

MSO on Finite Words

Dmitriy Traytel and Tobias Nipkow

March 29, 2013

Contents

1	Regular Sets	1
1.1	Concatenation of Languages	2
1.2	Iteration of Languages	3
1.3	Left-Quotients of Languages	6
1.4	Right-Quotients of Languages	7
1.5	Two-Sided-Quotients of Languages	9
1.6	Arden's Lemma	10
1.7	Lists of Fixed Length	12
2	Π-Extended Regular Expressions	13
2.1	Syntax of regular expressions	13
2.2	ACI normalization	17
2.3	Finality	21
2.4	Wellformedness w.r.t. an alphabet	22
2.5	Language	23
3	Derivatives of Π-Extended Regular Expressions	25
3.1	Syntactic Derivatives	25
3.2	Finiteness of ACI-Equivalent Derivatives	26
3.3	Wellformedness and language of derivatives	30
3.4	Deriving preserves ACI-equivalence	31
4	Deciding Equivalence of Π-Extended Regular Expressions	32
4.1	Bisimulation between languages and regular expressions	32
4.2	Different normalization function	35
5	Normalization of Π-Extended Regular Expressions	45
5.1	Normalizing Constructors	45
5.2	Quotienting by the same letter	51
5.3	Suffix Prefix Languages	57

6	Monadic Second-Order Logic Formulas	59
6.1	Interpretations and Encodings	59
6.2	Syntax and Semantics of MSO	59
6.3	ENC	60
7	M2L	63
7.1	Encodings	63
7.2	Welldefinedness of enc wrt. Models	67
7.3	From M2L to Regular expressions	74
8	Normalization of M2L Formulas	87
9	Deciding Equivalence of M2L Formulas	88
10	WS1S	91
10.1	Encodings	91
10.2	Welldefinedness of enc wrt. Models	104
10.3	From WS1S to Regular expressions	107
11	Normalization of WS1S Formulas	123
12	Deciding Equivalence of WS1S Formulas	124

1 Regular Sets

theory *Regular-Set*

imports *Main*

begin

type-synonym 'a lang = 'a list set

definition *conc* :: 'a lang \Rightarrow 'a lang \Rightarrow 'a lang (**infixr** @@ 75) **where**
 $A @@ B = \{xs@ys \mid xs \text{ } ys. xs:A \ \& \ ys:B\}$

lemma [code]:

$A @@ B = (\%(xs, ys). xs @ ys) \text{ ' } (A \times B)$

unfolding *conc-def* **by** *auto*

overloading *word-pow* == *compow* :: nat \Rightarrow 'a lang \Rightarrow 'a lang

begin

primrec *word-pow* :: nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list **where**

word-pow 0 *w* = [] |

word-pow (Suc *n*) *w* = *w* @ *word-pow* *n* *w*

end

overloading *lang-pow* == *compow* :: nat \Rightarrow 'a lang \Rightarrow 'a lang

begin

primrec *lang-pow* :: *nat* \Rightarrow '*a lang* \Rightarrow '*a lang* **where**
lang-pow 0 *A* = {[]} |
lang-pow (*Suc n*) *A* = *A* @@ (*lang-pow n A*)
end

for code generation

definition *lang-pow* :: *nat* \Rightarrow '*a lang* \Rightarrow '*a lang* **where**
lang-pow-code-def [*code-abbrev*]: *lang-pow* = *compow*

lemma [*code*]:
lang-pow (*Suc n*) *A* = *A* @@ (*lang-pow n A*)
lang-pow 0 *A* = {[]}
by (*simp-all add: lang-pow-code-def*)

definition *word-pow* :: *nat* \Rightarrow '*a list* \Rightarrow '*a list* **where**
word-pow-code-def [*code-abbrev*]: *word-pow* = *compow*

lemma [*code*]:
word-pow 0 *w* = []
word-pow (*Suc n*) *w* = *w* @ *word-pow n w*
by (*simp-all add: word-pow-code-def*)

lemma *word-pow-alt*: *compow n w* = *concat (replicate n w)*
by (*induct n*) *auto*

hide-const (**open**) *lang-pow word-pow*

definition *star* :: '*a lang* \Rightarrow '*a lang* **where**
star A = ($\bigcup n. A \hat{\ }^n$)

1.1 Concatenation of Languages

lemma *concI*[*simp,intro*]: *u* : *A* \Longrightarrow *v* : *B* \Longrightarrow *u@v* : *A* @@ *B*
by (*auto simp add: conc-def*)

lemma *concE*[*elim*]:
assumes *w* \in *A* @@ *B*
obtains *u v* **where** *u* \in *A* *v* \in *B* *w* = *u@v*
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: conc-def*)

lemma *conc-mono*: *A* \subseteq *C* \Longrightarrow *B* \subseteq *D* \Longrightarrow *A* @@ *B* \subseteq *C* @@ *D*
by (*auto simp: conc-def*)

lemma *conc-empty*[*simp*]: **shows** {} @@ *A* = {} **and** *A* @@ {} = {}
by *auto*

lemma *conc-epsilon*[*simp*]: **shows** {} @@ *A* = *A* **and** *A* @@ {} = *A*
by (*simp-all add: conc-def*)

lemma *conc-assoc*: $(A \text{ @@ } B) \text{ @@ } C = A \text{ @@ } (B \text{ @@ } C)$
by (*auto elim!*: *concE*) (*simp only*: *append-assoc[symmetric] concI*)

lemma *conc-Un-distrib*:
shows $A \text{ @@ } (B \cup C) = A \text{ @@ } B \cup A \text{ @@ } C$
and $(A \cup B) \text{ @@ } C = A \text{ @@ } C \cup B \text{ @@ } C$
by *auto*

lemma *conc-UNION-distrib*:
shows $A \text{ @@ } \text{UNION } I \text{ } M = \text{UNION } I \text{ } (\%i. A \text{ @@ } M \text{ } i)$
and $\text{UNION } I \text{ } M \text{ @@ } A = \text{UNION } I \text{ } (\%i. M \text{ } i \text{ @@ } A)$
by *auto*

lemma *hom-image-conc*: $\llbracket \bigwedge xs \ ys. f \ (xs \text{ @ } ys) = f \ xs \text{ @ } f \ ys \rrbracket \implies f \text{ ' } (A \text{ @@ } B)$
 $= f \text{ ' } A \text{ @@ } f \text{ ' } B$
unfolding *conc-def* **by** (*auto simp: image-iff*) *metis*

lemma *map-image-conc[simp]*: $\text{map } f \text{ ' } (A \text{ @@ } B) = \text{map } f \text{ ' } A \text{ @@ } \text{map } f \text{ ' } B$
by (*simp add: hom-image-conc*)

lemma *conc-subset-lists*: $A \subseteq \text{lists } S \implies B \subseteq \text{lists } S \implies A \text{ @@ } B \subseteq \text{lists } S$
by(*fastforce simp: conc-def in-lists-conv-set*)

1.2 Iteration of Languages

lemma *lang-pow-add*: $A^{\wedge\wedge} (n + m) = A^{\wedge\wedge} n \text{ @@ } A^{\wedge\wedge} m$
by (*induct n*) (*auto simp: conc-assoc*)

lemma *lang-pow-simps*: $(A^{\wedge\wedge} \text{Suc } n) = (A^{\wedge\wedge} n \text{ @@ } A)$
using *lang-pow-add[of n Suc 0 A]* **by** *auto*

lemma *lang-pow-empty*: $\{\}^{\wedge\wedge} n = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \{\})$
by (*induct n*) *auto*

lemma *lang-pow-empty-Suc[simp]*: $(\{\}::'a \text{ lang})^{\wedge\wedge} \text{Suc } n = \{\}$
by (*simp add: lang-pow-empty*)

lemma *conc-pow-comm*:
shows $A \text{ @@ } (A^{\wedge\wedge} n) = (A^{\wedge\wedge} n) \text{ @@ } A$
by (*induct n*) (*simp-all add: conc-assoc[symmetric]*)

lemma *length-lang-pow-ub*:
 $\text{ALL } w : A. \text{length } w \leq k \implies w : A^{\wedge\wedge} n \implies \text{length } w \leq k * n$
by(*induct n arbitrary: w*) (*fastforce simp: conc-def*)+

lemma *length-lang-pow-lb*:
 $\text{ALL } w : A. \text{length } w \geq k \implies w : A^{\wedge\wedge} n \implies \text{length } w \geq k * n$
by(*induct n arbitrary: w*) (*fastforce simp: conc-def*)+

lemma *lang-pow-subset-lists*: $A \subseteq \text{lists } S \implies A^{\wedge n} \subseteq \text{lists } S$
by (*induction n*) (*auto simp: conc-subset-lists [OF assms]*)

lemma *star-subset-lists*: $A \subseteq \text{lists } S \implies \text{star } A \subseteq \text{lists } S$
unfolding *star-def* **by** (*blast dest: lang-pow-subset-lists*)

lemma *star-if-lang-pow* [*simp*]: $w : A^{\wedge n} \implies w : \text{star } A$
by (*auto simp: star-def*)

lemma *Nil-in-star* [*iff*]: $[] : \text{star } A$
proof (*rule star-if-lang-pow*)
show $[] : A^{\wedge 0}$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *star-if-lang* [*simp*]: **assumes** $w : A$ **shows** $w : \text{star } A$
proof (*rule star-if-lang-pow*)
show $w : A^{\wedge 1}$ **using** $\langle w : A \rangle$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *append-in-starI* [*simp*]:
assumes $u : \text{star } A$ **and** $v : \text{star } A$ **shows** $u @ v : \text{star } A$
proof –
from $\langle u : \text{star } A \rangle$ **obtain** m **where** $u : A^{\wedge m}$ **by** (*auto simp: star-def*)
moreover
from $\langle v : \text{star } A \rangle$ **obtain** n **where** $v : A^{\wedge n}$ **by** (*auto simp: star-def*)
ultimately have $u @ v : A^{\wedge (m+n)}$ **by** (*simp add: lang-pow-add*)
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *conc-star-star*: $\text{star } A @@ \text{star } A = \text{star } A$
by (*auto simp: conc-def*)

lemma *conc-star-comm*:
shows $A @@ \text{star } A = \text{star } A @@ A$
unfolding *star-def conc-pow-comm conc-UNION-distrib*
by *simp*

lemma *star-induct* [*consumes 1, case-names Nil append, induct set: star*]:
assumes $w : \text{star } A$
and $P []$
and *step*: $!!u v. u : A \implies v : \text{star } A \implies P v \implies P (u @ v)$
shows $P w$
proof –
{ **fix** n **have** $w : A^{\wedge n} \implies P w$
by (*induct n arbitrary: w*) (*auto intro: $\langle P [] \rangle$ step star-if-lang-pow*) **}**
with $\langle w : \text{star } A \rangle$ **show** $P w$ **by** (*auto simp: star-def*)
qed

```

lemma star-empty[simp]: star {} = {}
  by (auto elim: star-induct)

lemma star-epsilon[simp]: star {} = {}
  by (auto elim: star-induct)

lemma star-idemp[simp]: star (star A) = star A
  by (auto elim: star-induct)

lemma star-unfold-left: star A = A @@ star A ∪ {} (is ?L = ?R)
proof
  show ?L ⊆ ?R by (rule, erule star-induct) auto
qed auto

lemma concat-in-star: set ws ⊆ A ⇒ concat ws : star A
  by (induct ws) simp-all

lemma in-star-iff-concat:
  w : star A = (EX ws. set ws ⊆ A & w = concat ws & [] ∉ set ws)
  (is - = (EX ws. ?R w ws))
proof
  assume w : star A thus EX ws. ?R w ws
  proof induct
    case Nil have ?R [] [] by simp
    thus ?case ..
  next
    case (append u v)
    moreover
      then obtain ws where set ws ⊆ A ∧ v = concat ws ∧ [] ∉ set ws by blast
      ultimately have ?R (u@v) (if u = [] then ws else u#ws) by auto
      thus ?case ..
    qed
  next
    assume EX us. ?R w us thus w : star A
    by (auto simp: concat-in-star)
  qed

lemma star-conv-concat: star A = {concat ws | ws. set ws ⊆ A & [] ∉ set ws}
  by (fastforce simp: in-star-iff-concat)

lemma star-insert-eps[simp]: star (insert [] A) = star(A)
proof–
  { fix us
    have set us ⊆ insert [] A ⇒ EX vs. concat us = concat vs ∧ set vs ⊆ A
      (is ?P ⇒ EX vs. ?Q vs)
    proof
      let ?vs = filter (%u. u ≠ []) us
      show ?P ⇒ ?Q ?vs by (induct us) auto
    }
  qed

```

} thus ?thesis by (auto simp: star-conv-concat)
qed

lemma star-decom:

assumes $a: x \in \text{star } A \ x \neq []$
shows $\exists a \ b. x = a @ b \wedge a \neq [] \wedge a \in A \wedge b \in \text{star } A$
using a by (induct rule: star-induct) (blast)+

lemma Ball-starI: $\forall a \in \text{set } as. [a] \in A \implies as \in \text{star } A$
by (induct as rule: rev-induct) auto

lemma map-image-star[simp]: $\text{map } f \text{ ` } \text{star } A = \text{star } (\text{map } f \text{ ` } A)$
by (auto elim: star-induct) (auto elim: star-induct simp del: map-append simp: map-append[symmetric] intro!: imageI)

1.3 Left-Quotients of Languages

definition lQuot :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ lang} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ lang}$
where $\text{lQuot } x \ A = \{ xs. x \# xs \in A \}$

definition lQuots :: $'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ lang} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ lang}$
where $\text{lQuots } xs \ A = \{ ys. xs @ ys \in A \}$

abbreviation

lQuotss :: $'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ lang set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ lang}$
where
 $\text{lQuotss } s \ As \equiv \bigcup (\text{lQuots } s) \text{ ` } As$

lemma lQuot-empty[simp]: $\text{lQuot } a \ \{\} = \{\}$
and lQuot-epsilon[simp]: $\text{lQuot } a \ \{\} = \{\}$
and lQuot-char[simp]: $\text{lQuot } a \ \{[b]\} = (\text{if } a = b \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \{\})$
and lQuot-union[simp]: $\text{lQuot } a \ (A \cup B) = \text{lQuot } a \ A \cup \text{lQuot } a \ B$
and lQuot-inter[simp]: $\text{lQuot } a \ (A \cap B) = \text{lQuot } a \ A \cap \text{lQuot } a \ B$
and lQuot-compl[simp]: $\text{lQuot } a \ (-A) = - \text{lQuot } a \ A$
by (auto simp: lQuot-def)

lemma lQuot-conc-subset: $\text{lQuot } a \ A @@@ B \subseteq \text{lQuot } a \ (A @@@ B) \text{ (is ?L } \subseteq \text{ ?R)}$

proof

fix w assume $w \in ?L$
then obtain u v where $w = u @ v$ a $\# u \in A \ v \in B$
by (auto simp: lQuot-def)
then have $a \# w \in A @@@ B$
by (auto intro: concI[of a $\# u$, simplified])
thus $w \in ?R$ by (auto simp: lQuot-def)

qed

lemma lQuot-conc [simp]: $\text{lQuot } c \ (A @@@ B) = (\text{lQuot } c \ A) @@@ B \cup (\text{if } [] \in A \text{ then } \text{lQuot } c \ B \text{ else } \{\})$

```

unfolding lQuot-def conc-def
by (auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)

lemma lQuot-star [simp]: lQuot c (star A) = (lQuot c A) @@ star A
proof -
  have incl: [] ∈ A ⇒ lQuot c (star A) ⊆ (lQuot c A) @@ star A
    unfolding lQuot-def conc-def
    apply (auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)
    apply (drule star-decom)
    apply (auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)
    done

  have lQuot c (star A) = lQuot c (A @@ star A ∪ {[]})
    by (simp only: star-unfold-left[symmetric])
  also have ... = lQuot c (A @@ star A)
    by (simp only: lQuot-union) (simp)
  also have ... = (lQuot c A) @@ (star A) ∪ (if [] ∈ A then lQuot c (star A) else {})
    by simp
  also have ... = (lQuot c A) @@ star A
    using incl by auto
  finally show lQuot c (star A) = (lQuot c A) @@ star A .
qed

lemma lQuot-diff[simp]: lQuot c (A - B) = lQuot c A - lQuot c B
  by (auto simp add: lQuot-def)

lemma lQuot-lists[simp]: c : S ⇒ lQuot c (lists S) = lists S
  by (auto simp add: lQuot-def)

lemma lQuots-simps [simp]:
  shows lQuots [] A = A
  and lQuots (c # s) A = lQuots s (lQuot c A)
  and lQuots (s1 @ s2) A = lQuots s2 (lQuots s1 A)
  unfolding lQuots-def lQuot-def by auto

lemma lQuots-append[iff]: v ∈ lQuots w A ⇔ w @ v ∈ A
  by (induct w arbitrary: v A) (auto simp add: lQuot-def)

```

1.4 Right-Quotients of Languages

definition $rQuot :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ lang} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ lang}$
where $rQuot\ x\ A = \{ xs. xs @ [x] \in A \}$

definition $rQuots :: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ lang} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ lang}$
where $rQuots\ xs\ A = \{ ys. ys @ rev\ xs \in A \}$

abbreviation
 $rQuotss :: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ lang set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ lang}$

where

$$rQuotss\ s\ As \equiv \bigcup (rQuots\ s)\ 'As$$

lemma *rQuot-rev-lQuot*: $rQuot\ x\ A = rev\ 'lQuot\ x\ (rev\ 'A)$
unfolding *rQuot-def lQuot-def* **by** (*auto simp: rev-swap[symmetric]*)

lemma *rQuots-rev-lQuots*: $rQuots\ x\ A = rev\ 'lQuots\ x\ (rev\ 'A)$
unfolding *rQuots-def lQuots-def* **by** (*auto simp: rev-swap[symmetric]*)

lemma *rQuot-empty[simp]*: $rQuot\ a\ \{\} = \{\}$
and *rQuot-epsilon[simp]*: $rQuot\ a\ \{\}\} = \{\}$
and *rQuot-char[simp]*: $rQuot\ a\ \{[b]\} = (if\ a = b\ then\ \{\}\} else\ \{\})$
and *rQuot-union[simp]*: $rQuot\ a\ (A \cup B) = rQuot\ a\ A \cup rQuot\ a\ B$
and *rQuot-inter[simp]*: $rQuot\ a\ (A \cap B) = rQuot\ a\ A \cap rQuot\ a\ B$
and *rQuot-compl[simp]*: $rQuot\ a\ (-A) = -\ rQuot\ a\ A$
by (*auto simp: rQuot-def*)

lemma *lQuot-rQuot*: $lQuot\ a\ (rQuot\ b\ A) = rQuot\ b\ (lQuot\ a\ A)$
unfolding *lQuot-def rQuot-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *rQuot-lQuot*: $rQuot\ a\ (lQuot\ b\ A) = lQuot\ b\ (rQuot\ a\ A)$
unfolding *lQuot-def rQuot-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *rev-simp-invert*: $(xs\ @\ [x] = rev\ zs) = (zs = x\ \# rev\ xs)$
by (*induct zs auto*)

lemma *rev-append-invert*: $(xs\ @\ ys = rev\ zs) = (zs = rev\ ys\ @\ rev\ xs)$
by (*induct xs arbitrary: ys rule: rev-induct auto*)

lemma *image-rev-lists[simp]*: $rev\ 'lists\ S = lists\ S$
proof (*intro set-eqI*)
fix *xs*
show $xs \in rev\ 'lists\ S \longleftrightarrow xs \in lists\ S$
proof (*induct xs rule: rev-induct*)
case (*snoc x xs*)
thus *?case* **by** (*auto intro!: image-eqI[of - rev] simp: rev-simp-invert*)
qed *simp*
qed

lemma *image-rev-conc[simp]*: $rev\ '(A\ @@@\ B) = rev\ 'B\ @@@\ rev\ 'A$
by *auto* (*auto simp: rev-append[symmetric] simp del: rev-append*)

lemma *image-rev-star[simp]*: $rev\ 'star\ A = star\ (rev\ 'A)$
by (*auto elim: star-induct*) (*auto elim: star-induct simp: rev-append[symmetric] simp del: rev-append*)

lemma *rQuot-conc [simp]*: $rQuot\ c\ (A\ @@@\ B) = A\ @@@\ (rQuot\ c\ B) \cup (if\ [] \in B\ then\ rQuot\ c\ A\ else\ \{\})$
unfolding *rQuot-rev-lQuot* **by** (*auto simp: image-image image-Un*)

lemma *rQuot-star* [simp]: $rQuot\ c\ (star\ A) = star\ A\ @@\ (rQuot\ c\ A)$
unfolding *rQuot-rev-lQuot* **by** (auto simp: image-image)

lemma *rQuot-diff* [simp]: $rQuot\ c\ (A - B) = rQuot\ c\ A - rQuot\ c\ B$
by (auto simp add: rQuot-def)

lemma *rQuot-lists* [simp]: $c : S \implies rQuot\ c\ (lists\ S) = lists\ S$
by (auto simp add: rQuot-def)

lemma *rQuots-simps* [simp]:
 shows *rQuots* [] $A = A$
 and $rQuots\ (c\ \# s)\ A = rQuots\ s\ (rQuot\ c\ A)$
 and $rQuots\ (s1\ @\ s2)\ A = rQuots\ s2\ (rQuots\ s1\ A)$
unfolding *rQuots-def* *rQuot-def* **by** auto

lemma *rQuots-append* [iff]: $v \in rQuots\ w\ A \longleftrightarrow v\ @\ rev\ w \in A$
by (induct w arbitrary: v A) (auto simp add: rQuot-def)

1.5 Two-Sided-Quotients of Languages

definition *biQuot* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ lang \Rightarrow 'a\ lang$
where $biQuot\ x\ y\ A = \{ xs.\ x\ \# xs\ @\ [y] \in A \}$

definition *biQuots* :: $'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ lang \Rightarrow 'a\ lang$
where $biQuots\ xs\ ys\ A = \{ zs.\ xs\ @\ zs\ @\ rev\ ys \in A \}$

abbreviation

biQuotss :: $'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ lang\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ lang$
where
 $biQuotss\ xs\ ys\ As \equiv \bigcup (biQuots\ xs\ ys)\ 'As$

lemma *biQuot-rQuot-lQuot*: $biQuot\ x\ y\ A = rQuot\ y\ (lQuot\ x\ A)$
unfolding *biQuot-def* *rQuot-def* *lQuot-def* **by** auto

lemma *biQuot-lQuot-rQuot*: $biQuot\ x\ y\ A = lQuot\ x\ (rQuot\ y\ A)$
unfolding *biQuot-def* *rQuot-def* *lQuot-def* **by** auto

lemma *biQuots-rQuots-lQuots*: $biQuots\ x\ y\ A = rQuots\ y\ (lQuots\ x\ A)$
unfolding *biQuots-def* *rQuots-def* *lQuots-def* **by** auto

lemma *biQuots-lQuots-rQuots*: $biQuots\ x\ y\ A = lQuots\ x\ (rQuots\ y\ A)$
unfolding *biQuots-def* *rQuots-def* *lQuots-def* **by** auto

lemma *biQuot-empty* [simp]: $biQuot\ a\ b\ \{\} = \{\}$
and *biQuot-epsilon* [simp]: $biQuot\ a\ b\ \{\}\ = \{\}$
and *biQuot-char* [simp]: $biQuot\ a\ b\ \{[c]\} = \{\}$
and *biQuot-union* [simp]: $biQuot\ a\ b\ (A \cup B) = biQuot\ a\ b\ A \cup biQuot\ a\ b\ B$
and *biQuot-inter* [simp]: $biQuot\ a\ b\ (A \cap B) = biQuot\ a\ b\ A \cap biQuot\ a\ b\ B$

and *biQuot-compl*[simp]: $\text{biQuot } a \ b \ (-A) = - \text{biQuot } a \ b \ A$
by (*auto simp: biQuot-def*)

lemma *biQuot-conc* [simp]: $\text{biQuot } a \ b \ (A \ @\@ \ B) =$
 $\text{lQuot } a \ A \ @\@ \ \text{rQuot } b \ B \cup$
(if $\square \in A \wedge \square \in B$ *then* $\text{biQuot } a \ b \ A \cup \text{biQuot } a \ b \ B$
else if $\square \in A$ *then* $\text{biQuot } a \ b \ B$
else if $\square \in B$ *then* $\text{biQuot } a \ b \ A$
else $\{\}$)
unfolding *biQuot-rQuot-lQuot* **by** *auto*

lemma *biQuot-star* [simp]: $\text{biQuot } a \ b \ (\text{star } A) = \text{biQuot } a \ b \ A \cup \text{lQuot } a \ A \ @\@$
 $\text{star } A \ @\@ \ \text{rQuot } b \ A$
unfolding *biQuot-rQuot-lQuot* **by** *auto*

lemma *biQuot-diff*[simp]: $\text{biQuot } a \ b \ (A - B) = \text{biQuot } a \ b \ A - \text{biQuot } a \ b \ B$
by(*auto simp add: biQuot-def*)

lemma *biQuot-lists*[simp]: $a : S \implies b : S \implies \text{biQuot } a \ b \ (\text{lists } S) = \text{lists } S$
by(*auto simp add: biQuot-def*)

lemma *biQuots-simps* [simp]:
shows *biQuots* $\square \ \square \ A = A$
and *biQuots* $(a \# as) (b \# bs) \ A = \text{biQuots } as \ bs \ (\text{biQuot } a \ b \ A)$
and $\llbracket \text{length } s1 = \text{length } t1; \text{length } s2 = \text{length } t2 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{biQuots } (s1 \ @ \ s2) (t1 \ @ \ t2) \ A = \text{biQuots } s2 \ t2 \ (\text{biQuots } s1 \ t1 \ A)$
unfolding *biQuots-def biQuot-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *biQuots-append*[iff]: $v \in \text{biQuots } u \ w \ A \longleftrightarrow u \ @ \ v \ @ \ \text{rev } w \in A$
unfolding *biQuots-def* **by** *auto*

