } P^{\mathcal{A}} = \{(m, n) \mid m < n\}$$

$$2. \quad U^{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } P^{\mathcal{A}} = \{((x, y), (a, b)) \mid a - b \leq x - y\}$$

$$3. \quad U^{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{R} \text{ and } P^{\mathcal{A}} = \{(m, n) \mid m = n\}$$

4. Let $Q(x, y)$ be specified as follows: $\forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \leftrightarrow Q(y, x))$. Is Q a preorder?

Specify the notion of *partial orders*, that is, preorders that additionally satisfy antisymmetry.