1.6 Arden's Lemma

lemma *arden-helper*:
assumes *eq*: $X = A \ @\@ \ X \cup B$
shows $X = (A \ ^\wedge \text{Suc } n) \ @\@ \ X \cup (\bigcup m \leq n. (A \ ^\wedge m) \ @\@ \ B)$
proof (*induct n*)
case 0
show $X = (A \ ^\wedge \text{Suc } 0) \ @\@ \ X \cup (\bigcup m \leq 0. (A \ ^\wedge m) \ @\@ \ B)$
using *eq* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Suc n*)
have *ih*: $X = (A \ ^\wedge \text{Suc } n) \ @\@ \ X \cup (\bigcup m \leq n. (A \ ^\wedge m) \ @\@ \ B)$ **by** *fact*
also have $\dots = (A \ ^\wedge \text{Suc } n) \ @\@ \ (A \ @\@ \ X \cup B) \cup (\bigcup m \leq n. (A \ ^\wedge m) \ @\@ \ B)$
using *eq* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = (A \ ^\wedge \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \ @\@ \ X \cup ((A \ ^\wedge \text{Suc } n) \ @\@ \ B) \cup (\bigcup m \leq n. (A \ ^\wedge m) \ @\@ \ B)$
by (*simp add: conc-Un-distrib conc-assoc[symmetric] conc-pow-comm*)
also have $\dots = (A \ ^\wedge \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \ @\@ \ X \cup (\bigcup m \leq \text{Suc } n. (A \ ^\wedge m) \ @\@ \ B)$

by (auto simp add: le-Suc-eq)
 finally show $X = (A \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \text{ @@ } X \cup (\bigcup m \leq \text{Suc } n. (A \text{ ^^ } m) \text{ @@ } B)$
 .
 qed

lemma Arden:

assumes $\square \notin A$
 shows $X = A \text{ @@ } X \cup B \longleftrightarrow X = \text{star } A \text{ @@ } B$
 proof
 assume eq: $X = A \text{ @@ } X \cup B$
 { fix w assume w : X
 let ?n = size w
 from $\langle \square \notin A \rangle$ have ALL u : A. length u ≥ 1
 by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 add-leD2 le-0-eq length-0-conv not-less-eq-eq)
 hence ALL u : $A \text{ ^^ } (?n+1)$. length u $\geq ?n+1$
 by (metis length-lang-pow-lb nat-mult-1)
 hence ALL u : $A \text{ ^^ } (?n+1) \text{ @@ } X$. length u $\geq ?n+1$
 by (auto simp only: conc-def length-append)
 hence $w \notin A \text{ ^^ } (?n+1) \text{ @@ } X$ by auto
 hence $w : \text{star } A \text{ @@ } B$ using $\langle w : X \rangle$ using arden-helper[OF eq, where
 n=?n]
 by (auto simp add: star-def conc-UNION-distrib)
 } moreover
 { fix w assume w : $\text{star } A \text{ @@ } B$
 hence EX n. w : $A \text{ ^^ } n \text{ @@ } B$ by (auto simp: conc-def star-def)
 hence $w : X$ using arden-helper[OF eq] by blast
 } ultimately show $X = \text{star } A \text{ @@ } B$ by blast
 next
 assume eq: $X = \text{star } A \text{ @@ } B$
 have $\text{star } A = A \text{ @@ } \text{star } A \cup \{\square\}$
 by (rule star-unfold-left)
 then have $\text{star } A \text{ @@ } B = (A \text{ @@ } \text{star } A \cup \{\square\}) \text{ @@ } B$
 by metis
 also have $\dots = (A \text{ @@ } \text{star } A) \text{ @@ } B \cup B$
 unfolding conc-Un-distrib by simp
 also have $\dots = A \text{ @@ } (\text{star } A \text{ @@ } B) \cup B$
 by (simp only: conc-assoc)
 finally show $X = A \text{ @@ } X \cup B$
 using eq by blast
 qed

lemma reversed-arden-helper:

assumes eq: $X = X \text{ @@ } A \cup B$
 shows $X = X \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } n) \cup (\bigcup m \leq n. B \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } m))$
 proof (induct n)
 case 0
 show $X = X \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } 0) \cup (\bigcup m \leq 0. B \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } m))$
 using eq by simp

```

next
  case (Suc n)
  have ih:  $X = X \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } n) \cup (\bigcup m \leq n. B \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } m))$  by fact
  also have  $\dots = (X \text{ @@ } A \cup B) \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } n) \cup (\bigcup m \leq n. B \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } m))$ 
using eq by simp
  also have  $\dots = X \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \cup (B \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } n)) \cup (\bigcup m \leq n. B \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } m))$ 
    by (simp add: conc-Un-distrib conc-assoc)
  also have  $\dots = X \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \cup (\bigcup m \leq \text{Suc } n. B \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } m))$ 
    by (auto simp add: le-Suc-eq)
  finally show  $X = X \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \cup (\bigcup m \leq \text{Suc } n. B \text{ @@ } (A \text{ ^^ } m))$ 
  .
qed

theorem reversed-Arden:
  assumes nemp:  $\square \notin A$ 
  shows  $X = X \text{ @@ } A \cup B \longleftrightarrow X = B \text{ @@ } \text{star } A$ 
proof
  assume eq:  $X = X \text{ @@ } A \cup B$ 
  { fix w assume w : X
    let ?n = size w
    from  $\langle \square \notin A \rangle$  have  $\text{ALL } u : A. \text{length } u \geq 1$ 
      by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 add-leD2 le-0-eq length-0-conv not-less-eq-eq)
    hence  $\text{ALL } u : A \text{ ^^ } (?n+1). \text{length } u \geq ?n+1$ 
      by (metis length-lang-pow-lb nat-mult-1)
    hence  $\text{ALL } u : X \text{ @@ } A \text{ ^^ } (?n+1). \text{length } u \geq ?n+1$ 
      by (auto simp only: conc-def length-append)
    hence  $w \notin X \text{ @@ } A \text{ ^^ } (?n+1)$  by auto
    hence  $w : B \text{ @@ } \text{star } A$  using  $\langle w : X \rangle$  using reversed-arden-helper[OF eq,
  where n=?n]
    by (auto simp add: star-def conc-UNION-distrib)
  } moreover
  { fix w assume w :  $B \text{ @@ } \text{star } A$ 
    hence  $\text{EX } n. w : B \text{ @@ } A \text{ ^^ } n$  by (auto simp: conc-def star-def)
    hence  $w : X$  using reversed-arden-helper[OF eq] by blast
  } ultimately show  $X = B \text{ @@ } \text{star } A$  by blast
next
  assume eq:  $X = B \text{ @@ } \text{star } A$ 
  have star A =  $\{\square\} \cup \text{star } A \text{ @@ } A$ 
    unfolding conc-star-comm[symmetric]
    by (metis Un-commute star-unfold-left)
  then have  $B \text{ @@ } \text{star } A = B \text{ @@ } (\{\square\} \cup \text{star } A \text{ @@ } A)$ 
    by metis
  also have  $\dots = B \cup B \text{ @@ } (\text{star } A \text{ @@ } A)$ 
    unfolding conc-Un-distrib by simp
  also have  $\dots = B \cup (B \text{ @@ } \text{star } A) \text{ @@ } A$ 
    by (simp only: conc-assoc)
  finally show  $X = X \text{ @@ } A \cup B$ 
    using eq by blast

```

qed

1.7 Lists of Fixed Length

abbreviation *listsN* **where** $\text{listsN } n \ S \equiv \{xs. xs \in \text{lists } S \wedge \text{length } xs = n\}$

lemma *tl-listsN*: $A \subseteq \text{listsN } (n + 1) \ S \implies \text{tl } 'A \subseteq \text{listsN } n \ S$

proof (*intro image-subsetI*)

fix *xs* **assume** $A \subseteq \text{listsN } (n + 1) \ S$ *xs* $\in A$

thus $\text{tl } xs \in \text{listsN } n \ S$ **by** (*induct xs*) *auto*

qed

lemma *map-tl-listsN*: $A \subseteq \text{lists } (\text{listsN } (n + 1) \ S) \implies \text{map } \text{tl } 'A \subseteq \text{lists } (\text{listsN } n \ S)$

proof (*intro image-subsetI*)

fix *xss* **assume** $A \subseteq \text{lists } (\text{listsN } (n + 1) \ S)$ *xss* $\in A$

hence $\text{set } xss \subseteq \text{listsN } (n + 1) \ S$ **by** *auto*

hence $\forall xs \in \text{set } xss. \text{tl } xs \in \text{listsN } n \ S$ **using** *tl-listsN* [*of set xss S n*] **by** *auto*

thus $\text{map } \text{tl } xss \in \text{lists } (\text{listsN } n \ S)$ **by** (*induct xss*) *auto*

qed

end

2 Π -Extended Regular Expressions

2.1 Syntax of regular expressions

datatype *'a rexp* =

Zero |

One |

Atom *'a* |

Plus (*'a rexp*) (*'a rexp*) |

Times (*'a rexp*) (*'a rexp*) |

Star (*'a rexp*) |

Not (*'a rexp*) |

Inter (*'a rexp*) (*'a rexp*) |

Pr (*'a rexp*)

Lifting constructors to lists

fun *rexp-of-list* **where**

rexp-of-list *OP* *N* [] = *N*

| *rexp-of-list* *OP* *N* [*x*] = *x*

| *rexp-of-list* *OP* *N* (*x* # *xs*) = *OP* *x* (*rexp-of-list* *OP* *N* *xs*)

abbreviation *PLUS* $\equiv \text{rexp-of-list } \text{Plus } \text{Zero}$

abbreviation *TIMES* $\equiv \text{rexp-of-list } \text{Times } \text{One}$

abbreviation *INTERSECT* $\equiv \text{rexp-of-list } \text{Inter } (\text{Not } \text{Zero})$

```

lemma list-singleton-induct [case-names nil single cons]:
  assumes nil:  $P \ []$ 
  assumes single:  $\bigwedge x. P \ [x]$ 
  assumes cons:  $\bigwedge x \ y \ xs. P \ (y \ \# \ xs) \implies P \ (x \ \# \ (y \ \# \ xs))$ 
  shows  $P \ xs$ 
  using assms
proof (induct xs)
  case (Cons x xs) thus ?case by (cases xs) auto
qed simp

```

Term ordering

```

instantiation rexp :: (order) {order}
begin

```

```

fun le-rexp :: ('a::order) rexp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::order) rexp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  | le-rexp Zero - = True
  | le-rexp - Zero = False
  | le-rexp One - = True
  | le-rexp - One = False
  | le-rexp (Atom a) (Atom b) = ( $a \leq b$ )
  | le-rexp (Atom -) - = True
  | le-rexp - (Atom -) = False
  | le-rexp (Star r) (Star s) = le-rexp r s
  | le-rexp (Star -) - = True
  | le-rexp - (Star -) = False
  | le-rexp (Not r) (Not s) = le-rexp r s
  | le-rexp (Not -) - = True
  | le-rexp - (Not -) = False
  | le-rexp (Plus r r') (Plus s s') =
    (if  $r = s$  then le-rexp r' s' else le-rexp r s)
  | le-rexp (Plus - -) - = True
  | le-rexp - (Plus - -) = False
  | le-rexp (Times r r') (Times s s') =
    (if  $r = s$  then le-rexp r' s' else le-rexp r s)
  | le-rexp (Times - -) - = True
  | le-rexp - (Times - -) = False
  | le-rexp (Inter r r') (Inter s s') =
    (if  $r = s$  then le-rexp r' s' else le-rexp r s)
  | le-rexp (Inter - -) - = True
  | le-rexp - (Inter - -) = False
  | le-rexp (Pr r) (Pr s) = le-rexp r s

```

definition *less-eq-rexp* **where** $r \leq s \equiv \text{le-rexp } r \ s$

definition *less-rexp* **where** $r < s \equiv \text{le-rexp } r \ s \wedge r \neq s$

lemma *le-rexp-Zero*:

```

  le-rexp r Zero  $\implies r = \text{Zero}$ 
  by (induct r) auto

```

```

lemma le-rexp-refl[intro]:
  le-rexp r r
  by (induct r) auto

lemma le-rexp-antisym:
   $\llbracket \text{le-rexp } r \text{ } s; \text{le-rexp } s \text{ } r \rrbracket \implies r = s$ 
  by (induct r s rule: le-rexp.induct) (auto dest: le-rexp-Zero)

lemma le-rexp-trans:
   $\llbracket \text{le-rexp } r \text{ } s; \text{le-rexp } s \text{ } t \rrbracket \implies \text{le-rexp } r \text{ } t$ 
proof (induct r s arbitrary: t rule: le-rexp.induct)
  fix v t assume le-rexp (Atom v) t thus le-rexp One t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix s 1 s 2 t assume le-rexp (Plus s 1 s 2) t thus le-rexp One t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix s 1 s 2 t assume le-rexp (Times s 1 s 2) t thus le-rexp One t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix s t assume le-rexp (Star s) t thus le-rexp One t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix s t assume le-rexp (Not s) t thus le-rexp One t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix s 1 s 2 t assume le-rexp (Inter s 1 s 2) t thus le-rexp One t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix s t assume le-rexp (Pr s) t thus le-rexp One t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix v u t assume le-rexp (Atom v) (Atom u) le-rexp (Atom u) t
    thus le-rexp (Atom v) t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix v s 1 s 2 t assume le-rexp (Plus s 1 s 2) t thus le-rexp (Atom v) t by (cases
t) auto
next
  fix v s 1 s 2 t assume le-rexp (Times s 1 s 2) t thus le-rexp (Atom v) t by (cases
t) auto
next
  fix v s t assume le-rexp (Star s) t thus le-rexp (Atom v) t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix v s t assume le-rexp (Not s) t thus le-rexp (Atom v) t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix v s 1 s 2 t assume le-rexp (Inter s 1 s 2) t thus le-rexp (Atom v) t by (cases
t) auto
next
  fix v s t assume le-rexp (Pr s) t thus le-rexp (Atom v) t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix r s t
  assume IH:  $\bigwedge t. \text{local.le-rexp } r \text{ } s \implies \text{local.le-rexp } s \text{ } t \implies \text{local.le-rexp } r \text{ } t$ 
    and le-rexp (Star r) (Star s) le-rexp (Star s) t
    thus local.le-rexp (Star r) t by (cases t) auto
next

```



```

    fix r s1 s2 t assume le-rexp (Plus s1 s2) t thus le-rexp (Star r) t by (cases t)
  auto
next
  fix r s1 s2 t assume le-rexp (Times s1 s2) t thus le-rexp (Star r) t by (cases
t) auto
next
  fix r s t assume le-rexp (Not s) t thus le-rexp (Star r) t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix r s1 s2 t assume le-rexp (Inter s1 s2) t thus le-rexp (Star r) t by (cases t)
  auto
next
  fix r s t assume le-rexp (Pr s) t thus le-rexp (Star r) t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix r s t
  assume IH:  $\bigwedge t. \text{local.le-rexp } r \ s \implies \text{local.le-rexp } s \ t \implies \text{local.le-rexp } r \ t$ 
  and le-rexp (Not r) (Not s) le-rexp (Not s) t
  thus local.le-rexp (Not r) t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix r s1 s2 t assume le-rexp (Plus s1 s2) t thus le-rexp (Not r) t by (cases t)
  auto
next
  fix r s1 s2 t assume le-rexp (Times s1 s2) t thus le-rexp (Not r) t by (cases
t) auto
next
  fix r s1 s2 t assume le-rexp (Inter s1 s2) t thus le-rexp (Not r) t by (cases t)
  auto
next
  fix r s t assume le-rexp (Pr s) t thus le-rexp (Not r) t by (cases t) auto
next
  fix r1 r2 s1 s2 t
  assume  $\bigwedge t. r1 = s1 \implies \text{local.le-rexp } r2 \ s2 \implies \text{local.le-rexp } s2 \ t \implies \text{local.le-rexp } r2 \ t$ 
   $\bigwedge t. r1 \neq s1 \implies \text{local.le-rexp } r1 \ s1 \implies \text{local.le-rexp } s1 \ t \implies \text{local.le-rexp } r1 \ t$ 
  le-rexp (Plus r1 r2) (Plus s1 s2) le-rexp (Plus s1 s2) t
  thus le-rexp (Plus r1 r2) t by (cases t) (auto split: split-if-asm intro: le-rexp-antisym)
next
  fix r1 r2 s1 s2 t assume le-rexp (Times s1 s2) t thus le-rexp (Plus r1 r2) t by
(cases t) auto
next
  fix r1 r2 s1 s2 t assume le-rexp (Inter s1 s2) t thus le-rexp (Plus r1 r2) t by
(cases t) auto
next
  fix r1 r2 s t assume le-rexp (Pr s) t thus le-rexp (Plus r1 r2) t by (cases t)
  auto
next
  fix r1 r2 s1 s2 t
  assume  $\bigwedge t. r1 = s1 \implies \text{local.le-rexp } r2 \ s2 \implies \text{local.le-rexp } s2 \ t \implies \text{local.le-rexp } r2 \ t$ 

```

```

       $\bigwedge t. r1 \neq s1 \implies \text{local.le-rexp } r1 \ s1 \implies \text{local.le-rexp } s1 \ t \implies \text{local.le-rexp}$ 
 $r1 \ t$ 
       $\text{le-rexp } (\text{Times } r1 \ r2) (\text{Times } s1 \ s2) \text{le-rexp } (\text{Times } s1 \ s2) \ t$ 
      thus  $\text{le-rexp } (\text{Times } r1 \ r2) \ t$  by (cases t) (auto split: split-if-asm intro: le-rexp-antisym)
    next
      fix  $r1 \ r2 \ s1 \ s2 \ t$  assume  $\text{le-rexp } (\text{Inter } s1 \ s2) \ t$  thus  $\text{le-rexp } (\text{Times } r1 \ r2) \ t$  by
(cases t) auto
    next
      fix  $r1 \ r2 \ s \ t$  assume  $\text{le-rexp } (\text{Pr } s) \ t$  thus  $\text{le-rexp } (\text{Times } r1 \ r2) \ t$  by (cases t)
auto
    next
      fix  $r1 \ r2 \ s1 \ s2 \ t$ 
      assume  $\bigwedge t. r1 = s1 \implies \text{local.le-rexp } r2 \ s2 \implies \text{local.le-rexp } s2 \ t \implies \text{local.le-rexp}$ 
 $r2 \ t$ 
       $\bigwedge t. r1 \neq s1 \implies \text{local.le-rexp } r1 \ s1 \implies \text{local.le-rexp } s1 \ t \implies \text{local.le-rexp}$ 
 $r1 \ t$ 
       $\text{le-rexp } (\text{Inter } r1 \ r2) (\text{Inter } s1 \ s2) \text{le-rexp } (\text{Inter } s1 \ s2) \ t$ 
      thus  $\text{le-rexp } (\text{Inter } r1 \ r2) \ t$  by (cases t) (auto split: split-if-asm intro: le-rexp-antisym)
    next
      fix  $r1 \ r2 \ s \ t$  assume  $\text{le-rexp } (\text{Pr } s) \ t$  thus  $\text{le-rexp } (\text{Inter } r1 \ r2) \ t$  by (cases t)
auto
    next
      fix  $r \ s \ t$ 
      assume  $IH: \bigwedge t. \text{local.le-rexp } r \ s \implies \text{local.le-rexp } s \ t \implies \text{local.le-rexp } r \ t$ 
      and  $\text{le-rexp } (\text{Pr } r) (\text{Pr } s) \text{le-rexp } (\text{Pr } s) \ t$ 
      thus  $\text{local.le-rexp } (\text{Pr } r) \ t$  by (cases t) auto
    qed auto

```

instance proof

qed (auto simp add: less-eq-rexp-def less-rexp-def intro: le-rexp-antisym le-rexp-trans)

end

instantiation $\text{rexp} :: (\text{linorder}) \{ \text{linorder} \}$

begin

lemma le-rexp-total :

$\text{le-rexp } (r :: 'a :: \text{linorder rexp}) \ s \vee \text{le-rexp } s \ r$

by (induct r s rule: le-rexp.induct) auto

instance proof

qed (unfold less-eq-rexp-def less-rexp-def, rule le-rexp-total)

end

2.2 ACI normalization

fun $\text{toplevel-summands} :: 'a \text{ rexp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rexp set}$ **where**

$\text{toplevel-summands } (\text{Plus } r \ s) = \text{toplevel-summands } r \cup \text{toplevel-summands } s$

| *toplevel-summands* $r = \{r\}$

abbreviation *flatten LISTOP* $X \equiv \text{LISTOP } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } X)$

lemma *toplevel-summands-nonempty*[simp]:

toplevel-summands $r \neq \{\}$

by (*induct* r) *auto*

lemma *toplevel-summands-finite*[simp]:

finite (*toplevel-summands* r)

by (*induct* r) *auto*

primrec *ACI-norm* :: ($'a::\text{linorder}$) $\text{rexp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rexp } (\ll-\gg)$ **where**

$\ll\text{Zero}\gg = \text{Zero}$

$\ll\text{One}\gg = \text{One}$

$\ll\text{Atom } a\gg = \text{Atom } a$

$\ll\text{Plus } r \ s\gg = \text{flatten PLUS } (\text{toplevel-summands } (\text{Plus } \ll r \gg \ll s \gg))$

$\ll\text{Times } r \ s\gg = \text{Times } \ll r \gg \ll s \gg$

$\ll\text{Star } r\gg = \text{Star } \ll r \gg$

$\ll\text{Not } r\gg = \text{Not } \ll r \gg$

$\ll\text{Inter } r \ s\gg = \text{Inter } \ll r \gg \ll s \gg$

$\ll\text{Pr } r\gg = \text{Pr } \ll r \gg$

lemma *Plus-toplevel-summands*:

$\text{Plus } r \ s \in \text{toplevel-summands } t \implies \text{False}$

by (*induct* t) *auto*

lemma *toplevel-summands-not-Plus*[simp]:

$(\forall r \ s. x \neq \text{Plus } r \ s) \implies \text{toplevel-summands } x = \{x\}$

by (*induct* x) *auto*

lemma *toplevel-summands-PLUS-strong*:

$\ll xs \neq []; \text{list-all } (\lambda x. \neg(\exists r \ s. x = \text{Plus } r \ s)) \ xs \gg \implies \text{toplevel-summands } (\text{PLUS } xs) = \text{set } xs$

by (*induct* xs *rule: list-singleton-induct*) *auto*

lemma *toplevel-summands-flatten*:

$\ll X \neq \{\}; \text{finite } X; \forall x \in X. \neg(\exists r \ s. x = \text{Plus } r \ s) \gg \implies \text{toplevel-summands } (\text{flatten PLUS } X) = X$

using *toplevel-summands-PLUS-strong*[*of sorted-list-of-set X*] *sorted-list-of-set*[*of X*]

unfolding *list-all-iff* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *ACI-norm-Plus*:

$\ll r \gg = \text{Plus } s \ t \implies \exists s \ t. r = \text{Plus } s \ t$

by (*induct* r) *auto*

lemma *toplevel-summands-flatten-ACI-norm-image*:

$\text{toplevel-summands } (\text{flatten PLUS } (\text{ACI-norm } ' \text{toplevel-summands } r)) = \text{ACI-norm}$

‘ *toplevel-summands* *r*
by (*intro toplevel-summands-flatten*) (*auto dest!*: *ACI-norm-Plus intro: Plus-toplevel-summands*)

lemma *toplevel-summands-flatten-ACI-norm-image-Union*:
toplevel-summands (*flatten PLUS* (*ACI-norm* ‘ *toplevel-summands* *r* \cup *ACI-norm*
‘ *toplevel-summands* *s*)) =
ACI-norm ‘ *toplevel-summands* *r* \cup *ACI-norm* ‘ *toplevel-summands* *s*
by (*intro toplevel-summands-flatten*) (*auto dest!*: *ACI-norm-Plus*[*OF sym*] *intro*:
Plus-toplevel-summands)

lemma *toplevel-summands-ACI-norm*:
toplevel-summands $\ll r \gg$ = *ACI-norm* ‘ *toplevel-summands* *r*
by (*induct r*) (*auto simp*: *toplevel-summands-flatten-ACI-norm-image-Union*)

lemma *ACI-norm-flatten*:
 $\ll r \gg$ = *flatten PLUS* (*ACI-norm* ‘ *toplevel-summands* *r*)
by (*induct r*) (*auto simp*: *image-Un toplevel-summands-flatten-ACI-norm-image*)

theorem *ACI-norm-idem[simp]*:
 $\ll \ll r \gg \gg$ = $\ll r \gg$
proof (*induct r*)
case (*Plus r s*)
have $\ll \ll \text{Plus } r \text{ } s \gg \gg$ = *flatten PLUS* (*toplevel-summands* $\ll r \gg$ \cup *toplevel-summands*
 $\ll s \gg$)
(is - = *flatten PLUS* ?*U***)** **by** *simp*
also have ... = *flatten PLUS* (*ACI-norm* ‘ *toplevel-summands* (*flatten PLUS*
?*U*))
unfolding *ACI-norm-flatten* ..
also have *toplevel-summands* (*flatten PLUS* ?*U*) = ?*U*
by (*intro toplevel-summands-flatten*) (*auto intro*: *Plus-toplevel-summands*)
also have *flatten PLUS* (*ACI-norm* ‘ ?*U*) = *flatten PLUS* (*toplevel-summands*
 $\ll r \gg$ \cup *toplevel-summands* $\ll s \gg$)
unfolding *image-Un toplevel-summands-ACI-norm[symmetric]* *Plus* ..
finally show ?*case* **by** *simp*
qed *auto*

fun *ACI-nPlus* :: ‘*a*::*linorder* *rexp* \Rightarrow ‘*a* *rexp* \Rightarrow ‘*a* *rexp*
where
ACI-nPlus (*Plus r1 r2*) *s* = *ACI-nPlus* *r1* (*ACI-nPlus* *r2* *s*)
| *ACI-nPlus* *r* (*Plus s1 s2*) =
(if *r* = *s1* then *Plus s1 s2*
else if *r* < *s1* then *Plus r* (*Plus s1 s2*)
else *Plus s1* (*ACI-nPlus* *r* *s2*))
| *ACI-nPlus* *r* *s* =
(if *r* = *s* then *r*
else if *r* < *s* then *Plus r* *s*
else *Plus s* *r*)

fun *ACI-norm-alt* **where**

```

  ACI-norm-alt Zero = Zero
| ACI-norm-alt One = One
| ACI-norm-alt (Atom a) = Atom a
| ACI-norm-alt (Plus r s) = ACI-nPlus (ACI-norm-alt r) (ACI-norm-alt s)
| ACI-norm-alt (Times r s) = Times (ACI-norm-alt r) (ACI-norm-alt s)
| ACI-norm-alt (Star r) = Star (ACI-norm-alt r)
| ACI-norm-alt (Not r) = Not (ACI-norm-alt r)
| ACI-norm-alt (Inter r s) = Inter (ACI-norm-alt r) (ACI-norm-alt s)
| ACI-norm-alt (Pr r) = Pr (ACI-norm-alt r)

```

lemma *toplevel-summands-ACI-nPlus*:

```

  toplevel-summands (ACI-nPlus r s) = toplevel-summands (Plus r s)
  by (induct r s rule: ACI-nPlus.induct) auto

```

lemma *toplevel-summands-ACI-norm-alt*:

```

  toplevel-summands (ACI-norm-alt r) = ACI-norm-alt ‘ toplevel-summands r
  by (induct r) (auto simp: toplevel-summands-ACI-nPlus)

```

lemma *ACI-norm-alt-Plus*:

```

  ACI-norm-alt r = Plus s t  $\implies$   $\exists s\ t. r = Plus\ s\ t$ 
  by (induct r) auto

```

lemma *toplevel-summands-flatten-ACI-norm-alt-image*:

```

  toplevel-summands (flatten PLUS (ACI-norm-alt ‘ toplevel-summands r)) =
  ACI-norm-alt ‘ toplevel-summands r
  by (intro toplevel-summands-flatten) (auto dest!: ACI-norm-alt-Plus intro: Plus-toplevel-summands)

```

lemma *ACI-norm-ACI-norm-alt*: $\llbracket ACI-norm-alt\ r \rrbracket = \llbracket r \rrbracket$

proof (*induction r*)

case (*Plus r s*) **show** ?case

```

  by (auto simp: ACI-norm-flatten image-Un toplevel-summands-ACI-nPlus)
  (metis Plus.IH toplevel-summands-ACI-norm)

```

qed *auto*

lemma *ACI-nPlus-singleton-PLUS*:

```

   $\llbracket xs \neq []; sorted\ xs; distinct\ xs; \forall x \in \{x\} \cup set\ xs. \neg(\exists r\ s. x = Plus\ r\ s) \rrbracket \implies$ 
  ACI-nPlus x (PLUS xs) = (if x  $\in$  set xs then PLUS xs else PLUS (insort x xs))

```

proof (*induct xs rule: list-singleton-induct*)

case (*single y*)

thus ?case

by (*cases x y rule: linorder-cases*) (*induct x y rule: ACI-nPlus.induct, auto*)+

next

case (*cons y1 y2 ys*) **thus** ?case **by** (*cases x*) (*auto simp: sorted-Cons*)

qed *simp*

lemma *ACI-nPlus-PLUS*:

```

   $\llbracket xs1 \neq []; xs2 \neq []; \forall x \in set\ (xs1 @ xs2). \neg(\exists r\ s. x = Plus\ r\ s); sorted\ xs2; distinct\ xs2 \rrbracket \implies$ 

```

```

  ACI-nPlus (PLUS xs1) (PLUS xs2) = flatten PLUS (set (xs1 @ xs2))

```

```

proof (induct xs1 arbitrary: xs2 rule: list-singleton-induct)
  case (single x1)
  thus ?case
  apply (auto intro!: trans[OF ACI-nPlus-singleton-PLUS] simp del: sorted-list-of-set-insert)
  apply fastforce
  apply (simp only: insert-absorb)
  apply (metis List.finite-set finite-sorted-distinct-unique sorted-list-of-set)
  apply (rule arg-cong[of - - PLUS])
  apply (metis List.set.simps(2) distinct.simps(2) remdups-id-iff-distinct sort-key-simps(2)
sorted-list-of-set-sort-remdups sorted-sort-id)
  done
next
  case (cons x11 x12 xs1) thus ?case
  apply (simp del: sorted-list-of-set-insert)
  apply (rule trans[OF ACI-nPlus-singleton-PLUS])
  apply (auto simp del: sorted-list-of-set-insert simp add: insert-commute[of x11])
  apply fastforce
  apply (auto simp only: Un-insert-left[of x11, symmetric] insert-absorb) []
  apply (auto simp only: Un-insert-right[of - x11, symmetric] insert-absorb) []
  apply (auto simp add: insert-commute[of x12])
  done
qed simp

```

lemma ACI-nPlus-flatten-PLUS:

```

   $\llbracket X1 \neq \{\}; X2 \neq \{\}; \text{finite } X1; \text{finite } X2; \forall x \in X1 \cup X2. \neg(\exists r s. x = \text{Plus } r s) \rrbracket \implies$ 
  ACI-nPlus (flatten PLUS X1) (flatten PLUS X2) = flatten PLUS (X1  $\cup$  X2)
  by (rule trans[OF ACI-nPlus-PLUS]) (auto, (metis List.set.simps(1) all-not-in-conv
sorted-list-of-set)+)

```

lemma ACI-nPlus-ACI-norm[simp]: ACI-nPlus $\llbracket r \rrbracket \llbracket s \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{Plus } r s \rrbracket$

```

by (auto simp: image-Un Un-assoc ACI-norm-flatten intro!: trans[OF ACI-nPlus-flatten-PLUS])
  (metis ACI-norm-Plus Plus-toplevel-summands ACI-norm-flatten)+

```

lemma ACI-norm-alt:

ACI-norm-alt $r = \llbracket r \rrbracket$

by (induct r) auto

declare ACI-norm-alt[symmetric, code]

2.3 Finality

primrec final :: 'a rexp \Rightarrow bool

where

```

  final Zero = False
| final One = True
| final (Atom -) = False
| final (Plus r s) = (final r  $\vee$  final s)
| final (Times r s) = (final r  $\wedge$  final s)

```

```

| final (Star -) = True
| final (Not r) = (~ final r)
| final (Inter r1 r2) = (final r1 ∧ final r2)
| final (Pr r) = final r

```

lemma *toplevel-summands-final*:
 $\text{final } s = (\exists r \in \text{toplevel-summands } s. \text{final } r)$
by (induct s) auto

lemma *final-PLUS*:
 $\text{final } (\text{PLUS } xs) = (\exists r \in \text{set } xs. \text{final } r)$
by (induct xs rule: list-singleton-induct) auto

theorem *ACI-norm-final[simp]*:
 $\text{final } \langle\!\langle r \rangle\!\rangle = \text{final } r$
proof (induct r)
 case (Plus r1 r2) **thus** ?case **using** toplevel-summands-final **by** (auto simp:
 final-PLUS)
qed auto

2.4 Wellformedness w.r.t. an alphabet

locale *alphabet* =
fixes $\Sigma :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{linorder set } (\Sigma -)$
begin

primrec *wf* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rexp} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
 $\text{wf } n \text{ Zero} = \text{True} \mid$
 $\text{wf } n \text{ One} = \text{True} \mid$
 $\text{wf } n (\text{Atom } a) = (a \in \Sigma \ n) \mid$
 $\text{wf } n (\text{Plus } r \ s) = (\text{wf } n \ r \wedge \text{wf } n \ s) \mid$
 $\text{wf } n (\text{Times } r \ s) = (\text{wf } n \ r \wedge \text{wf } n \ s) \mid$
 $\text{wf } n (\text{Star } r) = \text{wf } n \ r \mid$
 $\text{wf } n (\text{Not } r) = \text{wf } n \ r \mid$
 $\text{wf } n (\text{Inter } r \ s) = (\text{wf } n \ r \wedge \text{wf } n \ s) \mid$
 $\text{wf } n (\text{Pr } r) = \text{wf } (n + 1) \ r$

primrec *wf-word* **where**
 $\text{wf-word } n \ [] = \text{True}$
 $\mid \text{wf-word } n \ (w \ \# \ ws) = ((w \in \Sigma \ n) \wedge \text{wf-word } n \ ws)$

lemma *wf-word-snoc[simp]*: $\text{wf-word } n \ (ws \ @ \ [w]) = ((w \in \Sigma \ n) \wedge \text{wf-word } n \ ws)$
by (induct ws) auto

lemma *wf-word-append[simp]*: $\text{wf-word } n \ (ws \ @ \ vs) = (\text{wf-word } n \ ws \wedge \text{wf-word } n \ vs)$
by (induct ws arbitrary: vs) auto

lemma *wf-word*: $wf\text{-}word\ n\ w = (w \in lists\ (\Sigma\ n))$
by (*induct w*) *auto*

lemma *toplevel-summands-wf*:
 $wf\ n\ s = (\forall r \in toplevel\text{-}summands\ s. wf\ n\ r)$
by (*induct s*) *auto*

lemma *wf-PLUS[simp]*:
 $wf\ n\ (PLUS\ xs) = (\forall r \in set\ xs. wf\ n\ r)$
by (*induct xs rule: list-singleton-induct*) *auto*

lemma *wf-flatten-PLUS[simp]*:
 $finite\ X \implies wf\ n\ (flatten\ PLUS\ X) = (\forall r \in X. wf\ n\ r)$
using *wf-PLUS[of n sorted-list-of-set X] sorted-list-of-set[of X]* **by** *fastforce*

theorem *ACI-norm-wf[simp]*:
 $wf\ n\ \ll r \gg = wf\ n\ r$
proof (*induct r arbitrary: n*)
case (*Plus r1 r2*) **thus** *?case*
using *toplevel-summands-wf[of n <r1>] toplevel-summands-wf[of n <r2>]* **by** *auto*
qed *auto*

lemma *wf-INTERSECT*:
 $wf\ n\ (INTERSECT\ xs) = (\forall r \in set\ xs. wf\ n\ r)$
by (*induct xs rule: list-singleton-induct*) *auto*

lemma *wf-flatten-INTERSECT[simp]*:
 $finite\ X \implies wf\ n\ (flatten\ INTERSECT\ X) = (\forall r \in X. wf\ n\ r)$
using *wf-INTERSECT[of n sorted-list-of-set X] sorted-list-of-set[of X]* **by** *fastforce*

end

2.5 Language

locale *project* =
 $alphabet\ \Sigma\ \mathbf{for}\ \Sigma :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: linorder\ set +$
 $\mathbf{fixes}\ project :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
 $\mathbf{assumes}\ project: \bigwedge a. a \in \Sigma\ (Suc\ n) \implies project\ a \in \Sigma\ n$
begin

primrec *lang* :: $nat \Rightarrow 'a\ rexp \Rightarrow 'a\ lang$ **where**
 $lang\ n\ Zero = \{\}$ |
 $lang\ n\ One = \{\ \}$ |
 $lang\ n\ (Atom\ a) = \{[a]\}$ |
 $lang\ n\ (Plus\ r\ s) = (lang\ n\ r) \cup (lang\ n\ s)$ |
 $lang\ n\ (Times\ r\ s) = conc\ (lang\ n\ r)\ (lang\ n\ s)$ |
 $lang\ n\ (Star\ r) = star\ (lang\ n\ r)$ |
 $lang\ n\ (Not\ r) = lists\ (\Sigma\ n) - lang\ n\ r$ |

$lang\ n\ (Inter\ r\ s) = (lang\ n\ r \cap lang\ n\ s) \mid$
 $lang\ n\ (Pr\ r) = map\ project\ 'lang\ (n + 1)\ r$

lemma *wf-word-map-project[simp]*: $wf\text{-}word\ (Suc\ n)\ ws \implies wf\text{-}word\ n\ (map\ project\ ws)$
by (*induct ws arbitrary: n*) (*auto intro: project*)

lemma *wf-lang-wf-word*: $wf\ n\ r \implies \forall w \in lang\ n\ r. wf\text{-}word\ n\ w$
by (*induct r arbitrary: n*) (*auto elim: set-rev-mp[OF - conc-mono] star-induct intro: iffD2[OF wf-word]*)

lemma *lang-subset-lists*: $wf\ n\ r \implies lang\ n\ r \subseteq lists\ (\Sigma\ n)$
proof (*induct r arbitrary: n*)
case *Pr* **thus** ?*case* **by** (*fastforce intro!: project*)
qed (*auto simp: conc-subset-lists star-subset-lists*)

lemma *toplevel-summands-lang*:
 $r \in toplevel\text{-}summands\ s \implies lang\ n\ r \subseteq lang\ n\ s$
by (*induct s*) *auto*

lemma *toplevel-summands-lang-UN*:
 $lang\ n\ s = (\bigcup r \in toplevel\text{-}summands\ s. lang\ n\ r)$
by (*induct s*) *auto*

lemma *toplevel-summands-in-lang*:
 $w \in lang\ n\ s = (\exists r \in toplevel\text{-}summands\ s. w \in lang\ n\ r)$
by (*induct s*) *auto*

lemma *lang-PLUS*:
 $lang\ n\ (PLUS\ xs) = (\bigcup r \in set\ xs. lang\ n\ r)$
by (*induct xs rule: list-singleton-induct*) *auto*

lemma *lang-PLUS-map[simp]*:
 $lang\ n\ (PLUS\ (map\ f\ xs)) = (\bigcup a \in set\ xs. lang\ n\ (f\ a))$
by (*induct xs rule: list-singleton-induct*) *auto*

lemma *lang-flatten-PLUS[simp]*:
 $finite\ X \implies lang\ n\ (flatten\ PLUS\ X) = (\bigcup r \in X. lang\ n\ r)$
using *lang-PLUS[of n sorted-list-of-set X] sorted-list-of-set[of X]* **by** *fastforce*

theorem *ACI-norm-lang[simp]*:
 $lang\ n\ \langle\!\langle r \rangle\!\rangle = lang\ n\ r$
proof (*induct r arbitrary: n*)
case (*Plus r1 r2*)
moreover
from *Plus[symmetric]* **have** $lang\ n\ (Plus\ r1\ r2) \subseteq lang\ n\ \langle\!\langle Plus\ r1\ r2 \rangle\!\rangle$
using *toplevel-summands-in-lang[of - n \langle\!\langle r1 \rangle\!\rangle] toplevel-summands-in-lang[of - n \langle\!\langle r2 \rangle\!\rangle]*
by *auto*

```

ultimately show ?case by (fastforce dest!: toplevel-summands-lang)
qed auto

lemma lang-final: final r = ( $\square \in \text{lang } n \ r$ )
  using concI[of  $\square$  -  $\square$ ] by (induct r arbitrary: n) auto

lemma in-lang-INTERSECT:
  wf-word n w  $\implies w \in \text{lang } n \ (\text{INTERSECT } xs) = (\forall r \in \text{set } xs. w \in \text{lang } n \ r)$ 
  by (induct xs rule: list-singleton-induct) (auto simp: wf-word)

lemma lang-flatten-INTERSECT[simp]:
  assumes finite X  $X \neq \{\}$   $\forall r \in X. \text{wf } n \ r$ 
  shows  $w \in \text{lang } n \ (\text{flatten } \text{INTERSECT } X) = (\forall r \in X. w \in \text{lang } n \ r)$  (is ?L
= ?R)
proof
  assume ?L
  thus ?R using in-lang-INTERSECT[OF bspec[OF wf-lang-wf-word[OF iffD2[OF
wf-flatten-INTERSECT]]],
    OF assms(1,3)  $\langle ?L \rangle$ , of sorted-list-of-set X] assms(1)
  by auto
next
  assume ?R
  with assms show ?L by (intro iffD2[OF in-lang-INTERSECT]) (auto dest:
wf-lang-wf-word)
qed

end

end

```

3 Derivatives of Π -Extended Regular Expressions

```

locale embed = project  $\Sigma$  project
  for  $\Sigma :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{linorder set}$ 
  and project ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a +$ 
fixes embed ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$ 
assumes embed:  $\bigwedge a. a \in \Sigma \ n \implies b \in \text{set } (\text{embed } a) = (b \in \Sigma \ (\text{Suc } n) \wedge \text{project } b = a)$ 
begin

```

3.1 Syntactic Derivatives

```

primrec lderiv ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rexp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rexp}$  where
  lderiv - Zero = Zero
| lderiv - One = Zero
| lderiv as (Atom bs) = (if as = bs then One else Zero)
| lderiv as (Plus r s) = Plus (lderv as r) (lderv as s)

```

```

| lderiv as (Times r s) =
  (let r's = Times (lderv as r) s
   in if final r then Plus r's (lderv as s) else r's)
| lderiv as (Star r) = Times (lderv as r) (Star r)
| lderiv as (Not r) = Not (lderv as r)
| lderiv as (Inter r s) = Inter (lderv as r) (lderv as s)
| lderiv as (Pr r) = Pr (PLUS (map (λa. lderiv a r) (embed as)))

```

primrec lderivs where

```

  lderivs [] r = r
| lderivs (w#ws) r = lderivs ws (lderv w r)

```

3.2 Finiteness of ACI-Equivalent Derivatives

lemma toplevel-summands-lderv:

```

  toplevel-summands (lderv as r) = (⋃ s∈toplevel-summands r. toplevel-summands
    (lderv as s))
  by (induct r) (auto simp: Let-def)

```

lemma lderivs-Zero[simp]: $ldervs\ xs\ Zero = Zero$

by (induct xs) auto

lemma lderivs-One: $ldervs\ xs\ One \in \{Zero, One\}$

by (induct xs) auto

lemma lderivs-Atom: $ldervs\ xs\ (Atom\ as) \in \{Zero, One, Atom\ as\}$

proof (induct xs)

case (Cons x xs) **thus** ?case **by** (auto intro: insertE[OF lderivs-One])

qed simp

lemma lderivs-Plus: $ldervs\ xs\ (Plus\ r\ s) = Plus\ (ldervs\ xs\ r)\ (ldervs\ xs\ s)$

by (induct xs arbitrary: r s) auto

lemma lderivs-PLUS: $ldervs\ xs\ (PLUS\ ys) = PLUS\ (map\ (ldervs\ xs)\ ys)$

by (induct ys rule: list-singleton-induct) (auto simp: lderivs-Plus)

lemma toplevel-summands-ldervs-Times: $toplevel-summands\ (ldervs\ xs\ (Times\ r\ s)) \subseteq$

$\{Times\ (ldervs\ xs\ r)\ s\} \cup$
 $\{r'. \exists\ ys\ zs. r' \in toplevel-summands\ (ldervs\ ys\ s) \wedge ys \neq [] \wedge zs @ ys = xs\}$

proof (induct xs arbitrary: r s)

case (Cons x xs)

thus ?case **by** (auto simp: Let-def lderivs-Plus) (fastforce intro: exI[of - x#xs])+

qed simp

lemma toplevel-summands-ldervs-Star-nonempty:

$xs \neq [] \implies toplevel-summands\ (ldervs\ xs\ (Star\ r)) \subseteq$
 $\{Times\ (ldervs\ ys\ r)\ (Star\ r) \mid ys. \exists\ zs. ys \neq [] \wedge zs @ ys = xs\}$

proof (induct xs rule: length-induct)

```

case (1 xs)
then obtain y ys where xs = y # ys by (cases xs) auto
thus ?case using spec[OF 1(1)]
  by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF toplevel-summands-lderivs-Times] intro: exI[of -
```

```

y#ys])
  (auto elim!: impE dest!: meta-spec subsetD)
qed

```

```

lemma toplevel-summands-lderivs-Star:
  toplevel-summands (lderivs xs (Star r))  $\subseteq$ 
    {Star r}  $\cup$  {Times (lderivs ys r) (Star r) | ys.  $\exists$  zs. ys  $\neq$  []  $\wedge$  zs @ ys = xs}
  by (cases xs = []) (auto dest!: toplevel-summands-lderivs-Star-nonempty)

```

```

lemma ex-lderivs-Pr:  $\exists$  s. lderivs ass (Pr r) = Pr s
  by (induct ass arbitrary: r) auto

```

```

lemma toplevel-summands-PLUS:
  xs  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  toplevel-summands (PLUS (map f xs)) = ( $\bigcup$  r  $\in$  set xs. toplevel-summands
(f r))
  by (induct xs rule: list-singleton-induct) simp-all

```

```

lemma lderiv-toplevel-summands-Zero:
  [lderivs xs (Pr r) = Pr s; toplevel-summands r = {Zero}]  $\implies$  toplevel-summands
s = {Zero}
proof (induct xs arbitrary: r s)
  case (Cons y ys)
    from Cons.prems(1) have toplevel-summands (PLUS (map ( $\lambda a$ . lderiv a r)
(embed y))) = {Zero}
    proof (cases embed y = [])
      case False
        show ?thesis using Cons.prems(2) unfolding toplevel-summands-PLUS[OF
False]
        by (subst toplevel-summands-lderiv) (simp add: False)
      qed simp
    with Cons show ?case by simp
  qed simp

```

```

lemma toplevel-summands-lderivs-Pr:
  [xs  $\neq$  []; lderivs xs (Pr r) = Pr s]  $\implies$ 
    toplevel-summands s  $\subseteq$  {Zero}  $\vee$  toplevel-summands s  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcup$  xs. toplevel-summands
(lderivs xs r))
proof (induct xs arbitrary: r s)
  case (Cons y ys) note * = this
  show ?case
  proof (cases embed y = [])
    case True with Cons show ?thesis by (cases ys = []) (auto dest: lderiv-toplevel-summands-Zero)
  next
    case False
    show ?thesis

```

```

proof (cases ys)
  case Nil with * show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: toplevel-summands-PLUS[OF False]) (metis lderivs.simps)
next
  case (Cons z zs)
  have toplevel-summands s  $\subseteq$  {Zero}  $\vee$  toplevel-summands s  $\subseteq$ 
    ( $\bigcup xs.$  toplevel-summands (ldervs xs (PLUS (map ( $\lambda a.$  lderiv a r) (embed
y)))))) (is -  $\vee$  ?B)
    by (rule *(1)) (auto simp: Cons *(3)[symmetric])
  thus ?thesis
  proof
    assume ?B
    also have ...  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcup xs.$  toplevel-summands (ldervs xs r))
      by (auto simp: lderivs-PLUS toplevel-summands-PLUS[OF False]) (metis
ldervs.simps(2))
    finally show ?thesis ..
  qed blast
qed
qed
qed simp

```

lemma *ldervs-Pr*:

```

{ldervs xs (Pr r) | xs. True}  $\subseteq$ 
{Pr s | s. toplevel-summands s  $\subseteq$  {Zero}  $\vee$ 
  toplevel-summands s  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcup xs.$  toplevel-summands (ldervs xs r))}
(is ?L  $\subseteq$  ?R)
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix s assume s  $\in$  ?L
  then obtain xs where s = lderivs xs (Pr r) by blast
  moreover obtain t where lderivs xs (Pr r) = Pr t using ex-ldervs-Pr by blast
  ultimately show s  $\in$  ?R
    by (cases xs = []) (auto dest!: toplevel-summands-ldervs-Pr elim!: allE[of - []])
qed

```

lemma *ACI-norm-toplevel-summands-Zero*: toplevel-summands *r* \subseteq {Zero} \implies
 $\llbracket r \rrbracket = \text{Zero}$

by (subst ACI-norm-flatten) (auto dest: subset-singletonD)

lemma *ACI-norm-ldervs-Pr*:

```

ACI-norm ' {ldervs xs (Pr r) | xs. True}  $\subseteq$ 
{Pr Zero}  $\cup$  {Pr  $\llbracket s \rrbracket$  | s. toplevel-summands s  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcup xs.$  toplevel-summands
 $\llbracket$ ldervs xs r $\rrbracket$ )}
proof (intro subset-trans[OF image-mono[OF lderivs-Pr]] subsetI,
  elim imageE CollectE exE conjE disjE)
  fix x x' s :: 'a rexp
  assume *: x =  $\llbracket x' \rrbracket$  x' = Pr s and toplevel-summands s  $\subseteq$  {Zero}
  hence  $\llbracket$ Pr s $\rrbracket$  = Pr Zero using ACI-norm-toplevel-summands-Zero by simp
  thus x  $\in$  {Pr Zero}  $\cup$ 
    {Pr  $\llbracket s \rrbracket$  | s. toplevel-summands s  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcup xs.$  toplevel-summands  $\llbracket$ ldervs xs r $\rrbracket$ )}

```

```

    unfolding * by blast
next
  fix x x' s :: 'a rexp
  assume *: x = «x'» x' = Pr s and toplevel-summands s ⊆ (⋃ xs. toplevel-summands
    (lderivs xs r))
  hence toplevel-summands «s» ⊆ (⋃ xs. toplevel-summands «lderivs xs r»)
  by (fastforce simp: toplevel-summands-ACI-norm)
  moreover have x = Pr ««s»» unfolding * ACI-norm-idem ACI-norm.simps(9)
  ..
  ultimately show x ∈ {Pr Zero} ∪
    {Pr «s» | s. toplevel-summands s ⊆ (⋃ xs. toplevel-summands «lderivs xs r»)}
  by blast
qed

lemma finite-ACI-norm-toplevel-summands: finite B ⇒ finite {f «s» | s. toplevel-summands
  s ⊆ B}
  by (elim finite-surj[OF iffD2[OF finite-Pow-iff], of - - f o flatten PLUS o image
    ACI-norm])
    (auto simp: Pow-def image-Collect ACI-norm-flatten)

lemma lderivs-Not: lderivs xs (Not r) = Not (lderivs xs r)
  by (induct xs arbitrary: r) auto

lemma lderivs-Inter: lderivs xs (Inter r s) = Inter (lderivs xs r) (lderivs xs s)
  by (induct xs arbitrary: r s) auto

theorem finite-lderivs: finite {«lderivs xs r» | xs . True}
proof (induct r)
  case Zero show ?case by simp
next
  case One show ?case
    by (rule finite-surj[of {Zero, One}]) (blast intro: insertE[OF lderivs-One])+
next
  case (Atom as) show ?case
    by (rule finite-surj[of {Zero, One, Atom as}]) (blast intro: insertE[OF lderivs-Atom])+
next
  case (Plus r s)
  show ?case by (auto simp: lderivs-Plus intro!: finite-surj[OF finite-cartesian-product[OF
    Plus]])
next
  case (Times r s)
  hence finite (⋃ toplevel-summands ' {«lderivs xs s» | xs . True}) by auto
  moreover have {«r'» | r'. ∃ ys. r' ∈ toplevel-summands (local.lderivs ys s)} =
    {r'. ∃ ys. r' ∈ toplevel-summands «local.lderivs ys s»}
  unfolding toplevel-summands-ACI-norm by auto
  ultimately have fin: finite {«r'» | r'. ∃ ys. r' ∈ toplevel-summands (local.lderivs
    ys s)}
  by (fastforce intro: finite-subset[of - ⋃ toplevel-summands ' {«lderivs xs s» |
    xs . True}])

```

```

let ?X = λxs. {Times (lderivs ys r) s | ys. True} ∪ {r'. r' ∈ (⋃ ys. toplevel-summands
(lderivs ys s))}
show ?case unfolding ACI-norm-flatten
proof (rule finite-surj[of {X. ∃ xs. X ⊆ ACI-norm ' ?X xs} - flatten PLUS])
  show finite {X. ∃ xs. X ⊆ ACI-norm ' ?X xs}
    using fin by (fastforce simp: image-Un elim: finite-subset[rotated] intro:
finite-surj[OF Times(1), of - λr. Times r «s»])
  qed (fastforce dest!: subsetD[OF toplevel-summands-lderivs-Times] intro!: im-
ageI)
next
  case (Star r)
  let ?f = λf r'. Times r' (Star (f r))
  let ?X = {Star r} ∪ ?f id ' {r'. r' ∈ {lderivs ys r | ys. True}}
  show ?case unfolding ACI-norm-flatten
  proof (rule finite-surj[of {X. X ⊆ ACI-norm ' ?X} - flatten PLUS])
    have *: ∧X. ACI-norm ' ?f (λx. x) ' X = ?f ACI-norm ' ACI-norm ' X by
(auto simp: image-def)
    show finite {X. X ⊆ ACI-norm ' ?X}
      by (rule finite-Collect-subsets)
        (auto simp: * intro!: finite-imageI[of - ?f ACI-norm] intro: finite-subset[OF
- Star])
    qed (fastforce dest!: subsetD[OF toplevel-summands-lderivs-Star] intro!: imageI)
  next
    case (Not r) thus ?case by (auto simp: lderivs-Not) (blast intro: finite-surj)
  next
    case (Inter r s)
    show ?case by (auto simp: lderivs-Inter intro!: finite-surj[OF finite-cartesian-product[OF
Inter]])
  next
    case (Pr r)
    hence *: finite (⋃ toplevel-summands ' {«lderivs xs r» | xs . True}) by auto
    have finite (⋃ xs. toplevel-summands «lderivs xs r») by (rule finite-subset[OF
- *]) auto
    hence fin: finite {Pr «s» | s. toplevel-summands s ⊆ (⋃ xs. toplevel-summands
«lderivs xs r»)}
      by (intro finite-ACI-norm-toplevel-summands)
    have {s. ∃ xs. s = «lderivs xs (Pr r)»} = {«s» | s. ∃ xs. s = lderivs xs (Pr r)}
by auto
    thus ?case using finite-subset[OF ACI-norm-lderivs-Pr, of r] fin unfolding
image-Collect by auto
  qed

```

3.3 Wellformedness and language of derivatives

lemma wf-lderiv[simp]: wf n r \implies wf n (lderiv w r)
by (induct r arbitrary: n w) (auto simp add: Let-def)

lemma wf-lderivs[simp]: wf n r \implies wf n (lderivs ws r)
by (induct ws arbitrary: r) (auto intro: wf-lderiv)

lemma *lQuot-map-project*:
assumes $as \in \Sigma \ n \ A \subseteq \text{lists } (\Sigma \ (Suc \ n))$
shows $lQuot \ as \ (\text{map project } 'A) = \text{map project } '(\bigcup a \in \text{set } (embed \ as). \ lQuot \ a \ A)$ **(is ?L = ?R)**
proof (*intro equalityI image-subsetI subsetI*)
 fix xss **assume** $xss \in ?L$
 with *assms* **obtain** zss
 where $zss: zss \in A \ as \ \# \ xss = \text{map project } zss$
 unfolding *lQuot-def* **by** *fastforce*
 hence $xss = \text{map project } (tl \ zss)$ **by** *auto*
 with $zss \ assms(2)$ **show** $xss \in ?R$ **using** *embed[OF project, of - n]* **unfolding**
lQuot-def **by** *fastforce*
next
 fix xss **assume** $xss \in (\bigcup a \in \text{set } (embed \ as). \ lQuot \ a \ A)$
 with *assms(1)* **show** $\text{map project } xss \in lQuot \ as \ (\text{map project } 'A)$ **unfolding**
lQuot-def
 by (*fastforce intro!: rev-image-eqI simp: embed*)
qed

lemma *lang-lderiv*: $\llbracket wf \ n \ r; \ w \in \Sigma \ n \rrbracket \implies \text{lang } n \ (lderiv \ w \ r) = lQuot \ w \ (\text{lang } n \ r)$
proof (*induct r arbitrary: n w*)
 case (*Pr r*)
 hence $*$: $wf \ (Suc \ n) \ r \ \wedge \ w'. \ w' \in \text{set } (embed \ w) \implies w' \in \Sigma \ (Suc \ n)$ **by** (*auto simp: embed*)
 from $Pr(1)[OF \ *] \ lQuot-map-project[OF \ Pr(3) \ lang-subset-lists[OF \ *(1)]]$ **show**
 ?*case*
 by (*auto simp: wf-lderiv[OF \ *(1)]*)
qed (*auto simp: Let-def lang-final[symmetric]*)

lemma *lang-lderivs*: $\llbracket wf \ n \ r; \ wf-word \ n \ ws \rrbracket \implies \text{lang } n \ (lderivs \ ws \ r) = lQuots \ ws \ (\text{lang } n \ r)$
 by (*induct ws arbitrary: r*) (*auto simp: lang-lderiv*)

corollary *lderivs-final*:
assumes $wf \ n \ r \ wf-word \ n \ ws$
shows *final* $(lderivs \ ws \ r) \longleftrightarrow ws \in \text{lang } n \ r$
 using *lang-lderivs[OF assms] lang-final[of lderivs ws r n]* **by** *auto*

abbreviation *lderivs-set* $n \ r \ s \equiv \{(\ll lderivs \ w \ r \gg, \ll lderivs \ w \ s \gg) \mid w. \ wf-word \ n \ w\}$

3.4 Deriving preserves ACI-equivalence

lemma *ACI-PLUS*:
 $\text{list-all2 } (\lambda r \ s. \ \ll r \gg = \ll s \gg) \ xs \ ys \implies \ll PLUS \ xs \gg = \ll PLUS \ ys \gg$
proof (*induct rule: list-all2-induct*)
 case (*Cons x xs y ys*)

hence $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$ **by** (*elim list-all2-lengthD*)
 thus ?case **using** *Cons* **by** (*induct xs ys rule: list-induct2*) *auto*
qed simp

lemma *toplevel-summands-ACI-norm-lderiv*:
 $(\bigcup a \in \text{toplevel-summands } r. \text{toplevel-summands } \ll \text{lderiv as } \langle a \rangle \gg) = \text{toplevel-summands } \ll \text{lderiv as } \langle r \rangle \gg$
proof (*induct r*)
 case (*Plus r1 r2*) **thus** ?case
 unfolding *toplevel-summands.simps toplevel-summands-ACI-norm*
toplevel-summands-lderiv[*of as* $\langle \text{Plus } r1 \ r2 \rangle$] *SUP-def image-Un Union-Un-distrib*
by (*simp add: image-UN*)
qed (*auto simp: Let-def*)

theorem *ACI-norm-lderiv*:
 $\ll \text{lderiv as } \langle r \rangle \gg = \ll \text{lderiv as } r \gg$
proof (*induct r arbitrary: as*)
 case (*Plus r1 r2*) **thus** ?case
 unfolding *lderiv.simps ACI-norm-flatten*[*of lderiv as* $\langle \text{Plus } r1 \ r2 \rangle$]
toplevel-summands-lderiv[*of as* $\langle \text{Plus } r1 \ r2 \rangle$] *image-Un image-UN*
by (*auto simp: toplevel-summands-ACI-norm toplevel-summands-flatten-ACI-norm-image-Union*)
(auto simp: toplevel-summands-ACI-norm[symmetric] toplevel-summands-ACI-norm-lderiv)
next
 case (*Pr r*)
 hence *list-all2* ($\lambda r \ s. \langle r \rangle = \langle s \rangle$)
 (*map* ($\lambda a. \text{local.lderiv } a \ \langle r \rangle$) (*embed as*)) (*map* ($\lambda a. \text{local.lderiv } a \ r$) (*embed as*))
 unfolding *list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2* **by** (*blast intro: list-all2-refl*)
 thus ?case **unfolding** *lderiv.simps ACI-norm.simps* **by** (*blast intro: ACI-PLUS*)
qed (*simp-all add: Let-def*)

corollary *lderiv-preserves*: $\langle r \rangle = \langle s \rangle \implies \ll \text{lderiv as } r \gg = \ll \text{lderiv as } s \gg$
by (*rule box-equals[OF - ACI-norm-lderiv ACI-norm-lderiv]*) (*erule arg-cong*)

lemma *lderivs-snoc[simp]*: $\text{lderivs } (ws \ @ \ [w]) \ r = (\text{lderiv } w \ (\text{lderivs } ws \ r))$
by (*induct ws arbitrary: r*) *auto*

theorem *ACI-norm-lderivs*:
 $\ll \text{lderivs } ws \ \langle r \rangle \gg = \ll \text{lderivs } ws \ r \gg$
proof (*induct ws arbitrary: r rule: rev-induct*)
 case (*snoc w ws*) **thus** ?case
 using *ACI-norm-lderiv*[*of w lderivs ws r*] *ACI-norm-lderiv*[*of w lderivs ws* $\langle r \rangle$]
by *auto*
qed simp

end

end

4 Deciding Equivalence of Π -Extended Regular Expressions

4.1 Bisimulation between languages and regular expressions

type-synonym 'a rexp-pair = 'a list list * ('a list rexp * 'a list rexp)
type-synonym 'a rexp-pairs = 'a rexp-pair list

context alphabet
begin

context
fixes n :: nat
begin

coinductive bisimilar :: 'a lang \Rightarrow 'a lang \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $K \subseteq \text{lists } (\Sigma \ n) \Longrightarrow L \subseteq \text{lists } (\Sigma \ n)$
 $\Longrightarrow (\[] \in K \longleftrightarrow \[] \in L)$
 $\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x. x \in \Sigma \ n \Longrightarrow \text{bisimilar } (\text{lQuot } x \ K) (\text{lQuot } x \ L))$
 $\Longrightarrow \text{bisimilar } K \ L$

lemma equal-if-bisimilar:

assumes $K \subseteq \text{lists } (\Sigma \ n)$ $L \subseteq \text{lists } (\Sigma \ n)$ $\text{bisimilar } K \ L$ **shows** $K = L$
proof (rule set-eqI)

fix w
from assms **show** $w \in K \longleftrightarrow w \in L$
proof (induction w arbitrary: K L)
case Nil **thus** ?case **by** (auto elim: bisimilar.cases)
next
case (Cons a w K L)
show ?case
proof cases
assume $a \in \Sigma \ n$
with $\langle \text{bisimilar } K \ L \rangle$ **have** $\text{bisimilar } (\text{lQuot } a \ K) (\text{lQuot } a \ L)$
by (auto elim!: bisimilar.cases)
then have $w \in \text{lQuot } a \ K \longleftrightarrow w \in \text{lQuot } a \ L$
by (intro Cons.IH) (auto elim!: bisimilar.cases)
thus ?case **by** (auto simp: lQuot-def)
next
assume $a \notin \Sigma \ n$
thus ?case **using** Cons.prem by fastforce
qed
qed
qed

lemma language-coinduct:
fixes R (infixl \sim 50)

```

assumes  $\bigwedge K L. K \sim L \implies K \subseteq \text{lists } (\Sigma n) \wedge L \subseteq \text{lists } (\Sigma n)$ 
assumes  $K \sim L$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge K L. K \sim L \implies (\square \in K \longleftrightarrow \square \in L)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge K L x. K \sim L \implies x : \Sigma n \implies \text{lQuot } x K \sim \text{lQuot } x L$ 
shows  $K = L$ 
apply (rule equal-if-bisimilar)
apply (rule conjunct1[OF assms(1)[OF assms(2)]])
apply (rule conjunct2[OF assms(1)[OF assms(2)]])
apply (rule bisimilar.coinduct[of R, OF  $\langle K \sim L \rangle$ ])
apply (auto simp: assms)
done

end

end

context embed
begin

definition is-bisimulation where
  is-bisimulation  $n X =$ 
     $(\forall (r,s) \in X. \text{wf } n r \wedge \text{wf } n s \wedge (\text{final } r \longleftrightarrow \text{final } s) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall a \in \Sigma n. (\llbracket \text{deriv } a r \rrbracket, \llbracket \text{deriv } a s \rrbracket) \in X))$ 

lemma bisim-lang-eq:
fixes  $r s :: 'a \text{ rexp}$ 
assumes bisim: is-bisimulation  $n X$ 
assumes  $(r, s) \in X$ 
shows  $\text{lang } n r = \text{lang } n s$ 
proof –
  let  $?R = \lambda K L. (\exists (r,s) \in X. K = \text{lang } n r \wedge L = \text{lang } n s)$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule language-coinduct[where  $R = ?R$ ])
    from  $\langle (r, s) \in X \rangle$  bisim show  $?R (\text{lang } n r) (\text{lang } n s)$ 
    by (auto split: prod.splits simp: is-bisimulation-def)
  next
    fix  $K L$  assume  $?R K L$ 
    then obtain  $r s$  where  $rs: (r, s) \in X$ 
    and  $KL: K = \text{lang } n r \wedge L = \text{lang } n s$  by auto
    with bisim have  $\text{final } r \longleftrightarrow \text{final } s$  and  $\text{wfr}: \text{wf } n r$  and  $\text{wfs}: \text{wf } n s$ 
    by (auto simp: is-bisimulation-def)
    thus  $\square \in K \longleftrightarrow \square \in L$ 
    by (auto simp: lang-final[of  $r n$ ] lang-final[of  $s n$ ] KL)

next case, but shared context
  from bisim  $rs KL$  lang-subset-lists
  show  $K \subseteq \text{lists } (\Sigma n) \wedge L \subseteq \text{lists } (\Sigma n)$ 
  unfolding is-bisimulation-def by fastforce

next case, but shared context

```

```

fix a assume *: a ∈ Σ n
with rs bisim have witness: (⟨⟨lderiv a r⟩⟩, ⟨⟨lderiv a s⟩⟩) ∈ X
  by (fastforce simp: is-bisimulation-def)
show ?R (lQuot a K) (lQuot a L)
  using KL ACI-norm-lang lang-lderiv[OF wfr *] lang-lderiv[OF wfs *]
  by (blast intro!: bexI[OF - witness])
qed
qed

lemma lderivs-lang-eq:
fixes r s :: 'a rexp
assumes wf n r wf n s
shows (∀ (r, s) ∈ lderivs-set n ⟨⟨r⟩⟩ ⟨⟨s⟩⟩. final r = final s) = (lang n r = lang n s) (is ?L = ?R)
proof
  assume ?L
  hence ∀ (r, s) ∈ lderivs-set n ⟨⟨r⟩⟩ ⟨⟨s⟩⟩. wf n r ∧ wf n s ∧ (final r ⟷ final s)
    using assms by (auto simp add: ACI-norm-lderiv)
  moreover
  { fix r' s' w assume (r', s') ∈ lderivs-set n r s and *: w ∈ Σ n
    then obtain ws where ws: wf-word n ws r' = ⟨⟨lderiv ws r⟩⟩ s' = ⟨⟨lderiv
```

ws s⟩ **by** auto

```

    with * have (⟨⟨lderiv w r'⟩⟩, ⟨⟨lderiv w s'⟩⟩) = (⟨⟨lderiv (ws @ [w]) r⟩⟩, ⟨⟨lderiv
```

(ws @ [w]) s⟩)

```

    by (auto simp: ACI-norm-lderiv)
    hence (⟨⟨lderiv w r'⟩⟩, ⟨⟨lderiv w s'⟩⟩) ∈ lderivs-set n r s
    using * ws(1) by (auto intro!: imageI exI[of - ws @ [w]])
  }
  ultimately have is-bisimulation n (lderivs-set n ⟨⟨r⟩⟩ ⟨⟨s⟩⟩)
    unfolding is-bisimulation-def by (auto simp: ACI-norm-lderiv)
  hence lang n ⟨⟨r⟩⟩ = lang n ⟨⟨s⟩⟩ by (intro bisim-lang-eq) (auto intro: exI[of -
    []])
  thus ?R by (rule box-equals[OF - ACI-norm-lang ACI-norm-lang])
next
  assume ?R thus ?L using assms lang-lderiv lang-final by (auto simp: ACI-norm-lderiv)
metis +
qed

end

```

4.2 Different normalization function

```

locale normalizer = embed Σ project embed
  for Σ :: nat ⇒ 'a :: linorder set
  and project :: 'a ⇒ 'a
  and embed :: 'a ⇒ 'a list +
fixes norm :: 'a :: linorder rexp ⇒ 'c
and nlang :: nat ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'a list set
assumes lang-norm: wf n r ⇒ nlang n (norm r) = lang n r

```

begin

abbreviation $nfinal\ n\ r \equiv (\square \in nlang\ n\ r)$

lemma $nfinal\text{-}final$: $wf\ n\ r \implies nfinal\ n\ (norm\ r) = final\ r$
using $lang\text{-}final\ lang\text{-}norm$ **by** $blast$

definition $norms \equiv (\%(r,s). (norm\ r, norm\ s))$

lemma $finite\text{-}norm$: $finite\ \{norm\ \langle lderivs\ xs\ r \rangle \mid xs.\ True\}$
by ($rule\ finite\text{-}surj[OF\ finite\text{-}ldervs,\ of\text{-}norm]$) $auto$

lemma $finite\text{-}norm\text{-}ldervs$: $finite\ (norms\ \text{'}\ (ldervs\text{-}set\ n\ r\ s))$
by ($intro\ finite\text{-}subset[OF\text{-}finite\text{-}cartesian\text{-}product[OF\ finite\text{-}norm\ finite\text{-}norm]]$)
 $(auto\ simp: norms\text{-}def)$

definition $is\text{-}nbisimulation$ **where**

$is\text{-}nbisimulation\ n\ X =$
 $(\forall (r,s) \in X. wf\ n\ r \wedge wf\ n\ s \wedge (final\ r \longleftrightarrow final\ s) \wedge$
 $(\forall a \in \Sigma\ n. (norm\ \langle lderiv\ a\ r \rangle, norm\ \langle lderiv\ a\ s \rangle) \in norms\ \text{'}\ X))$

lemma $nbisim\text{-}lang\text{-}eq$:

fixes $r\ s :: 'a\ rexp$

assumes $nbisim$: $is\text{-}nbisimulation\ n\ X$

assumes $(r, s) \in X$

shows $lang\ n\ r = lang\ n\ s$

proof –

let $?R = \lambda K\ L. (\exists (r,s) \in norms\ \text{'}\ X. K = nlang\ n\ r \wedge L = nlang\ n\ s)$

show $?thesis$

proof ($rule\ language\text{-}coinduct[\textbf{where}\ R=?R]$)

from $\langle (r, s) \in X \rangle nbisim$ **show** $?R\ (lang\ n\ r)\ (lang\ n\ s)$

by ($auto\ split: prod.splits\ simp: lang\text{-}norm\ norms\text{-}def\ is\text{-}nbisimulation\text{-}def$)

next

fix $K\ L$ **assume** $?R\ K\ L$

then obtain $r\ s$ **where** rs : $(r, s) \in X$

and KL : $K = nlang\ n\ (norm\ r)\ L = nlang\ n\ (norm\ s)$ **by** ($auto\ simp: norms\text{-}def$)

with $nbisim$ **have** $final\ r \longleftrightarrow final\ s$ **and** wfr : $wf\ n\ r$ **and** wfs : $wf\ n\ s$

by ($auto\ simp: is\text{-}nbisimulation\text{-}def$)

thus $\square \in K \longleftrightarrow \square \in L$

by ($auto\ simp: lang\text{-}norm[OF\ wfr]\ lang\text{-}norm[OF\ wfs]\ lang\text{-}final[of\ r\ n]\ lang\text{-}final[of\ s\ n]\ KL$)

next case, but shared context

from $nbisim\ rs\ KL\ lang\text{-}subset\text{-}lists$

show $K \subseteq lists\ (\Sigma\ n) \wedge L \subseteq lists\ (\Sigma\ n)$

unfolding $is\text{-}nbisimulation\text{-}def\ lang\text{-}norm[OF\ wfr]\ lang\text{-}norm[OF\ wfs]$ **by** $fastforce$

next case, but shared context

```

fix a assume *: a ∈ Σ n
with rs nbisim have witness: (norm <ldderiv a r>, norm <ldderiv a s>) ∈ norms
‘ X
  by (fastforce simp: is-nbisimulation-def)
  show ?R (lQuot a K) (lQuot a L)
  using KL[unfolded lang-norm[OF wfr] lang-norm[OF wfs]]
    trans[OF lang-norm[OF iffD2[OF ACI-norm-wf, OF wf-ldderiv[OF wfr]]]
ACI-norm-lang]
    trans[OF lang-norm[OF iffD2[OF ACI-norm-wf, OF wf-ldderiv[OF wfs]]]
ACI-norm-lang]
    lang-ldderiv[OF wfr *] lang-ldderiv[OF wfs *]
  by (blast intro!: beXI[OF - witness])
qed
qed

```

```

lemma norm-ldderivs-lang-eq:
fixes r s :: 'a rexp
assumes wf n r wf n s
shows (∀ (r, s) ∈ norms ‘ lderivs-set n <r> <s>. nfinal n r = nfinal n s) = (lang
n r = lang n s)
  by (rule trans[OF - lderivs-lang-eq[OF assms]]) (fastforce simp: norms-def assms
nfinal-final)

end

```

Closure computation

```

primrec remdups' where
  remdups' f [] = []
| remdups' f (x # xs) =
  (case List.find (λy. f x = f y) xs of None ⇒ x # remdups' f xs | - ⇒ remdups'
f xs)

```

```

lemma map-remdups'[simp]: map f (remdups' f xs) = remdups (map f xs)
by (induct xs) (auto split: option.splits simp add: find-Some-iff find-None-iff)

```

```

lemma remdups'-map[simp]: remdups' f (map g xs) = map g (remdups' (f o g)
xs)
by (induct xs) (auto split: option.splits simp add: find-None-iff,
auto simp: find-Some-iff elim: imageI[OF nth-mem])

```

```

lemma map-apfst-remdups':
  map (f o fst) (remdups' snd xs) = map fst (remdups' snd (map (apfst f) xs))
by (auto simp: comp-def)

```

```

lemma set-remdups'[simp]: f ‘ set (remdups' f xs) = f ‘ set xs
by (induct xs) (auto split: option.splits simp add: find-Some-iff)

```

```

lemma subset-remdups': set (remdups' f xs) ⊆ set xs
by (induct xs) (auto split: option.splits)

```

```

lemma find-append[simp]:
  List.find P (xs @ ys) = None = (List.find P xs = None ∧ List.find P ys =
  None)
  by (induct xs) auto

lemma subset-remdups'-append: set (remdups' f (xs @ ys)) ⊆ set (remdups' f xs)
  ∪ set (remdups' f ys)
  by (induct xs arbitrary: ys) (auto split: option.splits)

lemmas mp-remdups' = set-mp[OF subset-remdups']
lemmas mp-remdups'-append = set-mp[OF subset-remdups'-append]

lemma inj-on-set-remdups'[simp]: inj-on f (set (remdups' f xs))
  by (induct xs) (auto split: option.splits simp add: find-None-iff dest!: mp-remdups')

lemma distinct-remdups'[simp]: distinct (map f (remdups' f xs))
  by (induct xs) (auto split: option.splits simp: find-None-iff)

lemma distinct-remdups'-strong: (∀ x ∈ set xs. ∀ y ∈ set xs. g x = g y ⟶ f x = f
  y) ⟹
  distinct (map g (remdups' f xs))
proof (induct xs)
  case (Cons x xs) thus ?case
  by (auto split: option.splits) (fastforce simp: find-None-iff dest!: mp-remdups')
qed simp

lemma set-remdups'-strong: (∀ x ∈ set xs. ∀ y ∈ set xs. g x = g y ⟶ f x = f y) ⟹
  f ` set (remdups' g xs) = f ` set xs
proof (induct xs)
  case (Cons x xs) thus ?case
  by (clarsimp split: option.splits simp add: find-Some-iff)
  (intro insert-absorb[symmetric] image-eqI[OF - nth-mem, of - f xs], auto)
qed simp

fun test where test (ws, -, -) = (case ws of [] ⇒ False | (w,p,q)#- ⇒ final p =
  final q)
fun test' where test' (ws, -) = (case ws of [] ⇒ False | (p,q)#- ⇒ final p = final
  q)

locale equivalence-checker =
fixes σ :: nat ⇒ 'a :: linorder list
and π :: 'a ⇒ 'a
and ε :: 'a ⇒ 'a list
and norm :: 'a rexp ⇒ 'c
and nlang :: nat ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'a list set
assumes norm: normalizer (set ∘ σ) π ε norm nlang

sublocale equivalence-checker ⊆ normalizer set ∘ σ π ε

```

```

by (rule norm)

context equivalence-checker
begin

fun step where step n (ws, ps, N) =
  (let
    (w, r, s) = hd ws;
    ps' = (r, s) # ps;
    succs = map (λa.
      let
        r' = «lderiv a r»;
        s' = «lderiv a s»;
        in ((a # w, r', s'), (norm r', norm s'))) (σ n);
    new = remdups' snd (filter (λ(-, rs). rs ∉ N) succs);
    ws' = tl ws @ map fst new;
    N' = set (map snd new) ∪ N
  in (ws', ps', N'))

fun step' where step' n (ws, N) =
  (let
    (r, s) = hd ws;
    succs = map (λa.
      let
        r' = «lderiv a r»;
        s' = «lderiv a s»;
        in ((r', s'), (norm r', norm s'))) (σ n);
    new = remdups' snd (filter (λ(-, rs). rs ∉ N) succs)
  in (tl ws @ map fst new, set (map snd new) ∪ N))

lemma step-unfold: step n (w # ws, ps, N) = (ws', ps', N') ⇒ (∃ xs r s.
  w = (xs, r, s) ∧ ps' = (r, s) # ps ∧
  ws' = ws @ remdups' (norms o snd) (filter (λ(-, p). norms p ∉ N)
    (map (λa. (a # xs, «lderiv a r», «lderiv a s»)) (σ n))) ∧
  N' = set (map (λa. (norm «lderiv a r», norm «lderiv a s»)) (σ n)) ∪ N)
by (auto split: prod.splits dest!: mp-remdups'
simp: Let-def norms-def filter-map set-n-lists image-Collect image-image comp-def)

definition closure where closure n = while-option test (step n)
definition closure' where closure' n = while-option test' (step' n)

definition pre-bisim where
  pre-bisim n r s = (λ(ws, ps, N).
    («r», «s») ∈ snd ' set ws ∪ set ps ∧
    distinct (map snd ws @ ps) ∧
    bij-betw norms (set (map snd ws @ ps)) N ∧
    (∀ (w, r', s') ∈ set ws. «lderivs (rev w) r» = r' ∧ «lderivs (rev w) s» = s' ∧
      wf-word n (rev w) ∧ wf n r' ∧ wf n s') ∧
    (∀ (r', s') ∈ set ps. (∃ w. «lderivs w r» = r' ∧ «lderivs w s» = s') ∧

```


$$wf\ n\ r' \wedge wf\ n\ s' \wedge (final\ r' \longleftrightarrow final\ s') \wedge \\ (\forall a \in set\ (\sigma\ n). (norm\ \ll lderiv\ a\ r'\gg, norm\ \ll lderiv\ a\ s'\gg) \in N)))$$

lemma *pre-bisim-start*:

$\llbracket wf\ n\ r; wf\ n\ s \rrbracket \implies pre-bisim\ n\ r\ s\ ([([], \ll r\gg, \ll s\gg)], [], \{(norm\ \ll r\gg, norm\ \ll s\gg)\})$
by (*auto simp add: pre-bisim-def bij-betw-def norms-def*)

lemma *step-mono*:

assumes *step n (ws, ps, N) = (ws', ps', N')*
shows *snd 'set ws \cup set ps \subseteq snd 'set ws' \cup set ps'*
using *assms* **proof** (*intro subsetI, elim UnE*)
fix *x* **assume** *x \in snd 'set ws*
with *assms* **show** *x \in snd 'set ws' \cup set ps'*
proof (*cases x = snd (hd ws)*)
case *False* **with** *(x \in image snd (set ws))* **have** *x \in snd 'set (tl ws)* **by** (*cases ws*) *auto*
with *assms* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto split: prod.splits simp: Let-def*)
qed (*auto split: prod.splits simp: Let-def*)
qed (*auto split: prod.splits simp: Let-def*)

lemma *pre-bisim-step*: *pre-bisim n r s st \implies test st \implies pre-bisim n r s (step n st)*

proof (*unfold pre-bisim-def, (split prod.splits)+, elim prod-caseE conjE, clarify, intro allI impI conjI*)
fix *ws ps N ws' ps' N'*
assume *test: test (ws, ps, N)*
and *step: step n (ws, ps, N) = (ws', ps', N')*
and *rs: ($\ll r\gg, \ll s\gg$) \in snd 'set ws \cup set ps*
and *distinct: distinct (map snd ws @ ps)*
and *bij: bij-betw norms (set (map snd ws @ ps)) N*
and *ws: $\forall (w, r', s') \in set\ ws. \ll lderivs\ (rev\ w)\ r\gg = r' \wedge \ll lderivs\ (rev\ w)\ s\gg = s' \wedge$*
wf-word n (rev w) \wedge wf n r' \wedge wf n s'
(is $\forall (w, r', s') \in set\ ws. ?ws\ w\ r'\ s'$)
and *ps: $\forall (r', s') \in set\ ps. (\exists w. \ll lderivs\ w\ r\gg = r' \wedge \ll lderivs\ w\ s\gg = s') \wedge$*
wf n r' \wedge wf n s' \wedge (final r' \longleftrightarrow final s') \wedge
($\forall a \in set\ (\sigma\ n). (norm\ \ll lderiv\ a\ r'\gg, norm\ \ll lderiv\ a\ s'\gg) \in N$)
(is $\forall (r, s) \in set\ ps. ?ps\ r\ s\ N$)
from *test* **obtain** *x xs* **where** *ws-Cons: ws = x # xs* **by** (*cases ws*) *auto*
obtain *w r' s'* **where** *x: x = (w, r', s')* **and** *ps': ps' = (r', s') # ps*
and *ws': ws' = xs @ remdups' (norms o snd) (filter ($\lambda(-, p). norms\ p \notin N$)*
(map ($\lambda a. (a \# w, \ll lderiv\ a\ r'\gg, \ll lderiv\ a\ s'\gg$)) ($\sigma\ n$)))
and *N': N' = (set (map ($\lambda a. (norm\ \ll lderiv\ a\ r'\gg, norm\ \ll lderiv\ a\ s'\gg$)) (σ*
n)) - N) \cup N
using *step-unfold[OF step[unfolded ws-Cons]]* **by** *blast*
hence *ws'ps': set (map snd ws' @ ps') = set (remdups' norms (filter ($\lambda p. norms\ p \notin N$)*
p \notin N)
(map ($\lambda a. (\ll lderiv\ a\ r'\gg, \ll lderiv\ a\ s'\gg$)) ($\sigma\ n$)))) \cup (set (map snd ws @ ps))

unfolding $ws' ps' ws\text{-}Cons\ x$ **by** (*auto dest!:* *mp-remdups' simp: filter-map image-image image-Un o-def*)

from $rs\ step$ **show** $(\ll r \gg, \ll s \gg) \in snd\ 'set\ ws' \cup set\ ps'$ **by** (*blast dest: step-mono*)

from $distinct\ ps' ws' ws\text{-}Cons\ x\ bij$ **show** $distinct\ (map\ snd\ ws' @ ps')$

by (*auto simp: bij-betw-def*

intro!: *imageI[of - - norms] distinct-remdups'-strong*

dest!: *mp-remdups'*

elim: *image-eqI[of - snd, OF sym[OF snd-conv]]*)

from $ps' ws' N' ws\ x\ bij$ **show** $bij\text{-}betw\ norms\ (set\ (map\ snd\ ws' @ ps'))\ N'$

unfolding $ws' ps' N'$ **by** (*intro bij-betw-combine[OF - bij]*) (*auto simp: bij-betw-def norms-def*)

from $ws\ x\ ws\text{-}Cons$ **have** $wr's':\ ?ws\ w\ r'\ s'$ **by** *auto*

with $ws\ ws\text{-}Cons$ **show** $\forall (w, r', s') \in set\ ws'.\ ?ws\ w\ r'\ s'$ **unfolding** ws'

by (*auto dest!:* *mp-remdups' simp: ACI-norm-lderiv elim!:* *set-mp*)

from $ps\ wr's'\ test[unfolded\ ws\text{-}Cons\ x]$ **show** $\forall (r', s') \in set\ ps'.\ ?ps\ r'\ s'\ N'$

unfolding $ps'\ N'$

by (*fastforce simp: image-Collect*)

qed

lemma *step-commute:* $ws \neq [] \implies (case\ step\ n\ (ws, ps, N)\ of\ (ws', ps', N') \Rightarrow (map\ snd\ ws', N')) = step'\ n\ (map\ snd\ ws, N)$

apply (*auto split: prod.splits*)

apply (*auto simp only: step.simps step'.simps Let-def map-apfst-remdups' filter-map List.map.compositionality[unfolded comp-def] apfst-def map-pair-def snd-conv id-def*)

apply (*auto simp: filter-map comp-def map-tl hd-map*)

apply (*intro image-eqI, auto*)**+**

done

lemma *closure-closure':*

Option.map $(\lambda(ws, ps, N). (map\ snd\ ws, N))\ (closure\ n\ (ws, ps, N)) =$

closure' n $(map\ snd\ ws, N)$

unfolding *closure-def closure'-def*

by (*rule trans[OF while-option-commute[of - test' - - step' n]]*)

(*auto split: list.splits simp del: step.simps step'.simps List.map.simps simp: step-commute*)

theorem *closure-sound:*

assumes *result:* $closure\ n\ ([[], \ll r \gg, \ll s \gg], [], \{(norm\ \ll r \gg, norm\ \ll s \gg)\}) = Some([], ps, N)$

and *wf:* $wf\ n\ r\ wf\ n\ s$

shows $lang\ n\ r = lang\ n\ s$

proof –

from *pre-bisim-step pre-bisim-start[OF wf]* **have** *pre-bisim-ps:* *pre-bisim n r s* $([], ps, N)$

by (*rule while-option-rule[OF - result[unfolded closure-def]]*)

then have *is-nbisimulation* *n* (*set ps*) ($\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle s \rangle\rangle) \in \text{set } ps$
by (*auto simp: bij-betw-def pre-bisim-def is-nbisimulation-def in-lists-conv-set norms-def*)
hence *lang* *n* $\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle = \text{lang } n \langle\langle s \rangle\rangle$
by (*intro nbisim-lang-eq image-eqI*) *auto*
thus *lang* *n* *r* = *lang* *n* *s* **unfolding** *ACI-norm-lang* .
qed

theorem *closure'-sound*:
assumes *result: closure' n* ($[(\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle s \rangle\rangle)], \{(norm \langle\langle r \rangle\rangle, norm \langle\langle s \rangle\rangle)\}$) = *Some*($[], N$)
and *wf: wf n r wf n s*
shows *lang* *n* *r* = *lang* *n* *s*
using *wf trans[OF closure-closure'[of n [($[], \langle\langle r \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle s \rangle\rangle$)] [] {(norm $\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle, norm \langle\langle s \rangle\rangle$)}*], *simplified*]
result, unfolded option-map-eq-Some
by (*auto dest: closure-sound*)

theorem *closure-termination*:
assumes *wf: wf n r wf n s*
and *cl: closure n* ($[(\langle\langle r \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle s \rangle\rangle)], [], \{(norm \langle\langle r \rangle\rangle, norm \langle\langle s \rangle\rangle)\}$) = *None* (**is** *?cl* = *None*)
shows *False*
proof –
let *?D* = $\{norm \langle\langle lderivs \text{ } xs \text{ } r \rangle\rangle \mid xs . True\} \times \{norm \langle\langle lderivs \text{ } xs \text{ } s \rangle\rangle \mid xs . True\}$
let *?X* = $\lambda ps. ?D - norms \text{ ' } set \text{ } ps$
let *?f* = $\lambda(ws, ps, N). card \text{ } (?X \text{ } ps)$
have $\exists st. ?cl = Some \text{ } st$ **unfolding** *closure-def*
proof (*rule measure-while-option-Some[of pre-bisim n r s - - ?f], intro conjI*)
fix *st* **assume** *pre-bisim: pre-bisim n r s st* **and** *test st*
hence *pre-bisim-step: pre-bisim n r s (step n st)* **by** (*rule pre-bisim-step*)
obtain *ws ps N* **where** *st: st = (ws, ps, N)* **by** (*cases st*) *blast*
hence *finite* (*?X ps*) **by** (*blast intro: finite-cartesian-product finite-norm*)
moreover **obtain** *ws' ps' N'* **where** *step: step n (ws, ps, N) = (ws', ps', N')*
by (*cases step n (ws, ps, N)*) *blast*
moreover
{ **have** *norms ' set ps* $\subseteq ?D$ **using** *pre-bisim[unfolded st pre-bisim-def]*
by (*auto simp: norms-def ACI-norm-lderivs*)
moreover
have *norms ' set ps'* $\subseteq ?D$ **using** *pre-bisim-step[unfolded st step pre-bisim-def]*
by (*auto simp: norms-def ACI-norm-lderivs*)
moreover
{ **have** *distinct* (*map snd ws @ ps*) *inj-on norms* (*set (map snd ws @ ps)*)
using *pre-bisim[unfolded st pre-bisim-def]* **by** (*auto simp: bij-betw-def*)
hence *distinct* (*map norms (map snd ws @ ps)*) **unfolding** *distinct-map ..*
hence *norms ' set ps* $\subset norms \text{ ' } set \text{ } (snd \text{ } (hd \text{ } ws) \# ps)$ **using** (*test st*) *st*
by (*cases ws*) *auto*
moreover **have** *norms ' set ps'* = *norms ' set (snd (hd ws) # ps)*

```

      using step by (auto split: prod.splits)
      ultimately have norms ' set ps  $\subset$  norms ' set ps' by simp
    }
    ultimately have ?X ps'  $\subset$  ?X ps by (auto simp add: image-set simp del:
set-map)
  }
  ultimately show ?f (step n st) < ?f st unfolding st step
    using psubset-card-mono[of ?X ps ?X ps'] by simp
  qed (auto simp add: pre-bisim-start[OF wf] pre-bisim-step)
  thus False using cl by auto
qed

```

theorem *closure'-termination*:

assumes wf: wf n r wf n s

and cl: closure' n ([(\llbracket r \gg], \llbracket s \gg]), {(norm \llbracket r \gg , norm \llbracket s \gg)}) = None

shows False

using wf trans[OF closure-closure'[of n ([\llbracket , \llbracket r \gg , \llbracket s \gg]) \sqcup {(norm \llbracket r \gg , norm \llbracket s \gg)}], simplified]

cl, unfolded option-map-is-None]

by (auto intro: closure-termination)

theorem *closure-complete*:

assumes eq: lang n r = lang n s

and wf: wf n r wf n s

shows \exists ps N. closure n ([(\llbracket , \llbracket r \gg , \llbracket s \gg]), \llbracket , {(norm \llbracket r \gg , norm \llbracket s \gg)}) = Some(\llbracket , ps, N)

(is \exists - -. ?cl = -)

proof (cases ?cl)

case (Some st)

moreover obtain ws ps N **where** ws-ps-N: st = (ws, ps, N) **by** (cases st) blast

ultimately show ?thesis

proof (cases ws)

case (Cons wrs ws)

then obtain w r' s' **where** wrs: wrs = (w, r', s') **by** (cases wrs) blast

with ws-ps-N Cons **have** final r' \neq final s'

using while-option-stop2[OF Some[unfolded closure-def]] by simp

moreover

from pre-bisim-step pre-bisim-start[OF wf] **have** pre-bisim-ps: pre-bisim n r s

st

by (rule while-option-rule[OF - Some[unfolded closure-def]])

hence \llbracket lderivs (rev w) r \gg = r' \llbracket lderivs (rev w) s \gg = s' wf-word n (rev w)

unfolding ws-ps-N Cons wrs pre-bisim-def ACI-norm-ldervs **by** auto

ultimately show ?thesis using eq wf lderivs-final **by** auto

qed blast

qed (auto intro: closure-termination[OF wf])

theorem *closure'-complete*:

assumes eq: lang n r = lang n s

and wf: wf n r wf n s

shows $\exists N. \text{closure}' n ([\langle r \rangle, \langle s \rangle], \{(norm \langle r \rangle, norm \langle s \rangle)\}) = Some([], N)$
using *assms closure-closure'* [of n $[\langle [], \langle r \rangle, \langle s \rangle] [] \{(norm \langle r \rangle, norm \langle s \rangle)\},$
symmetric]
by (*auto dest!*: *closure-complete*)

The overall procedure

definition *check-equiv* **where**

check-equiv $n r s \longleftrightarrow wf\ n\ r \wedge wf\ n\ s \wedge$
 $(let\ r' = \langle r \rangle; s' = \langle s \rangle\ in\ (case\ closure\ n\ ([\langle [], r', s' \rangle], [], \{(norm\ r', norm\ s'\})$
of
 $Some([], -) \Rightarrow True \mid - \Rightarrow False))$

definition *check-equiv-counterexample* **where**

check-equiv-counterexample $n r s =$
 $(let\ r' = \langle r \rangle; s' = \langle s \rangle\ in\ (case\ closure\ n\ ([\langle [], r', s' \rangle], [], \{(norm\ r', norm\ s'\})$
of
 $Some([], -) \Rightarrow None \mid Some((w, -, -) \# -, -) \Rightarrow Some\ w))$

definition *check-equiv'* **where**

check-equiv' $n r s \longleftrightarrow wf\ n\ r \wedge wf\ n\ s \wedge$
 $(let\ r' = \langle r \rangle; s' = \langle s \rangle\ in\ (case\ closure'\ n\ ([\langle r', s' \rangle], \{(norm\ r', norm\ s'\})\})\ of$
 $Some([], -) \Rightarrow True \mid - \Rightarrow False))$

lemma *check-equiv-check-equiv'*: *check-equiv* $n r s = \text{check-equiv}'\ n r s$

unfolding *check-equiv-def check-equiv'-def Let-def*
using *closure-closure'* [of n $[\langle [], \langle r \rangle, \langle s \rangle] [] \{(norm \langle r \rangle, norm \langle s \rangle)\},$ *sym-*
metric]
by (*auto split: option.splits list.splits*)

lemma *soundness*:

assumes *check-equiv* $n r s$

shows $lang\ n\ r = lang\ n\ s$

using *closure-sound assms* **by** (*auto simp: check-equiv-def Let-def split: option.splits list.splits*)

lemma *soundness'*:

assumes *check-equiv'* $n r s$

shows $lang\ n\ r = lang\ n\ s$

using *soundness check-equiv-check-equiv' assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *completeness*:

assumes $lang\ n\ r = lang\ n\ s\ wf\ n\ r\ wf\ n\ s$

shows *check-equiv* $n r s$

using *closure-complete[OF assms]* *assms(2,3)* **by** (*auto simp: check-equiv-def*)

lemma *completeness'*:

assumes $lang\ n\ r = lang\ n\ s\ wf\ n\ r\ wf\ n\ s$

shows *check-equiv'* $n r s$

using *completeness check-equiv-check-equiv' assms* **by** *auto*

end

end

5 Normalization of Π -Extended Regular Expressions

5.1 Normalizing Constructors

lemma *not-less-Zero*[*elim!*]: $r < \text{Zero} \implies P$
by (*induct* r) (*auto simp: less-regex-def*)

fun *nPlus* :: '*a*::linorder *regex* \Rightarrow '*a* *regex* \Rightarrow '*a* *regex*
where
 nPlus *Zero* $r = r$
| *nPlus* r *Zero* $= r$
| *nPlus* (*Plus* $r1$ $r2$) (*Plus* $s1$ $s2$) =
 (if $r1 < s1$ then *Plus* $r1$ (*nPlus* $r2$ (*Plus* $s1$ $s2$))
 else if $s1 < r1$ then *Plus* $s1$ (*nPlus* (*Plus* $r1$ $r2$) $s2$)
 else *nPlus* (*Plus* $r1$ $r2$) $s2$)
| *nPlus* (*Plus* $r1$ $r2$) $s =$
 (if $s = \text{Not Zero}$ then *Not Zero*
 else if $r1 < s$ then *Plus* $r1$ (*nPlus* $r2$ s)
 else if $s < r1$ then *Plus* s (*Plus* $r1$ $r2$)
 else *Plus* $r1$ $r2$)
| *nPlus* r (*Plus* $s1$ $s2$) =
 (if $r = \text{Not Zero}$ then *Not Zero*
 else if $r < s1$ then *Plus* r (*Plus* $s1$ $s2$)
 else if $s1 < r$ then *Plus* $s1$ (*nPlus* r $s2$)
 else *Plus* $s1$ $s2$)
| *nPlus* r $s =$
 (if $r = \text{Not Zero} \vee s = \text{Not Zero}$ then *Not Zero*
 else if $r < s$ then *Plus* r s
 else if $s < r$ then *Plus* s r
 else r)

fun *nTimes* :: '*a* *regex* \Rightarrow '*a* *regex* \Rightarrow '*a* *regex*

where

nTimes *Zero* - $= \text{Zero}$
| *nTimes* - *Zero* $= \text{Zero}$
| *nTimes* *One* $r = r$
| *nTimes* r *One* $= r$
| *nTimes* (*Times* r s) $t = \text{Times } r$ (*nTimes* s t)
| *nTimes* r $s = \text{Times } r$ s

fun *nStar* :: '*a* *regex* \Rightarrow '*a* *regex*

where

```

    nStar Zero = One
| nStar One = One
| nStar (Star r) = nStar r
| nStar r = Star r

fun nInter :: 'a::linorder rexp ⇒ 'a rexp ⇒ 'a rexp
where
    nInter Zero - = Zero
| nInter - Zero = Zero
| nInter (Inter r1 r2) (Inter s1 s2) =
    (if r1 < s1 then Inter r1 (nInter r2 (Inter s1 s2))
     else if s1 < r1 then Inter s1 (nInter (Inter r1 r2) s2)
     else nInter (Inter r1 r2) s2)
| nInter (Inter r1 r2) s =
    (if s = Not Zero then Inter r1 r2
     else if r1 < s then Inter r1 (nInter r2 s)
     else if s < r1 then Inter s (Inter r1 r2)
     else Inter r1 r2)
| nInter r (Inter s1 s2) =
    (if r = Not Zero then Inter s1 s2
     else if r < s1 then Inter r (Inter s1 s2)
     else if s1 < r then Inter s1 (nInter r s2)
     else Inter s1 s2)
| nInter r s =
    (if r = Not Zero then s
     else if s = Not Zero then r
     else if r < s then Inter r s
     else if s < r then Inter s r
     else r)

fun nNot :: 'a::linorder rexp ⇒ 'a rexp
where
    nNot (Not r) = r
| nNot (Plus r s) = nInter (nNot r) (nNot s)
| nNot (Inter r s) = nPlus (nNot r) (nNot s)
| nNot r = Not r

fun nPr :: 'a rexp ⇒ 'a rexp
where
    nPr Zero = Zero
| nPr One = One
| nPr (Plus r s) = Plus (nPr r) (nPr s)
| nPr (Times r s) = Times (nPr r) (nPr s)
| nPr (Star r) = Star (nPr r)
| nPr r = Pr r

fun norm :: ('a::linorder) rexp ⇒ 'a rexp where
    norm Zero = Zero
| norm One = One

```

```

| norm (Atom a) = Atom a
| norm (Plus r s) = nPlus (norm r) (norm s)
| norm (Times r s) = nTimes (norm r) (norm s)
| norm (Star r) = nStar (norm r)
| norm (Not r) = nNot (norm r)
| norm (Inter r s) = nInter (norm r) (norm s)
| norm (Pr r) = nPr (norm r)

```

context *alphabet*
begin

lemma *wf-nPlus[simp]*: $\llbracket wf\ n\ r; wf\ n\ s \rrbracket \implies wf\ n\ (nPlus\ r\ s)$
by (*induct r s rule: nPlus.induct*) *auto*

lemma *wf-nTimes[simp]*: $\llbracket wf\ n\ r; wf\ n\ s \rrbracket \implies wf\ n\ (nTimes\ r\ s)$
by (*induct r s rule: nTimes.induct*) *auto*

lemma *wf-nStar[simp]*: $wf\ n\ r \implies wf\ n\ (nStar\ r)$
by (*induct r rule: nStar.induct*) *auto*

lemma *wf-nInter[simp]*: $\llbracket wf\ n\ r; wf\ n\ s \rrbracket \implies wf\ n\ (nInter\ r\ s)$
by (*induct r s rule: nInter.induct*) *auto*

lemma *wf-nNot[simp]*: $wf\ n\ r \implies wf\ n\ (nNot\ r)$
by (*induct r rule: nNot.induct*) *auto*

lemma *wf-nPr[simp]*: $wf\ (Suc\ n)\ r \implies wf\ n\ (nPr\ r)$
by (*induct r rule: nPr.induct*) *auto*

lemma *wf-norm[simp]*: $wf\ n\ r \implies wf\ n\ (norm\ r)$
by (*induct r arbitrary: n*) *auto*

end

context *project*
begin

lemma *Plus-Not-Zero*:
 $wf\ n\ r \implies lang\ n\ (Plus\ (Not\ Zero)\ r) = lang\ n\ (Not\ Zero)$
 $wf\ n\ r \implies lang\ n\ (Plus\ r\ (Not\ Zero)) = lang\ n\ (Not\ Zero)$
by (*auto dest!: lang-subset-lists*)

lemma *Inter-Not-Zero*:
 $wf\ n\ r \implies lang\ n\ (Inter\ (Not\ Zero)\ r) = lang\ n\ r$
 $wf\ n\ r \implies lang\ n\ (Inter\ r\ (Not\ Zero)) = lang\ n\ r$
by (*auto dest!: lang-subset-lists*)

lemma *lang-nPlus[simp]*: $\llbracket wf\ n\ r; wf\ n\ s \rrbracket \implies lang\ n\ (nPlus\ r\ s) = lang\ n\ (Plus\ r\ s)$


```

by (induct r s rule: nPlus.induct)
  (auto, auto dest!: lang-subset-lists dest: project
    subsetD[OF conc-subset-lists, unfolded in-lists-conv-set, rotated -1]
    subsetD[OF star-subset-lists, unfolded in-lists-conv-set, rotated -1])

lemma lang-nTimes[simp]: lang n (nTimes r s) = lang n (Times r s)
by (induct r s rule: nTimes.induct) (auto simp: conc-assoc conc-Un-distrib)

lemma lang-nStar[simp]: lang n (nStar r) = lang n (Star r)
by (induct r rule: nStar.induct) auto

lemma lang-nInter[simp]:  $\llbracket wf\ n\ r; wf\ n\ s \rrbracket \implies lang\ n\ (nInter\ r\ s) = lang\ n\ (Inter\ r\ s)$ 
by (induct r s rule: nInter.induct)
  (auto, auto dest!: lang-subset-lists dest: project
    subsetD[OF conc-subset-lists, unfolded in-lists-conv-set, rotated -1]
    subsetD[OF star-subset-lists, unfolded in-lists-conv-set, rotated -1])

lemma lang-nNot[simp]:  $wf\ n\ r \implies lang\ n\ (nNot\ r) = lang\ n\ (Not\ r)$ 
by (induct r rule: nNot.induct) (auto dest!: lang-subset-lists)

lemma lang-nPr[simp]: lang n (nPr r) = lang n (Pr r)
by (induct r rule: nPr.induct) auto

lemma lang-norm[simp]:  $wf\ n\ r \implies lang\ n\ (norm\ r) = lang\ n\ r$ 
by (induct r arbitrary: n) auto

end

end

theory Regular-Operators
imports Derivatives  $\sim\sim$ /src/HOL/Library/While-Combinator
begin

primrec REV :: 'a rexp  $\Rightarrow$  'a rexp where
  REV Zero = Zero
| REV One = One
| REV (Atom a) = Atom a
| REV (Plus r s) = Plus (REV r) (REV s)
| REV (Times r s) = Times (REV r) (REV s)
| REV (Star r) = Star (REV r)
| REV (Not r) = Not (REV r)
| REV (Inter r s) = Inter (REV r) (REV s)
| REV (Pr r) = Pr (REV r)

lemma REV-REV[simp]: REV (REV r) = r
by (induct r) auto

```

lemma *final-REV*[simp]: $\text{final } (\text{REV } r) = \text{final } r$
by (induct *r*) *auto*

lemma *REV-PLUS*: $\text{REV } (\text{PLUS } xs) = \text{PLUS } (\text{map } \text{REV } xs)$
by (induct *xs* rule: *list-singleton-induct*) *auto*

lemma (in *alphabet*) *wf-REV*[simp]: $\text{wf } n \ r \implies \text{wf } n \ (\text{REV } r)$
by (induct *r* arbitrary: *n*) *auto*

lemma (in *project*) *lang-REV*[simp]: $\text{lang } n \ (\text{REV } r) = \text{rev } ' \text{lang } n \ r$
by (induct *r* arbitrary: *n*) (auto simp: *image-image rev-map image-set-diff*)

context *embed*
begin

primrec *rderiv* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rexp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ rexp}$ **where**
 $\text{rderiv } - \text{Zero} = \text{Zero}$
 $\text{rderiv } - \text{One} = \text{Zero}$
 $\text{rderiv } as \ (\text{Atom } bs) = (\text{if } as = bs \text{ then } \text{One} \text{ else } \text{Zero})$
 $\text{rderiv } as \ (\text{Plus } r \ s) = \text{Plus } (\text{rderiv } as \ r) \ (\text{rderiv } as \ s)$
 $\text{rderiv } as \ (\text{Times } r \ s) =$
 $\quad (\text{let } rs' = \text{Times } r \ (\text{rderiv } as \ s)$
 $\quad \text{in if final } s \text{ then Plus } rs' \ (\text{rderiv } as \ r) \text{ else } rs')$
 $\text{rderiv } as \ (\text{Star } r) = \text{Times } (\text{Star } r) \ (\text{rderiv } as \ r)$
 $\text{rderiv } as \ (\text{Not } r) = \text{Not } (\text{rderiv } as \ r)$
 $\text{rderiv } as \ (\text{Inter } r \ s) = \text{Inter } (\text{rderiv } as \ r) \ (\text{rderiv } as \ s)$
 $\text{rderiv } as \ (\text{Pr } r) = \text{Pr } (\text{PLUS } (\text{map } (\lambda a. \text{rderiv } a \ r) \ (\text{embed } as)))$

primrec *rderivs* **where**
 $\text{rderivs } [] \ r = r$
 $\text{rderivs } (w \# ws) \ r = \text{rderivs } ws \ (\text{rderiv } w \ r)$

lemma *rderivs-snoc*: $\text{rderivs } (ws \ @ \ [w]) \ r = \text{rderiv } w \ (\text{rderivs } ws \ r)$
by (induct *ws* arbitrary: *r*) *auto*

lemma *rderivs-append*: $\text{rderivs } (ws \ @ \ ws') \ r = \text{rderivs } ws' \ (\text{rderivs } ws \ r)$
by (induct *ws* arbitrary: *r*) *auto*

lemma *rderiv-lderv*: $\text{rderiv } as \ r = \text{REV } (\text{lderv } as \ (\text{REV } r))$
by (induct *r* arbitrary: *as*) (auto simp: *Let-def o-def REV-PLUS*)

lemma *rderivs-ldervs*: $\text{rderivs } w \ r = \text{REV } (\text{ldervs } w \ (\text{REV } r))$
by (induct *w* arbitrary: *r*) (auto simp: *rderiv-lderv*)

lemma *wf-rderiv*[simp]: $\text{wf } n \ r \implies \text{wf } n \ (\text{rderiv } w \ r)$
unfolding *rderiv-lderv* **by** (rule *wf-REV*[OF *wf-lderv*[OF *wf-REV*]])

lemma *wf-rderivs*[simp]: $\text{wf } n \ r \implies \text{wf } n \ (\text{rderivs } ws \ r)$
unfolding *rderivs-ldervs* **by** (rule *wf-REV*[OF *wf-ldervs*[OF *wf-REV*]])

lemma *lang-rderiv*: $\llbracket wf\ n\ r; as \in \Sigma\ n \rrbracket \implies lang\ n\ (rderiv\ as\ r) = rQuot\ as\ (lang\ n\ r)$

unfolding *rderiv-lderiv* *rQuot-rev-lQuot* **by** (*simp add: lang-lderiv*)

lemma *lang-rderivs*: $\llbracket wf\ n\ r; wf\text{-}word\ n\ w \rrbracket \implies lang\ n\ (rderivs\ w\ r) = rQuots\ w\ (lang\ n\ r)$

unfolding *rderivs-lderivs* *rQuots-rev-lQuots* **by** (*simp add: lang-lderivs*)

corollary *rderivs-final*:

assumes *wf n r wf-word n w*

shows *final (rderivs w r) \longleftrightarrow rev w \in lang n r*

using *lang-rderivs[OF assms] lang-final[of rderivs w r n]* **by** *auto*

lemma *toplevel-summands-REV[simp]*: *toplevel-summands (REV r) = REV ‘toplevel-summands r*

by (*induct r*) *auto*

lemma *ACI-norm-REV*: $\langle\langle REV\ \langle r \rangle \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle REV\ r \rangle\rangle$

proof (*induct r*)

case (*Plus r s*)

show *?case*

unfolding *REV.simps ACI-norm.simps Plus[symmetric] image-Un[symmetric]*

toplevel-summands.simps(1) toplevel-summands-ACI-norm toplevel-summands-REV

unfolding *toplevel-summands.simps(1)[symmetric] ACI-norm-flatten toplevel-summands-REV*

unfolding *ACI-norm-flatten[symmetric] toplevel-summands-ACI-norm*

..

qed *auto*

lemma *ACI-norm-rderiv*: $\langle\langle rderiv\ as\ \langle r \rangle \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle rderiv\ as\ r \rangle\rangle$

unfolding *rderiv-lderiv* **by** (*metis ACI-norm-REV ACI-norm-lderiv*)

lemma *ACI-norm-rderivs*: $\langle\langle rderivs\ w\ \langle r \rangle \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle rderivs\ w\ r \rangle\rangle$

unfolding *rderivs-lderivs* **by** (*metis ACI-norm-REV ACI-norm-lderivs*)

theorem *finite-rderivs*: *finite { $\langle\langle rderivs\ xs\ r \rangle\rangle \mid xs . True$ }*

unfolding *rderivs-lderivs*

by (*subst ACI-norm-REV[symmetric]*) (*auto intro: finite-surj[OF finite-lderivs, of - $\lambda r. \langle\langle REV\ r \rangle\rangle$]*)

lemma *lderiv-PLUS[simp]*: *lderiv a (PLUS xs) = PLUS (map (lderiv a) xs)*

by (*induct xs rule: list-singleton-induct*) *auto*

lemma *rderiv-PLUS[simp]*: *rderiv a (PLUS xs) = PLUS (map (rderiv a) xs)*

by (*induct xs rule: list-singleton-induct*) *auto*

lemma *lang-rderiv-lderiv*: *lang n (rderiv a (lderiv b r)) = lang n (lderiv b (rderiv a r))*

by (*induct r arbitrary: n a b*) (*auto simp: Let-def conc-assoc*)

lemma *lang-lderiv-rderiv*: $\text{lang } n \text{ (lderiv } a \text{ (rderiv } b \text{ } r)) = \text{lang } n \text{ (rderiv } b \text{ (lderiv } a \text{ } r))$

by (*induct* *r arbitrary*: $n \ a \ b$) (*auto simp*: *Let-def conc-assoc*)

lemma *lang-rderiv-lderivs[simp]*: $\llbracket \text{wf } n \ r; \text{wf-word } n \ w; a \in \Sigma \ n \rrbracket \implies \text{lang } n \text{ (rderiv } a \text{ (lderivs } w \text{ } r)) = \text{lang } n \text{ (lderivs } w \text{ (rderiv } a \text{ } r))$

by (*induct* *w arbitrary*: $n \ r$)

(*auto*, *auto simp*: *lang-lderivs lang-lderiv lang-rderiv lQuot-rQuot*)

lemma *lang-lderiv-rderivs[simp]*: $\llbracket \text{wf } n \ r; \text{wf-word } n \ w; a \in \Sigma \ n \rrbracket \implies \text{lang } n \text{ (lderiv } a \text{ (rderivs } w \text{ } r)) = \text{lang } n \text{ (rderivs } w \text{ (lderiv } a \text{ } r))$

by (*induct* *w arbitrary*: $n \ r$)

(*auto*, *auto simp*: *lang-rderivs lang-lderiv lang-rderiv lQuot-rQuot*)

definition *biderivs* $w1 \ w2 = \text{rderivs } w2 \ o \ \text{lderivs } w1$

lemma *lang-biderivs*: $\llbracket \text{wf } n \ r; \text{wf-word } n \ w1; \text{wf-word } n \ w2 \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{lang } n \text{ (biderivs } w1 \ w2 \text{ } r) = \text{biQuots } w1 \ w2 \text{ (lang } n \text{ } r)$

unfolding *biderivs-def* **by** (*auto simp*: *lang-rderivs lang-lderivs in-lists-conv-set*)

lemma *wf-biderivs[simp]*: $\text{wf } n \ r \implies \text{wf } n \text{ (biderivs } w1 \ w2 \text{ } r)$

unfolding *biderivs-def* **by** *simp*

corollary *biderivs-final*:

assumes *wf* $n \ r$ *wf-word* $n \ w1$ *wf-word* $n \ w2$

shows *final* $(\text{biderivs } w1 \ w2 \text{ } r) \longleftrightarrow w1 \ @ \ \text{rev } w2 \in \text{lang } n \text{ } r$

using *lang-biderivs[OF assms]* *lang-final[of biderivs w1 w2 r n]* **by** *auto*

lemma *ACI-norm-biderivs*: $\llbracket \text{biderivs } w1 \ w2 \llbracket r \rrbracket \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{biderivs } w1 \ w2 \text{ } r \rrbracket$

unfolding *biderivs-def* **by** (*metis* *ACI-norm-lderivs* *ACI-norm-rderivs* *o-apply*)

lemma *finite* $\{\llbracket \text{biderivs } w1 \ w2 \text{ } r \rrbracket \mid w1 \ w2 \ . \text{True}\}$

proof –

have $\{\llbracket \text{biderivs } w1 \ w2 \text{ } r \rrbracket \mid w1 \ w2 \ . \text{True}\} = (\bigcup s \in \{\llbracket \text{lderivs } as \text{ } r \rrbracket \mid as \ . \text{True}\}. \{\llbracket \text{rderivs } bs \text{ } s \rrbracket \mid bs \ . \text{True}\})$

unfolding *biderivs-def* **by** (*fastforce simp*: *ACI-norm-rderivs*)

also have *finite* ... **by** (*rule* *iffD2[OF finite-UN[OF finite-lderivs]* *ballI[OF finite-rderivs]]*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

end

5.2 Quotioning by the same letter

definition *fin-cutSame* $x \ xs = \text{take } (\text{LEAST } n. \text{drop } n \ xs = \text{replicate } (\text{length } xs - n) \ x) \ xs$

```

lemma fin-cutSame-Nil[simp]: fin-cutSame x [] = []
  unfolding fin-cutSame-def by simp

lemma Least-fin-cutSame: (LEAST n. drop n xs = replicate (length xs - n) y) =
  length xs - length (takeWhile ( $\lambda x. x = y$ ) (rev xs))
  (is Least ?P = ?min)
proof (rule Least-equality)
  show ?P ?min by (induct xs rule: rev-induct) (auto simp: Suc-diff-le replicate-append-same)
next
  fix m assume ?P m
  have length xs - m ≤ length (takeWhile ( $\lambda x. x = y$ ) (rev xs))
  proof (intro length-takeWhile-less-P-nth)
    fix i assume i < length xs - m
    hence rev xs ! i ∈ set (drop m xs)
    by (induct xs arbitrary: i rule: rev-induct) (auto simp: nth-Cons')
    with ⟨?P m⟩ show rev xs ! i = y by simp
  qed simp
  thus ?min ≤ m by linarith
qed

lemma takeWhile-takes-all: length xs = m ⇒ m ≤ length (takeWhile P xs) ⇔
  Ball (set xs) P
  by hypsubst (induct xs, auto)

lemma fin-cutSame-Cons[simp]: fin-cutSame x (y # xs) =
  (if fin-cutSame x xs = [] then if x = y then [] else [y] else y # fin-cutSame x xs)
  unfolding fin-cutSame-def Least-fin-cutSame
  apply auto
  apply (simp add: takeWhile-takes-all)
  apply (simp add: takeWhile-takes-all)
  apply auto
  apply (metis (full-types) Suc-diff-le length-rev length-takeWhile-le take-Suc-Cons)
  apply (simp add: takeWhile-takes-all)
  apply (subst takeWhile-append2)
  apply auto
  apply (simp add: takeWhile-takes-all)
  apply auto
  apply (metis (full-types) Suc-diff-le length-rev length-takeWhile-le take-Suc-Cons)
  done

lemma fin-cutSame-singleton[simp]: fin-cutSame x (xs @ [x]) = fin-cutSame x xs
  by (induct xs) auto

lemma fin-cutSame-replicate[simp]: fin-cutSame x (xs @ replicate n x) = fin-cutSame
  x xs
  by (induct n arbitrary: xs)
  (auto simp: replicate-append-same[symmetric] append-assoc[symmetric] simp
  del: append-assoc)

```

lemma *fin-cutSameE*: $\text{fin-cutSame } x \text{ } xs = ys \implies \exists m. xs = ys @ \text{replicate } m \text{ } x$
by (*induct xs arbitrary: ys*) (*auto, metis replicate-Suc*)

definition *SAMEQUOT* $a \text{ } A = \{\text{fin-cutSame } a \text{ } x @ \text{replicate } m \text{ } a \mid x \text{ } m. x \in A\}$

lemma *SAMEQUOT-mono*: $A \subseteq B \implies \text{SAMEQUOT } a \text{ } A \subseteq \text{SAMEQUOT } a \text{ } B$
unfolding *SAMEQUOT-def* **by** *auto*

context *embed*
begin

lemma *finite-rderivs-same*: $\text{finite } \{\llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } m \text{ } a) \text{ } r \rrbracket \mid m . \text{True}\}$
by (*auto intro: finite-subset[OF - finite-rderivs]*)

lemma *wf-word-replicate[simp]*: $a \in \Sigma \text{ } n \implies \text{wf-word } n \text{ } (\text{replicate } m \text{ } a)$
by (*induct m*) *auto*

lemma *star-singleton[simp]*: $\text{star } \{[x]\} = \{\text{replicate } m \text{ } x \mid m . \text{True}\}$
proof (*intro equalityI subsetI*)
fix *xs* **assume** $xs \in \text{star } \{[x]\}$
thus $xs \in \{\text{replicate } m \text{ } x \mid m . \text{True}\}$ **by** (*induct xs*) (*auto, metis replicate-Suc*)
qed (*auto intro: Ball-starI*)

definition *samequot* $a \text{ } r = \text{Times } (\text{flatten PLUS } \{\llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } m \text{ } a) \text{ } r \rrbracket \mid m . \text{True}\}) (\text{Star } (\text{Atom } a))$

lemma *wf-samequot*: $\llbracket \text{wf } n \text{ } r; a \in \Sigma \text{ } n \rrbracket \implies \text{wf } n \text{ } (\text{samequot } a \text{ } r)$
unfolding *samequot-def wf.simps wf-flatten-PLUS[OF finite-rderivs-same]* **by** *auto*

lemma *lang-samequot*: $\llbracket \text{wf } n \text{ } r; a \in \Sigma \text{ } n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{lang } n \text{ } (\text{samequot } a \text{ } r) = \text{SAMEQUOT } a \text{ } (\text{lang } n \text{ } r)$
unfolding *SAMEQUOT-def samequot-def lang.simps lang-flatten-PLUS[OF finite-rderivs-same]*
apply (*rule sym*)
apply (*auto simp: lang-rderivs*)
apply (*intro concI*)
apply *auto*
apply (*insert fin-cutSameE[OF refl, of - a]*)
apply (*drule meta-spec*)
apply (*erule exE*)
apply (*intro exI conjI*)
apply (*rule refl*)
apply (*auto simp: lang-rderivs*)
apply (*erule subst*)
apply *assumption*
apply (*erule concE*)
apply (*auto simp: lang-rderivs*)

```

apply (drule meta-spec)
apply (erule exE)
apply (intro exI conjI)
defer
apply assumption
unfolding fin-cutSame-replicate
apply (erule trans)
unfolding fin-cutSame-replicate
apply (rule refl)
done

fun rderiv-and-add where
  rderiv-and-add as (-, rs) =
    (let
      r =  $\llbracket \text{rderiv as (hd rs)} \rrbracket$ 
      in if r  $\in$  set rs then (False, rs) else (True, r \# rs))

definition invar-rderiv-and-add as r brs  $\equiv$ 
  (if fst brs then True else  $\llbracket \text{rderiv as (hd (snd brs))} \rrbracket \in \text{set (snd brs)} \rrbracket \wedge$ 
   snd brs  $\neq [] \wedge \text{distinct (snd brs)} \wedge$ 
    $(\forall i < \text{length (snd brs)}. \text{snd brs} ! i = \llbracket \text{rderivs (replicate (length (snd brs) - 1 - i) as) } r \rrbracket$ )

lemma invar-rderiv-and-add-init: invar-rderiv-and-add as r (True, [\llbracket r \rrbracket])
  unfolding invar-rderiv-and-add-def by auto

lemma invar-rderiv-and-add-step: invar-rderiv-and-add as r brs  $\implies \text{fst brs} \implies$ 
  invar-rderiv-and-add as r (rderiv-and-add as brs)
  unfolding invar-rderiv-and-add-def by (cases brs) (auto simp:
    Let-def nth-Cons' ACI-norm-rderiv rderivs-snoc[symmetric] neq-Nil-conv replicate-append-same)

lemma rderivs-replicate-mult:  $\llbracket \llbracket \text{rderivs (replicate } i \text{ as) } r \rrbracket = \llbracket r \rrbracket; i > 0 \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\llbracket \text{rderivs (replicate (m * i) as) } r \rrbracket = \llbracket r \rrbracket$ 
proof (induct m arbitrary: r)
  case (Suc m)
  hence  $\llbracket \text{rderivs (replicate (m * i) as) } \llbracket \text{rderivs (replicate } i \text{ as) } r \rrbracket \rrbracket = \llbracket r \rrbracket$ 
  by (auto simp: ACI-norm-rderivs)
  thus ?case by (auto simp: ACI-norm-rderivs replicate-add rderivs-append)
qed simp

lemma rderivs-replicate-mult-rest:
  assumes  $\llbracket \text{rderivs (replicate } i \text{ as) } r \rrbracket = \llbracket r \rrbracket \ k < i$ 
  shows  $\llbracket \text{rderivs (replicate (m * i + k) as) } r \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{rderivs (replicate } k \text{ as) } r \rrbracket$  (is
  ?L = ?R)
proof -
  have ?L =  $\llbracket \text{rderivs (replicate } k \text{ as) } \llbracket \text{rderivs (replicate (m * i) as) } r \rrbracket \rrbracket$ 
  by (simp add: ACI-norm-rderivs replicate-add rderivs-append)
  also have  $\llbracket \text{rderivs (replicate (m * i) as) } r \rrbracket = \llbracket r \rrbracket$  using assms
  by (simp add: rderivs-replicate-mult)

```

finally show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: ACI-norm-rderivs*)
qed

lemma *rderivs-replicate-mod*:

assumes $\llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } i \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket = \llbracket r \rrbracket \text{ } i > 0$
shows $\llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } m \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } (m \bmod i) \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket$ **(is**
?L = ?R)
by (*subst mod-div-equality[symmetric, of m i]*)
(intro rderivs-replicate-mult-rest[OF assms(1)] mod-less-divisor[OF assms(2)])

lemma *rderivs-replicate-diff*: $\llbracket \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } i \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } j \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket; i > j \rrbracket \implies$
 $\llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } (i - j) \text{ as}) \text{ } (\text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } j \text{ as}) \text{ } r) \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } j \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket$
unfolding *rderivs-append[symmetric]* *replicate-add[symmetric]* **by** *auto*

lemma *samequot-wf*:

assumes *wf n r while-option fst (rderiv-and-add as) (True, [r]) = Some (b, rs)*
shows *wf n (PLUS rs)*
proof –
have $\neg b$ **using** *while-option-stop[OF assms(2)]* **by** *simp*
from *while-option-rule[where P=invar-rderiv-and-add as r,*
OF invar-rderiv-and-add-step assms(2) invar-rderiv-and-add-init]
have $*$: *invar-rderiv-and-add as r (b, rs)* **by** *simp*
thus *wf n (PLUS rs)* **unfolding** *invar-rderiv-and-add-def wf-PLUS*
by (*auto simp: in-set-conv-nth wf-rderivs[OF assms(1)]*)
qed

lemma *samequot-soundness*:

assumes *while-option fst (rderiv-and-add as) (True, [r]) = Some (b, rs)*
shows *lang n (PLUS rs) = UNION {llbracket rderivs (replicate m as) r rrbracket | m. True}*
(lang n)
proof –
have $\neg b$ **using** *while-option-stop[OF assms]* **by** *simp*
moreover
from *while-option-rule[where P=invar-rderiv-and-add as r,*
OF invar-rderiv-and-add-step assms invar-rderiv-and-add-init]
have $*$: *invar-rderiv-and-add as r (b, rs)* **by** *simp*
ultimately obtain *i* **where** $i: i < \text{length } rs$ **and** $\llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } (\text{length } rs - \text{Suc } i) \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket =$
 $\llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } rs - \text{Suc } 0)) \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket$ **(is** $\llbracket \text{rderivs } ?x \text{ } r \rrbracket = -$)
unfolding *invar-rderiv-and-add-def* **by** (*auto simp: in-set-conv-nth hd-conv-nth ACI-norm-rderiv*
rderivs-snoc[symmetric] replicate-append-same)
with $*$ **have** $\llbracket \text{rderivs } ?x \text{ } r \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } (\text{length } rs) \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket$
by (*auto simp: invar-rderiv-and-add-def*)
with *i* **have** *cyc*: $\llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } (\text{Suc } i) \text{ as}) \text{ } (\text{rderivs } ?x \text{ } r) \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{rderivs } ?x \text{ } r \rrbracket$
qed


```

  by (fastforce dest: rderivs-replicate-diff[OF sym])
{ fix m
  have  $\exists i < \text{length } rs. rs ! i = \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } m \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket$ 
  proof (cases  $m > \text{length } rs - \text{Suc } i$ )
    case True
      with i obtain m' where  $m: m = m' + \text{length } rs - \text{Suc } i$ 
      by atomize-elim (auto intro: exI[of -  $m - (\text{length } rs - \text{Suc } i)$ ])
      with i have  $\llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } m \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } m' \text{ as}) \text{ } (\text{rderivs } ?x \text{ } r) \rrbracket$ 
      unfolding replicate-add[symmetric] rderivs-append[symmetric] by (simp add: nat-add-commute)
      also from cyc have  $\dots = \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } (m' \bmod (\text{Suc } i)) \text{ as}) \text{ } (\text{rderivs } ?x \text{ } r) \rrbracket$ 
      by (elim rderivs-replicate-mod) simp
      also from i have  $\dots = \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } (m' \bmod (\text{Suc } i) + \text{length } rs - \text{Suc } i) \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket$ 
      unfolding rderivs-append[symmetric] replicate-add[symmetric] by (simp add: nat-add-commute)
      also from m i have  $\dots = \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } ((m - (\text{length } rs - \text{Suc } i)) \bmod (\text{Suc } i) + \text{length } rs - \text{Suc } i) \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket$ 
      by simp
      also have  $\dots = \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } (\text{length } rs - \text{Suc } (i - (m - (\text{length } rs - \text{Suc } i)) \bmod (\text{Suc } i))) \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket$ 
      by (subst Suc-diff-le[symmetric])
      (metis less-Suc-eq-le mod-less-divisor zero-less-Suc, simp add: nat-add-commute)
      finally have  $\exists j < \text{length } rs. \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } m \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } (\text{length } rs - \text{Suc } j) \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket$ 
      using i by (metis less-imp-diff-less)
      with * show ?thesis unfolding invar-rderiv-and-add-def by auto
    next
      case False
      with i have  $\exists j < \text{length } rs. m = \text{length } rs - \text{Suc } j$ 
      by (induct m)
      (metis diff-self-eq-0 gr-implies-not0 lessI nat.exhaust,
      metis (no-types) One-nat-def Suc-diff-Suc diff-Suc-1 gr0-conv-Suc less-imp-diff-less
      not-less-eq not-less-iff-gr-or-eq)
      with * show ?thesis unfolding invar-rderiv-and-add-def by auto
  qed
}
hence  $\text{UNION } \{ \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } m \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket \mid m. \text{ True} \} (\text{lang } n) \subseteq \text{lang } n \text{ (PLUS } rs)$ 
by (fastforce simp: in-set-conv-nth lang-PLUS intro!: bexI[rotated])
moreover from * have  $\text{lang } n \text{ (PLUS } rs) \subseteq \text{UNION } \{ \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } m \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket \mid m. \text{ True} \} (\text{lang } n)$ 
unfolding invar-rderiv-and-add-def by (fastforce simp: in-set-conv-nth lang-PLUS)
ultimately show  $\text{lang } n \text{ (PLUS } rs) = \text{UNION } \{ \llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } m \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket \mid m. \text{ True} \} (\text{lang } n)$  by blast
qed

```

lemma *length-subset-card*: $\llbracket \text{finite } X; \text{distinct } (x \# xs); \text{set } (x \# xs) \subseteq X \rrbracket \implies \text{length } xs < \text{card } X$

by (*metis card-mono distinct-card impossible-Cons not-leE order-trans*)

lemma *samequot-termination*:

assumes *while-option fst (rderiv-and-add as) (True, [$\llbracket r \rrbracket$]) = None* (**is** *?cl = None*)

shows *False*

proof –

let *?D* = $\{\llbracket \text{rderivs } (\text{replicate } m \text{ as}) \text{ } r \rrbracket \mid m . \text{True}\}$

let *?f* = $\lambda(b, rs). \text{card } ?D + 1 - \text{length } rs + (\text{if } b \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

have $\exists st. ?cl = \text{Some } st$

apply (*rule measure-while-option-Some[of invar-rderiv-and-add as r - - ?f]*)

apply (*auto simp: invar-rderiv-and-add-init invar-rderiv-and-add-step*)

apply (*auto simp: invar-rderiv-and-add-def Let-def neg-Nil-conv in-set-conv-nth intro!: diff-less-mono2 length-subset-card[OF finite-rderivs-same, simplified]*)

apply *fastforce*

apply *fastforce*

apply (*metis Suc-less-eq nth-Cons-Suc*)

done

with *assms* **show** *False* **by** *auto*

qed

definition *samequot-exec* *as r* =

Times (PLUS (snd (the (while-option fst (rderiv-and-add as) (True, [$\llbracket r \rrbracket$])))))
(*Star (Atom as)*)

lemma *wf-samequot-exec*: $\llbracket \text{wf } n \text{ } r; \text{as} \in \Sigma \text{ } n \rrbracket \implies \text{wf } n \text{ (samequot-exec as } r)$

unfolding *samequot-exec-def*

by (*cases while-option fst (rderiv-and-add as) (True, [$\llbracket r \rrbracket$])*)

(*auto dest: samequot-termination samequot-wf*)

lemma *samequot-exec-samequot*: $\text{lang } n \text{ (samequot-exec as } r) = \text{lang } n \text{ (samequot as } r)$

unfolding *samequot-exec-def samequot-def lang.simps lang-flatten-PLUS[OF finite-rderivs-same]*

by (*cases while-option fst (rderiv-and-add as) (True, [$\llbracket r \rrbracket$])*)

(*auto dest: samequot-termination dest!: samequot-soundness[of - - - n] simp*

del: ACI-norm-lang)

lemma *lang-samequot-exec*:

$\llbracket \text{wf } n \text{ } r; \text{as} \in \Sigma \text{ } n \rrbracket \implies \text{lang } n \text{ (samequot-exec as } r) = \text{SAMEQUOT as (lang } n \text{ } r)$

unfolding *samequot-exec-samequot* **by** (*rule lang-samequot*)

end

5.3 Suffix Prefix Languages

definition *Suffix* :: *'a lang* \Rightarrow *'a lang* **where**

$Suffix\ L = \{w. \exists u. u @ w \in L\}$

definition *Prefix* :: 'a lang \Rightarrow 'a lang **where**
 $Prefix\ L = \{w. \exists u. w @ u \in L\}$

lemma *Prefix-Suffix*: $Prefix\ L = rev\ ' Suffix\ (rev\ ' L)$
unfolding *Prefix-def Suffix-def*
by (*auto simp: rev-append-invert*
intro: image-eqI[of - rev, OF rev-rev-ident[symmetric]]
image-eqI[of - rev, OF rev-append[symmetric]])

definition *Root* :: 'a lang \Rightarrow 'a lang **where**
 $Root\ L = \{x . \exists n > 0. x ^{\wedge} n \in L\}$

definition *Cycle* :: 'a lang \Rightarrow 'a lang **where**
 $Cycle\ L = \{u @ w \mid u\ w. w @ u \in L\}$

context *embed*
begin

context
fixes $n :: nat$
begin

definition *SUFFIX* :: 'a rexp \Rightarrow 'a rexp **where**
 $SUFFIX\ r = flatten\ PLUS\ \{\ll lderivs\ w\ r \gg \mid w. wf\text{-}word\ n\ w\}$

lemma *finite-ldervs-wf*: $finite\ \{\ll lderivs\ w\ r \gg \mid w. wf\text{-}word\ n\ w\}$
by (*auto intro: finite-subset[OF - finite-ldervs]*)

definition *PREFIX* :: 'a rexp \Rightarrow 'a rexp **where**
 $PREFIX\ r = REV\ (SUFFIX\ (REV\ r))$

lemma *wf-SUFFIX[simp]*: $wf\ n\ r \Longrightarrow wf\ n\ (SUFFIX\ r)$
unfolding *SUFFIX-def* **by** (*intro iffD2[OF wf-flatten-PLUS[OF finite-ldervs-wf]]*)
auto

lemma *lang-SUFFIX[simp]*: $wf\ n\ r \Longrightarrow lang\ n\ (SUFFIX\ r) = Suffix\ (lang\ n\ r)$
unfolding *SUFFIX-def Suffix-def*
using *lang-flatten-PLUS[OF finite-ldervs-wf] lang-ldervs wf-lang-wf-word*
by *fastforce*

lemma *wf-PREFIX[simp]*: $wf\ n\ r \Longrightarrow wf\ n\ (PREFIX\ r)$
unfolding *PREFIX-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *lang-PREFIX[simp]*: $wf\ n\ r \Longrightarrow lang\ n\ (PREFIX\ r) = Prefix\ (lang\ n\ r)$
unfolding *PREFIX-def* **by** (*auto simp: Prefix-Suffix*)

end

lemma *take-drop-CycleI*[intro!]: $x \in L \implies \text{drop } i \ x \ @ \ \text{take } i \ x \in \text{Cycle } L$
unfolding *Cycle-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *take-drop-CycleI'*[intro!]: $\text{drop } i \ x \ @ \ \text{take } i \ x \in L \implies x \in \text{Cycle } L$
by (*drule take-drop-CycleI*[*of - - length x - i*]) *auto*

end

end

6 Monadic Second-Order Logic Formulas

6.1 Interpretations and Encodings

type-synonym *'a interp* = *'a list* \times (*nat* + *nat set*) *list*

abbreviation *enc-atom-bool* *I n* $\equiv \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of } \text{Inl } p \Rightarrow n = p \mid \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow n \in P) \ I$

abbreviation *enc-atom* *I n a* $\equiv (a, \text{enc-atom-bool } I \ n)$

6.2 Syntax and Semantics of MSO

datatype *'a formula* =
FQ *'a nat*
| *FLess* *nat nat*
| *FIn* *nat nat*
| *FNot* *'a formula*
| *FOR* *'a formula 'a formula*
| *FAnd* *'a formula 'a formula*
| *FExists* *'a formula*
| *FEXISTS* *'a formula*

primrec *FOV* :: *'a formula* \Rightarrow *nat set* **where**
FOV (*FQ a m*) = {*m*}
| *FOV* (*FLess m1 m2*) = {*m1*, *m2*}
| *FOV* (*FIn m M*) = {*m*}
| *FOV* (*FNot* φ) = *FOV* φ
| *FOV* (*FOR* $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$) = *FOV* $\varphi_1 \cup \text{FOV } \varphi_2$
| *FOV* (*FAnd* $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$) = *FOV* $\varphi_1 \cup \text{FOV } \varphi_2$
| *FOV* (*FExists* φ) = $(\lambda x. x - 1) \text{ ' (FOV } \varphi - \{0\})$
| *FOV* (*FEXISTS* φ) = $(\lambda x. x - 1) \text{ ' FOV } \varphi$

primrec *SOV* :: *'a formula* \Rightarrow *nat set* **where**
SOV (*FQ a m*) = {}
| *SOV* (*FLess m1 m2*) = {}

$| \text{SOV } (FIn\ m\ M) = \{M\}$
 $| \text{SOV } (FNot\ \varphi) = \text{SOV } \varphi$
 $| \text{SOV } (FOr\ \varphi_1\ \varphi_2) = \text{SOV } \varphi_1 \cup \text{SOV } \varphi_2$
 $| \text{SOV } (FAnd\ \varphi_1\ \varphi_2) = \text{SOV } \varphi_1 \cup \text{SOV } \varphi_2$
 $| \text{SOV } (FExists\ \varphi) = (\lambda x. x - 1) \text{ ' SOV } \varphi$
 $| \text{SOV } (FEXISTS\ \varphi) = (\lambda x. x - 1) \text{ ' } (\text{SOV } \varphi - \{0\})$

definition $\sigma = (\lambda \Sigma\ n. \text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda bs. \text{map } (\lambda a. (a, bs))\ \Sigma) (\text{List.n-lists } n\ [\text{True}, \text{False}])))$

definition $\pi = (\lambda(a, bs). (a, \text{tl } bs))$

definition $\varepsilon = (\lambda \Sigma\ (a::'a, bs). \text{if } a \in \text{set } \Sigma \text{ then } [(a, \text{True} \# bs), (a, \text{False} \# bs)] \text{ else } [])$

locale *formula* = *embed set o* ($\sigma\ \Sigma$) $\pi\ \varepsilon\ \Sigma$ **for** $\Sigma :: 'a :: \text{linorder list} +$
assumes *nonempty*: $\Sigma \neq []$
begin

abbreviation $\Sigma\text{-combinatorial-product } n \equiv$
 $\text{List.maps } (\lambda \text{bools}. \text{map } (\lambda a. (a, \text{bools}))\ \Sigma) (\text{bool-combinatorial-product } n)$

primrec *pre-wf-formula* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{pre-wf-formula } n\ (FQ\ a\ m) = (a \in \text{set } \Sigma \wedge m < n)$
 $| \text{pre-wf-formula } n\ (FLess\ m1\ m2) = (m1 < n \wedge m2 < n)$
 $| \text{pre-wf-formula } n\ (FIn\ m\ M) = (m < n \wedge M < n)$
 $| \text{pre-wf-formula } n\ (FNot\ \varphi) = \text{pre-wf-formula } n\ \varphi$
 $| \text{pre-wf-formula } n\ (FOr\ \varphi_1\ \varphi_2) = (\text{pre-wf-formula } n\ \varphi_1 \wedge \text{pre-wf-formula } n\ \varphi_2)$
 $| \text{pre-wf-formula } n\ (FAnd\ \varphi_1\ \varphi_2) = (\text{pre-wf-formula } n\ \varphi_1 \wedge \text{pre-wf-formula } n\ \varphi_2)$
 $| \text{pre-wf-formula } n\ (FExists\ \varphi) = (\text{pre-wf-formula } (n + 1)\ \varphi \wedge 0 \in \text{FOV } \varphi \wedge 0 \notin \text{SOV } \varphi)$
 $| \text{pre-wf-formula } n\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi) = (\text{pre-wf-formula } (n + 1)\ \varphi \wedge 0 \notin \text{FOV } \varphi \wedge 0 \in \text{SOV } \varphi)$

abbreviation *closed* $\equiv \text{pre-wf-formula } 0$

definition [*simp*]: $\text{wf-formula } n\ \varphi \equiv \text{pre-wf-formula } n\ \varphi \wedge \text{FOV } \varphi \cap \text{SOV } \varphi = \{\}$

lemma *max-idx-vars*: $\text{pre-wf-formula } n\ \varphi \implies \forall p \in \text{FOV } \varphi \cup \text{SOV } \varphi. p < n$
by (*induct* φ *arbitrary*: n)
(auto split: split-if-asm, (metis Un-iff diff-Suc-less less-SucE less-imp-diff-less)+)

lemma *finite-FOV*: *finite* ($\text{FOV } \varphi$)
by (*induct* φ) (*auto split: split-if-asm*)

6.3 ENC

definition *arbitrary-except n pbs xs* \equiv
 $\text{PLUS } (\text{map } (\lambda bs. \text{PLUS } (\text{map } (\lambda x. \text{Atom } (x, \text{fold } (\lambda(p, b). \text{insert-nth } p\ b))\ pbs$

$bs))\ xs))$
 $(\text{bool-combinatorial-product } (n - \text{length } pbs)))$

lemma *wf-rexp-arbitrary-except*:

$\llbracket \text{length } pbs \leq n; \text{ set } xs \subseteq \text{set } \Sigma \rrbracket \implies \text{wf } n \ (\text{arbitrary-except } n \ pbs \ xs)$

by (*auto simp: arbitrary-except-def*) (*force simp: σ -def set-n-lists length-fold-insert-nth*)

definition *valid-ENC* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a \times \text{bool list}) \text{ rexp}$ **where**

valid-ENC $n \ p = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then Regular-Exp.Not Zero else}$

TIMES [

Star (*arbitrary-except* $n \ [(p, \text{False})] \ \Sigma$),

arbitrary-except $n \ [(p, \text{True})] \ \Sigma$,

Star (*arbitrary-except* $n \ [(p, \text{False})] \ \Sigma$)]

lemma *wf-rexp-valid-ENC*: $\text{wf } n \ (\text{valid-ENC } n \ p)$

unfolding *valid-ENC-def* **by** (*auto intro!: wf-rexp-arbitrary-except*)

definition *ENC* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ formula} \Rightarrow ('a \times \text{bool list}) \text{ rexp}$ **where**

ENC $n \ \varphi = \text{flatten INTERSECT } (\text{valid-ENC } n \ ' \text{FOV } \varphi)$

lemma *wf-rexp-ENC*: $\text{wf } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \varphi)$

unfolding *ENC-def*

by (*intro iffD2[OF wf-flatten-INTERSECT]*) (*auto intro: finite-FOV simp: wf-rexp-valid-ENC*)

lemma *enc-atom- σ -eq*: $i < \text{length } w \implies$

$(\text{length } I = n \wedge w ! i \in \text{set } \Sigma) \longleftrightarrow \text{enc-atom } I \ i \ (w ! i) \in \text{set } (\sigma \ \Sigma \ n)$

by (*auto simp: σ -def set-n-lists intro!: exI[of - enc-atom-bool $I \ i$] imageI*)

lemmas *enc-atom- σ* = *iffD1*[*OF* *enc-atom- σ -eq*, *OF* - *conjI*]

lemma *enc-atom-bool-take-drop-True*:

$\llbracket r < \text{length } I; \text{ case } I ! r \text{ of } \text{Inl } p' \Rightarrow p = p' \mid \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow p \in P \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{enc-atom-bool } I \ p = \text{take } r \ (\text{enc-atom-bool } I \ p) \ @ \ \text{True} \ \# \ \text{drop } (\text{Suc } r)$

$(\text{enc-atom-bool } I \ p)$

by (*auto intro: trans[OF id-take-nth-drop]*)

lemma *enc-atom-bool-take-drop-True2*:

$\llbracket r < \text{length } I; \text{ case } I ! r \text{ of } \text{Inl } p' \Rightarrow p = p' \mid \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow p \in P;$

$s < \text{length } I; \text{ case } I ! s \text{ of } \text{Inl } p' \Rightarrow p = p' \mid \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow p \in P; r < s \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{enc-atom-bool } I \ p = \text{take } r \ (\text{enc-atom-bool } I \ p) \ @ \ \text{True} \ \#$

$\text{take } (s - \text{Suc } r) \ (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } r) \ (\text{enc-atom-bool } I \ p)) \ @ \ \text{True} \ \#$

$\text{drop } (\text{Suc } s) \ (\text{enc-atom-bool } I \ p)$

using *id-take-nth-drop*[*of* $r \ \text{enc-atom-bool } I \ p$]

$\text{id-take-nth-drop}[of \ s - r - 1 \ \text{drop } (\text{Suc } r) \ (\text{enc-atom-bool } I \ p)]$

by *auto*

lemma *enc-atom-bool-take-drop-False*:

$\llbracket r < \text{length } I; \text{ case } I ! r \text{ of } \text{Inl } p' \Rightarrow p \neq p' \mid \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow p \notin P \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{enc-atom-bool } I \ p = \text{take } r \ (\text{enc-atom-bool } I \ p) \ @ \ \text{False} \ \# \ \text{drop } (\text{Suc } r)$

(*enc-atom-bool* *I p*)

by (*auto intro: trans*[*OF id-take-nth-drop*] *split: sum.splits*)

lemma *enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True*: $\llbracket r < \text{length } I;$

case I ! r of Inl p' $\Rightarrow p = p'$ | Inr P $\Rightarrow p \in P$; length I = n; a \in set xs; set xs

$\subseteq \text{set } \Sigma \rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$\llbracket \text{enc-atom } I \text{ p a} \rrbracket \in \text{lang } n \text{ (arbitrary-except } n \llbracket (r, \text{True}) \rrbracket \text{ xs)}$

unfolding *arbitrary-except-def*

by (*auto intro!: bexI*[*of* - ($\lambda J. \text{take } r \text{ J } @ \text{drop } (r + 1) \text{ J}$) (*enc-atom-bool* *I p*)]

intro: enc-atom-bool-take-drop-True)

lemma *enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True2*: $\llbracket r < \text{length } I; s < \text{length } I; r < s;$

case I ! r of Inl p' $\Rightarrow p = p'$ | Inr P $\Rightarrow p \in P$;

case I ! s of Inl p' $\Rightarrow p = p'$ | Inr P $\Rightarrow p \in P$; length I = n; a \in set $\Sigma \rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$\llbracket \text{enc-atom } I \text{ p a} \rrbracket \in \text{lang } n \text{ (arbitrary-except } n \llbracket (r, \text{True}), (s, \text{True}) \rrbracket \Sigma)$

unfolding *arbitrary-except-def*

by (*auto intro!: bexI*[*of* - ($\lambda J. \text{take } r \text{ J } @ \text{take } (s - r - 1) (\text{drop } (r + 1) \text{ J}) @$

drop (s - r) (drop (r + 1) J)) (*enc-atom-bool* *I p*)

intro!: enc-atom-bool-take-drop-True2 simp: min-absorb2 take-Cons' drop-Cons')

lemma *enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-False*: $\llbracket r < \text{length } I;$

case I ! r of Inl p' $\Rightarrow p \neq p'$ | Inr P $\Rightarrow p \notin P$; length I = n; a \in set xs; set xs

$\subseteq \text{set } \Sigma \rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$\llbracket \text{enc-atom } I \text{ p a} \rrbracket \in \text{lang } n \text{ (arbitrary-except } n \llbracket (r, \text{False}) \rrbracket \text{ xs)}$

unfolding *arbitrary-except-def*

by (*auto intro!: bexI*[*of* - ($\lambda J. \text{take } r \text{ J } @ \text{drop } (r + 1) \text{ J}$) (*enc-atom-bool* *I p*)]

intro: enc-atom-bool-take-drop-False)

lemma *arbitrary-except*:

$\llbracket v \in \text{lang } n \text{ (arbitrary-except } n \llbracket (r, b) \rrbracket S); r < n; \text{set } S \subseteq \text{set } \Sigma \rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$\exists x. v = [x] \wedge \text{snd } x ! r = b \wedge \text{fst } x \in \text{set } S$

unfolding *arbitrary-except-def* **by** (*auto simp: nth-append*)

lemma *arbitrary-except2*:

$\llbracket v \in \text{lang } n \text{ (arbitrary-except } n \llbracket (r, b), (s, b') \rrbracket S); r < s; r < n; s < n; \text{set } S \subseteq \text{set } \Sigma \rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$\exists x. v = [x] \wedge \text{snd } x ! r = b \wedge \text{snd } x ! s = b' \wedge \text{fst } x \in \text{set } S$

unfolding *arbitrary-except-def* **by** (*auto simp: nth-append min-absorb2*)

lemma *star-arbitrary-except*:

$\llbracket v \in \text{star } (\text{lang } n \text{ (arbitrary-except } n \llbracket (r, b) \rrbracket \Sigma)); r < n; i < \text{length } v \rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$\text{snd } (v ! i) ! r = b$

proof (*induct arbitrary: i rule: star-induct*)

case (*append u v*) **thus** ?*case* **by** (*cases i*) (*auto dest: arbitrary-except*)

qed *simp*

end

end

7 M2L

7.1 Encodings

context *formula*
begin

fun *enc* :: 'a interp \Rightarrow ('a \times bool list) list **where**
enc (*w*, *I*) = *map-index* (*enc-atom* *I*) *w*

abbreviation *wf-interp* *w* *I* \equiv (*length* *w* > 0 \wedge
 $(\forall a \in \text{set } w. a \in \text{set } \Sigma) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{set } I. \text{case } x \text{ of } \text{Inl } p \Rightarrow p < \text{length } w \mid \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow \forall p \in P. p < \text{length } w))$

fun *wf-interp-for-formula* :: 'a interp \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow bool **where**
wf-interp-for-formula (*w*, *I*) φ =
 (*wf-interp* *w* *I* \wedge
 $(\forall n \in \text{FOV } \varphi. \text{case } I ! n \text{ of } \text{Inl } - \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid - \Rightarrow \text{False}) \wedge$
 $(\forall n \in \text{SOV } \varphi. \text{case } I ! n \text{ of } \text{Inl } - \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True}))$

fun *satisfies* :: 'a interp \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \models 50) **where**
 $(w, I) \models \text{FQ } a \text{ } m = (w ! (\text{case } I ! m \text{ of } \text{Inl } p \Rightarrow p) = a)$
 $\mid (w, I) \models \text{FLess } m1 \text{ } m2 = ((\text{case } I ! m1 \text{ of } \text{Inl } p \Rightarrow p) < (\text{case } I ! m2 \text{ of } \text{Inl } p \Rightarrow p))$
 $\mid (w, I) \models \text{FIn } m \text{ } M = ((\text{case } I ! m \text{ of } \text{Inl } p \Rightarrow p) \in (\text{case } I ! M \text{ of } \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow P))$
 $\mid (w, I) \models \text{FNot } \varphi = (\neg (w, I) \models \varphi)$
 $\mid (w, I) \models (\text{FOr } \varphi_1 \text{ } \varphi_2) = ((w, I) \models \varphi_1 \vee (w, I) \models \varphi_2)$
 $\mid (w, I) \models (\text{FAnd } \varphi_1 \text{ } \varphi_2) = ((w, I) \models \varphi_1 \wedge (w, I) \models \varphi_2)$
 $\mid (w, I) \models (\text{FExists } \varphi) = (\exists p. p \in \{0 \dots \text{length } w - 1\} \wedge (w, \text{Inl } p \# I) \models \varphi)$
 $\mid (w, I) \models (\text{FEXISTS } \varphi) = (\exists P. P \subseteq \{0 \dots \text{length } w - 1\} \wedge (w, \text{Inr } P \# I) \models \varphi)$

definition *lang_{M2L}* :: nat \Rightarrow 'a formula \Rightarrow ('a \times bool list) list set **where**
lang_{M2L} *n* φ = {*enc* (*w*, *I*) \mid *w* *I*.
 $\text{length } I = n \wedge \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi \wedge \text{satisfies } (w, I) \varphi$ }

definition *dec-word* \equiv *map fst*

definition *positions-in-row* *w* *i* =
Option.these (*set* (*map-index* ($\lambda p \text{ } a\text{-bs}. \text{if } \text{nth } (\text{snd } a\text{-bs}) \text{ } i \text{ then } \text{Some } p \text{ else } \text{None}$)
w))

definition *dec-interp* *n* *FO* *w* \equiv *map* ($\lambda i.$
if *i* \in *FO*
then *Inl* (*the-elem* (*positions-in-row* *w* *i*))
else *Inr* (*positions-in-row* *w* *i*) [0..*n*])

lemma *positions-in-row*: $\text{positions-in-row } w \ i = \{p. p < \text{length } w \wedge \text{snd } (w ! p) ! i\}$
unfolding *positions-in-row-def these-def* **by** (auto intro!: image-eqI[of - the])

lemma *positions-in-row-unique*: $\exists !p. p < \text{length } w \wedge \text{snd } (w ! p) ! i \implies$
 $\text{the-elem } (\text{positions-in-row } w \ i) = (\text{THE } p. p < \text{length } w \wedge \text{snd } (w ! p) ! i)$
by (rule the1I2) (auto simp: the-elem-def positions-in-row)

lemma *positions-in-row-length*: $\exists !p. p < \text{length } w \wedge \text{snd } (w ! p) ! i \implies$
 $\text{the-elem } (\text{positions-in-row } w \ i) < \text{length } w$
unfolding *positions-in-row-unique* **by** (rule the1I2) auto

lemma *dec-interp-Inl*: $\llbracket i \in FO; i < n \rrbracket \implies \exists p. \text{dec-interp } n \ FO \ x ! i = \text{Inl } p$
unfolding *dec-interp-def* **using** nth-map[of n [0.. n]] **by** auto

lemma *dec-interp-not-Inr*: $\llbracket \text{dec-interp } n \ FO \ x ! i = \text{Inr } P; i \in FO; i < n \rrbracket \implies$
 False
unfolding *dec-interp-def* **using** nth-map[of n [0.. n]] **by** auto

lemma *dec-interp-Inr*: $\llbracket i \notin FO; i < n \rrbracket \implies \exists P. \text{dec-interp } n \ FO \ x ! i = \text{Inr } P$
unfolding *dec-interp-def* **using** nth-map[of n [0.. n]] **by** auto

lemma *dec-interp-not-Inl*: $\llbracket \text{dec-interp } n \ FO \ x ! i = \text{Inl } p; i \notin FO; i < n \rrbracket \implies$
 False
unfolding *dec-interp-def* **using** nth-map[of n [0.. n]] **by** auto

lemma *Inl-dec-interp-length*:
assumes $\forall i \in FO. \exists !p. p < \text{length } w \wedge \text{snd } (w ! p) ! i$
shows $\text{Inl } p \in \text{set } (\text{dec-interp } n \ FO \ w) \implies p < \text{length } w$
unfolding *dec-interp-def* **by** (auto intro: positions-in-row-length[OF bspec[OF assms]])

lemma *Inr-dec-interp-length*: $\llbracket \text{Inr } P \in \text{set } (\text{dec-interp } n \ FO \ w); p \in P \rrbracket \implies p <$
 $\text{length } w$
unfolding *dec-interp-def* **by** (auto simp: positions-in-row)

lemma *enc-atom-dec*:
 $\llbracket \text{wf-word } n \ w; \forall i \in FO. i < n \longrightarrow (\exists !p. p < \text{length } w \wedge \text{snd } (w ! p) ! i); p <$
 $\text{length } w \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{enc-atom } (\text{dec-interp } n \ FO \ w) \ p \ (\text{fst } (w ! p)) = w ! p$
unfolding *wf-word dec-interp-def map-filter-def Let-def*
apply (auto simp: comp-def intro!: trans[OF iffD2[OF Pair-eq] pair-collapse])
apply (intro nth-equalityI)
apply (auto simp add: σ -def set-n-lists dest!: nth-mem) []
apply (auto simp: positions-in-row)
apply (drule bspec)
apply assumption
apply (drule mp)
apply assumption

```

apply (frule positions-in-row-unique)
apply (erule notE)
apply (simp add: positions-in-row)
apply (erule the1I2)
apply simp

```

```

apply (drule bspec)
apply assumption
apply (drule mp)
apply assumption
apply (frule positions-in-row-unique)
apply (simp add: positions-in-row)
apply (rule the-equality[symmetric])
apply auto
done

```

lemma *enc-dec*:

```


$$\llbracket wf\text{-}word\ n\ w; \forall i \in FO. i < n \longrightarrow (\exists! p. p < length\ w \wedge snd\ (w\ !\ p)\ !\ i) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$$


$$enc\ (dec\text{-}word\ w, dec\text{-}interp\ n\ FO\ w) = w$$

unfolding enc.simps dec-word-def
by (intro trans[OF map-index-map map-index-congL] allI impI enc-atom-dec)
assumption+

```

lemma *dec-word-enc*: $dec\text{-}word\ (enc\ (w, I)) = w$
unfolding dec-word-def **by** auto

lemma *enc-unique*:

```

assumes wf-interp w I i < length I
shows  $\exists p. I\ !\ i = Inl\ p \Longrightarrow \exists! p. p < length\ (enc\ (w, I)) \wedge snd\ (enc\ (w, I)\ !\ p) = i$ 
proof (erule exE)
fix p assume I ! i = Inl p
with assms show ?thesis by (auto simp: map-index all-set-conv-all-nth intro!: exI[of - p])
qed

```

lemma *dec-interp-enc-Inl*:

```


$$\llbracket dec\text{-}interp\ n\ FO\ (enc\ (w, I))\ !\ i = Inl\ p'; I\ !\ i = Inl\ p; i \in FO; i < n; length\ I = n; p < length\ w; wf\text{-}interp\ w\ I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$$


$$p = p'$$

unfolding dec-interp-def using nth-map[of - [0.. $n$ ]] positions-in-row-unique[OF enc-unique]
by (auto intro: sym[OF the-equality])

```

lemma *dec-interp-enc-Inr*:

```


$$\llbracket dec\text{-}interp\ n\ FO\ (enc\ (w, I))\ !\ i = Inr\ P'; I\ !\ i = Inr\ P; i \notin FO; i < n; length\ I = n; \forall p \in P. p < length\ w \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$$


$$P = P'$$

unfolding dec-interp-def positions-in-row by auto

```

```

lemma lang-ENC:
  assumes wf-formula n  $\varphi$ 
  shows lang n (ENC n  $\varphi$ ) -  $\{\emptyset\} = \{enc(w, I) \mid w I . length I = n \wedge wf\text{-interp-for-formula}(w, I) \varphi\}$ 
  (is ?L = ?R)
proof (cases FOV  $\varphi = \{\}$ )
  case True with assms show ?thesis
    apply (auto simp: ENC-def intro!: enc-atom- $\sigma$ )
    apply (intro exI conjI)
    apply (rule trans[OF sym[OF enc-dec[of - -  $\{\}$ ]] enc.simps])
    apply (auto simp add: wf-word dec-word-def dec-interp-def)
    apply (auto simp:  $\sigma$ -def positions-in-row set-n-lists split: sum.splits)
    apply (drule trans[OF sym nth-map])
    apply (auto intro: bspec[OF max-idx-vars])
  done
next
  case False
  hence nonempty: valid-ENC n ' $\varphi \neq \{\}$ ' by simp
  have finite: finite (valid-ENC n ' $\varphi$ ') by (rule finite-imageI[OF finite-FOV])
  from False assms(1) have 0 < n by (cases n) (auto split: dest!: max-idx-vars)
  with wf-rexp-valid-ENC have wf-rexp:  $\forall x \in \text{valid-ENC } n \text{ '}\varphi\text{'}. wf \ n \ x$  by
    auto
  { fix r w I assume r  $\in$  FOV  $\varphi$  and *: length I = n wf-interp-for-formula (w, I)  $\varphi$ 
    then obtain p where p: I ! r = Inl p by (cases I ! r) auto
    moreover from  $\langle r \in \text{FOV } \varphi \rangle$  assms *(1) have r < length I by (auto dest!:
      max-idx-vars)
    ultimately have Inl p  $\in$  set I by (auto simp add: in-set-conv-nth)
    with *(2) have p < length w by auto
    with *(2) obtain a where a: w ! p = a a  $\in$  set  $\Sigma$  by auto
    from  $\langle r < \text{length } I \rangle$  p *(1)  $\langle a \in \text{set } \Sigma \rangle$ 
      have [enc-atom I p a]  $\in$  lang n (arbitrary-except n [(r, True)]  $\Sigma$ )
      by (intro enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True[OF - - - subset-refl]) auto
    moreover
    from  $\langle r < \text{length } I \rangle$  p *(1)  $\langle a \in \text{set } \Sigma \rangle$  *(2)  $\langle p < \text{length } w \rangle$ 
      have take p (enc (w, I))  $\in$  star (lang n (arbitrary-except n [(r, False)]  $\Sigma$ ))
      by (auto simp: in-set-conv-nth intro!: Ball-starI enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-False)
    auto
    moreover
    from  $\langle r < \text{length } I \rangle$  p *(1)  $\langle a \in \text{set } \Sigma \rangle$  *(2)  $\langle p < \text{length } w \rangle$ 
      have drop (Suc p) (enc (w, I))  $\in$  star (lang n (arbitrary-except n [(r, False)]  $\Sigma$ ))
      by (auto simp: in-set-conv-nth intro!: Ball-starI enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-False)
    auto
    ultimately have take p (enc (w, I)) @ [enc-atom I p a] @ drop (p + 1) (enc
      (w, I))  $\in$ 
      lang n (valid-ENC n r) using  $\langle 0 < n \rangle$  unfolding valid-ENC-def by (auto
      simp del: append.simps)
  }

```

```

with  $\langle p < \text{length } w \rangle$  a have  $\text{enc } (w, I) \in \text{lang } n \text{ (valid-ENC } n \ r)$ 
using  $\text{id-take-nth-drop}[of\ p\ \text{enc } (w, I)]$  by auto
}
hence  $?R \subseteq ?L$  using  $\text{lang-flatten-INTERSECT}[OF\ \text{finite nonempty wf-rexp}]$ 
by  $(\text{auto simp add: ENC-def})$ 
moreover
{ fix  $x$  assume  $x \in (\bigcap r \in \text{valid-ENC } n \text{ ' } FOV\ \varphi. \text{lang } n\ r)$ 
hence  $r: \forall r \in FOV\ \varphi. x \in \text{lang } n \text{ (valid-ENC } n\ r)$  by blast
have  $\text{length } (\text{dec-interp } n \text{ (FOV } \varphi) \ x) = n$  unfolding  $\text{dec-interp-def}$  by simp
moreover
{ fix  $r$  assume  $r \in FOV\ \varphi$ 
with assms have  $r < n$  using  $\text{max-idx-vars}[of\ n\ \varphi]$  by auto
from  $\langle r \in FOV\ \varphi \rangle$  r obtain  $u\ v\ w$  where  $uvw: x = u @ v @ w$ 
 $u \in \text{star } (\text{lang } n \text{ (arbitrary-except } n \ [(r, \text{False})] \ \Sigma))$ 
 $v \in \text{lang } n \text{ (arbitrary-except } n \ [(r, \text{True})] \ \Sigma)$ 
 $w \in \text{star } (\text{lang } n \text{ (arbitrary-except } n \ [(r, \text{False})] \ \Sigma))$  using  $\langle 0 < n \rangle$  unfolding
 $\text{valid-ENC-def}$  by fastforce
hence  $\text{length } u < \text{length } x \wedge i. i < \text{length } x \longrightarrow \text{snd } (x ! i) ! r \longleftrightarrow i = \text{length } u$ 
by  $(\text{auto simp: nth-append nth-Cons' split: split-if-asm}$ 
 $\text{dest!: arbitrary-except}[OF - \langle r < n \rangle]$ 
 $\text{dest: star-arbitrary-except}[OF - \langle r < n \rangle, of\ u]$ 
 $\text{elim!: iffD1}[OF\ \text{star-arbitrary-except}[OF - \langle r < n \rangle, of\ w\ \text{False}]])$  auto
hence  $\exists ! p. p < \text{length } x \wedge \text{snd } (x ! p) ! r$  by auto
} note  $* = \text{this}$ 
have  $x\text{-wf-word}: \text{wf-word } n\ x$  using  $\text{wf-lang-wf-word}[OF\ \text{wf-rexp-valid-ENC}]$ 
 $\text{False } r$  by auto
with  $*$  have  $x = \text{enc } (\text{dec-word } x, \text{dec-interp } n \text{ (FOV } \varphi) \ x)$  by  $(\text{intro sym}[OF\ \text{enc-dec}])$  auto
moreover
from  $*$  have  $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (\text{dec-word } x, \text{dec-interp } n \text{ (FOV } \varphi) \ x) \ \varphi$ 
using  $r\ \text{False } x\text{-wf-word}[\text{unfolded wf-word, unfolded } \sigma\text{-def}]$  assms
apply  $(\text{auto simp: dec-word-def split: sum.splits})$ 
apply fastforce
using  $\text{Inl-dec-interp-length}[OF\ \text{ballI}]$  apply blast
using  $\text{Inr-dec-interp-length}$  apply blast
using  $\text{dec-interp-Inl}[OF - \text{bspec}[OF\ \text{max-idx-vars}], of - FOV\ \varphi\ n\ \varphi\ x]$  apply
force
using  $\text{dec-interp-Inr}[OF - \text{bspec}[OF\ \text{max-idx-vars}], of - FOV\ \varphi\ n\ \varphi\ x]$  apply
fastforce
done
ultimately have  $x \in \{\text{enc } (w, I) \mid w\ I. \text{length } I = n \wedge \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \ \varphi\}$  by blast
}
with  $\text{False lang-flatten-INTERSECT}[OF\ \text{finite nonempty wf-rexp}]$  have  $?L \subseteq ?R$ 
by  $(\text{auto simp add: ENC-def})$ 
ultimately show  $?thesis$  by blast
qed

```

7.2 Welldefinedness of enc wrt. Models

lemma *enc-alt-def*:

$enc (w, x \# I) = map-index (\lambda n (a, bs). (a, (case x of Inl p \Rightarrow n = p \mid Inr P \Rightarrow n \in P) \# bs)) (enc (w, I))$
by (*auto simp: comp-def*)

lemma *enc-extend-interp*: $enc (w, I) = enc (w', I') \implies enc (w, x \# I) = enc (w', x \# I')$

unfolding *enc-alt-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *wf-interp-for-formula-FExists*:

$\llbracket wf-formula (length I) (FExists \varphi); w \neq [] \rrbracket \implies$
 $wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FExists \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (\forall p < length w. wf-interp-for-formula (w, Inl p \# I) \varphi)$

apply (*clarsimp split: sum.splits split-if-asm*)

apply *safe*

apply (*metis (hide-lams) DiffI Suc-pred gr0I nth-Cons-0 nth-Cons-Suc singleton-iff sum.simps(4)*)

apply (*metis diff-Suc-Suc diff-zero nat.exhaust nth-Cons-Suc*)

apply (*metis length-greater-0-conv*)

apply (*metis length-greater-0-conv*)

apply (*metis length-greater-0-conv*)

apply (*metis diff-Suc-Suc gr0-implies-Suc length-greater-0-conv minus-nat.diff-0 nth-Cons-Suc*)

apply (*auto simp: nth-Cons' split: split-if-asm*)

done

lemma *wf-interp-for-formula-any-Inl*: $wf-interp-for-formula (w, Inl p \# I) \varphi \implies$

$\forall p < length w. wf-interp-for-formula (w, Inl p \# I) \varphi$

by (*auto simp: nth-Cons' split: split-if-asm*)

lemma *wf-interp-for-formula-FEXISTS*:

$\llbracket wf-formula (length I) (FEXISTS \varphi); w \neq [] \rrbracket \implies$
 $wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FEXISTS \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (\forall P \subseteq \{0 .. length w - 1\}. wf-interp-for-formula (w, Inr P \# I) \varphi)$

apply (*clarsimp split: sum.splits split-if-asm*)

apply *safe*

apply (*cases w*)

apply *auto [2]*

apply (*metis Suc-pred gr0I nth-Cons-Suc*)

apply (*metis (hide-lams) DiffI Suc-pred gr0I nth-Cons-0 nth-Cons-Suc singleton-iff sum.simps(4)*)

unfolding *sym[OF length-greater-0-conv] nth-Cons' One-nat-def*

apply *auto [2]*

apply (*metis empty-subsetI*)

apply (*metis empty-subsetI*)

apply (*metis empty-subsetI neq0-conv*)

done

lemma *wf-interp-for-formula-any-Inr*: $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, \text{Inr } P \# I) \varphi \implies \forall P \subseteq \{0 \dots \text{length } w - 1\}. \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, \text{Inr } P \# I) \varphi$
by (cases w) (auto simp: nth-Cons' split: sum.splits split-if-asm)

lemma *enc-word-length*: $\text{enc } (w, I) = \text{enc } (w', I') \implies \text{length } w = \text{length } w'$
by (auto elim: map-index-eq-imp-length-eq)

lemma *enc-length*:
assumes $w \neq []$ $\text{enc } (w, I) = \text{enc } (w', I')$
shows $\text{length } I = \text{length } I'$
using *assms*
 $\text{length-map[of } (\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of Inl } p \Rightarrow 0 = p \mid \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow 0 \in P) I]$
 $\text{length-map[of } (\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of Inl } p \Rightarrow 0 = p \mid \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow 0 \in P) I']$
by (induct rule: list-induct2[OF *enc-word-length* [OF *assms*(2)]] auto)

lemma *wf-interp-for-formula-FOr*:
 $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) (\text{FOr } \varphi 1 \varphi 2) =$
 $(\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi 1 \wedge \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi 2)$
by auto

lemma *wf-interp-for-formula-FAnd*:
 $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) (\text{FAnd } \varphi 1 \varphi 2) =$
 $(\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi 1 \wedge \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi 2)$
by auto

lemma *enc-wf-interp*:
assumes $\text{wf-formula } (\text{length } I) \varphi$ $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi$
shows $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (\text{dec-word } (\text{enc } (w, I)), \text{dec-interp } (\text{length } I) (\text{FOV } \varphi) (\text{enc } (w, I))) \varphi$
(is $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (-, ?\text{dec}) \varphi$
unfolding *dec-word-enc*
proof –
{ **fix** i **assume** $i \in \text{FOV } \varphi$
with *assms*(2) **have** $\exists p. I ! i = \text{Inl } p$ **by** (cases $I ! i$) auto
with i *assms* **have** $\exists ! p. p < \text{length } (\text{enc } (w, I)) \wedge \text{snd } (\text{enc } (w, I) ! p) ! i$
by (intro *enc-unique*[of w I i]) (auto intro!: *bspec*[OF *max-idx-vars*] *split*: *sum.splits*)
} **note** $*$ = *this*
have $\forall x \in \text{set } ?\text{dec}. \text{sum-case } (\lambda p. p < \text{length } w) (\lambda P. \forall p \in P. p < \text{length } w) x$
proof (intro *ballI*, *split* *sum.split*, *safe*)
fix p **assume** $\text{Inl } p \in \text{set } ?\text{dec}$
thus $p < \text{length } w$ **using** *Inl-dec-interp-length*[OF *ballI* [OF $*$]] **by** auto
next
fix p P **assume** $\text{Inr } P \in \text{set } ?\text{dec}$ $p \in P$
thus $p < \text{length } w$ **using** *Inr-dec-interp-length* **by** *fastforce*
qed
thus $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, ?\text{dec}) \varphi$
using *assms*
 $\text{dec-interp-Inl[of } - \text{ FOV } \varphi \text{ length } I \text{ enc } (w, I), \text{ OF - } \text{bspec[OF max-idx-vars]}]$

```

    dec-interp-Inr[of - FOV  $\varphi$  length  $I$  enc  $(w, I)$ , OF - bspec[OF max-idx-vars]]
  by (fastforce split: sum.splits)
qed

lemma enc-welldef:  $\llbracket \text{enc } (w, I) = \text{enc } (w', I'); \text{wf-formula } (\text{length } I) \varphi;$ 
 $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi; \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w', I') \varphi \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{satisfies } (w, I) \varphi \longleftrightarrow \text{satisfies } (w', I') \varphi$ 
proof (induction  $\varphi$  arbitrary:  $I I'$ )
  case (FQ  $a m$ )
    let ?dec =  $\lambda w I. (\text{dec-word } (\text{enc } (w, I)), \text{dec-interp } (\text{length } I) (\text{FOV } (FQ \ a \ m))$ 
    ( $\text{enc } (w, I)))$ 
    from FQ(2,3) have  $\text{satisfies } (w, I) (FQ \ a \ m) = \text{satisfies } (?dec \ w \ I) (FQ \ a \ m)$ 
    unfolding dec-word-enc
    using dec-interp-enc-Inl[of length  $I \ \{m\}$   $w \ I \ m$ ]
    by (auto intro: nth-mem dest: dec-interp-not-Inr split: sum.splits) (metis
    nth-mem)+
    moreover
    from FQ(3) have  $w \neq []$  by simp
    from FQ(2,4) have  $\text{satisfies } (w', I') (FQ \ a \ m) = \text{satisfies } (?dec \ w' \ I') (FQ \ a \ m)$ 
    unfolding dec-word-enc enc-length[OF * FQ(1)]
    using dec-interp-enc-Inl[of length  $I' \ \{m\}$   $w' \ I' \ m$ ]
    by (auto dest: dec-interp-not-Inr split: sum.splits) (metis nth-mem)+
    ultimately show ?case unfolding FQ(1) enc-length[OF * FQ(1)] ..
  next
    case (FLess  $m \ n$ )
    let ?dec =  $\lambda w I. (\text{dec-word } (\text{enc } (w, I)), \text{dec-interp } (\text{length } I) (\text{FOV } (FLess \ m \ n))$ 
    ( $\text{enc } (w, I)))$ 
    from FLess(2,3) have  $\text{satisfies } (w, I) (FLess \ m \ n) = \text{satisfies } (?dec \ (TYPE \ ('a)) \ w \ I) (FLess \ m \ n)$ 
    unfolding dec-word-enc
    using dec-interp-enc-Inl[of length  $I \ \{m, n\}$   $w \ I \ m$ ] dec-interp-enc-Inl[of length
     $I \ \{m, n\}$   $w \ I \ n$ ]
    by (fastforce intro: nth-mem dest: dec-interp-not-Inr split: sum.splits)
    moreover
    from FLess(3) have  $w \neq []$  by simp
    from FLess(2,4) have  $\text{satisfies } (w', I') (FLess \ m \ n) = \text{satisfies } (?dec \ (TYPE \ ('a)) \ w' \ I') (FLess \ m \ n)$ 
    unfolding dec-word-enc enc-length[OF * FLess(1)]
    using dec-interp-enc-Inl[of length  $I' \ \{m, n\}$   $w' \ I' \ m$ ] dec-interp-enc-Inl[of length
     $I' \ \{m, n\}$   $w' \ I' \ n$ ]
    by (fastforce intro: nth-mem dest: dec-interp-not-Inr split: sum.splits)
    ultimately show ?case unfolding FLess(1) enc-length[OF * FLess(1)] ..
  next
    case (FIn  $m \ M$ )
    let ?dec =  $\lambda w I. (\text{dec-word } (\text{enc } (w, I)), \text{dec-interp } (\text{length } I) (\text{FOV } (FIn \ m \ M))$ 
    ( $\text{enc } (w, I)))$ 
    from FIn(2,3) have  $\text{satisfies } (w, I) (FIn \ m \ M) = \text{satisfies } (?dec \ (TYPE \ ('a)) \ w \ I) (FIn \ m \ M)$ 

```

```

    unfolding dec-word-enc
    using dec-interp-enc-Inl[of length I {m} w I m] dec-interp-enc-Inr[of length I
{m} w I M]
    by (auto dest: dec-interp-not-Inr dec-interp-not-Inl split: sum.splits) (metis
nth-mem)+
    moreover
    from FIn(3) have *: w ≠ [] by simp
    from FIn(2,4) have satisfies (w', I') (FIn m M) = satisfies (?dec (TYPE ('a))
w' I') (FIn m M)
    unfolding dec-word-enc enc-length[OF * FIn(1)]
    using dec-interp-enc-Inl[of length I' {m} w' I' m] dec-interp-enc-Inr[of length
I' {m} w' I' M]
    by (auto dest: dec-interp-not-Inr dec-interp-not-Inl split: sum.splits) (metis
nth-mem)+
    ultimately show ?case unfolding FIn(1) enc-length[OF * FIn(1)] ..
next
case (FOr  $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$ ) show ?case unfolding satisfies.simps(5)
proof (intro disj-cong)
    from FOr(3-6) show satisfies (w, I)  $\varphi 1$  = satisfies (w', I')  $\varphi 1$ 
    by (intro FOr(1)) auto
next
    from FOr(3-6) show satisfies (w, I)  $\varphi 2$  = satisfies (w', I')  $\varphi 2$ 
    by (intro FOr(2)) auto
qed
next
case (FAnd  $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$ ) show ?case unfolding satisfies.simps(6)
proof (intro conj-cong)
    from FAnd(3-6) show satisfies (w, I)  $\varphi 1$  = satisfies (w', I')  $\varphi 1$ 
    by (intro FAnd(1)) auto
next
    from FAnd(3-6) show satisfies (w, I)  $\varphi 2$  = satisfies (w', I')  $\varphi 2$ 
    by (intro FAnd(2)) auto
qed
next
case (FExists  $\varphi$ )
hence w ≠ [] w' ≠ [] by auto
hence length: length w = length w' length I = length I'
    using enc-word-length[OF FExists.prem(1)] enc-length[OF - FExists.prem(1)]
by auto
show ?case
proof
    assume satisfies (w, I) (FExists  $\varphi$ )
    with FExists.prem(3) obtain p where p < length w satisfies (w, Inl p # I)
 $\varphi$ 
    by (auto intro: ord-less-eq-trans[OF le-imp-less-Suc Suc-pred])
    moreover
    with FExists.prem have satisfies (w', Inl p # I')  $\varphi$ 
    apply (intro iffD1[OF FExists.IH[of Inl p # I Inl p # I']])
    apply (elim enc-extend-interp)

```



```

    apply (auto split: sum.splits split-if-asm) []
    apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FExists[OF - ⟨w ≠ []⟩])
    apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FExists[OF - ⟨w' ≠ []⟩, of I', unfolded
length[symmetric]])
    apply assumption
    done
    ultimately show satisfies (w', I') (FExists φ) using length by (auto intro!:
exI[of - p])
  next
    assume satisfies (w', I') (FExists φ)
    with FExists.prem1(1,2,4) obtain p where p < length w' satisfies (w', Inl p
# I') φ
    by (auto intro: ord-less-eq-trans[OF le-imp-less-Suc Suc-pred])
    moreover
    with FExists.prem1 have satisfies (w, Inl p # I) φ
    apply (intro iffD2[OF FExists.IH[of Inl p # I Inl p # I']])
    apply (elim enc-extend-interp)
    apply (auto split: sum.splits split-if-asm) []
    apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FExists[OF - ⟨w ≠ []⟩, of I, unfolded
length(1)])
    apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FExists[OF - ⟨w' ≠ []⟩, of I', unfolded
length(2)[symmetric]])
    apply assumption
    done
    ultimately show satisfies (w, I) (FExists φ) using length by (auto intro!:
exI[of - p])
  qed
next
  case (FEXISTS φ)
  hence w ≠ [] w' ≠ [] by auto
  hence length: length w = length w' length I = length I'
  using enc-word-length[OF FEXISTS.prem1(1)] enc-length[OF - FEXISTS.prem1(1)]
by auto
  show ?case
  proof
    assume satisfies (w, I) (FEXISTS φ)
    then obtain P where P ⊆ {0 .. length w - 1} satisfies (w, Inr P # I) φ by
auto
    moreover
    with FEXISTS.prem1 have satisfies (w', Inr P # I') φ
    apply (intro iffD1[OF FEXISTS.IH[of Inr P # I Inr P # I']])
    apply (elim enc-extend-interp)
    apply (auto split: sum.splits split-if-asm) []
    apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FEXISTS[OF - ⟨w ≠ []⟩])
    apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FEXISTS[OF - ⟨w' ≠ []⟩, of I',
unfolded length[symmetric]])
    apply assumption
    done
    ultimately show satisfies (w', I') (FEXISTS φ) using length by (auto intro!:

```

```

exI[of - P])
next
  assume satisfies (w', I') (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ )
  then obtain P where P:  $P \subseteq \{0 \dots \text{length } w' - 1\}$  satisfies (w', Inr P # I')
 $\varphi$  by auto
moreover
  with FEXISTS.premis have satisfies (w, Inr P # I)  $\varphi$ 
  apply (intro iffD2[OF FEXISTS.IH[of Inr P # I Inr P # I']])
  apply (elim enc-extend-interp)
  apply (auto split: sum.splits split-if-asm) []
  apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FEXISTS[OF -  $\langle w \neq [] \rangle$ , of I, unfolded
length(1)])
    apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FEXISTS[OF -  $\langle w' \neq [] \rangle$ , of I',
unfolded length(2)[symmetric]])
    apply assumption
  done
ultimately show satisfies (w, I) (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ ) using length by (auto intro!:
exI[of - P])
qed
qed auto

```

```

lemma langM2L-FOr:
  assumes wf-formula n (FOr  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )
  shows langM2L n (FOr  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )  $\subseteq$ 
    (langM2L n  $\varphi_1 \cup \text{lang}_{M2L}$  n  $\varphi_2$ )  $\cap \{ \text{enc } (w, I) \mid w \text{ I. length } I = n \wedge$ 
wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FOr  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )  $\}$ 
    (is -  $\subseteq$  ( $?L1 \cup ?L2$ )  $\cap ?ENC$ )
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix x assume x  $\in$  langM2L n (FOr  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )
  then obtain w I where
    *: x = enc (w, I) wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FOr  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ ) length I = n length
w > 0 and
    satisfies (w, I)  $\varphi_1 \vee$  satisfies (w, I)  $\varphi_2$  unfolding langM2L-def by auto
  thus x  $\in$  ( $?L1 \cup ?L2$ )  $\cap ?ENC$ 
proof (elim disjE)
  assume satisfies (w, I)  $\varphi_1$ 
  with * have x  $\in$  ?L1 using assms unfolding langM2L-def by (fastforce)
  with * show ?thesis by auto
next
  assume satisfies (w, I)  $\varphi_2$ 
  with * have x  $\in$  ?L2 using assms unfolding langM2L-def by (fastforce)
  with * show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma langM2L-FAnd:
  assumes wf-formula n (FAnd  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )
  shows langM2L n (FAnd  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )  $\subseteq$ 
    langM2L n  $\varphi_1 \cap \text{lang}_{M2L}$  n  $\varphi_2 \cap \{ \text{enc } (w, I) \mid w \text{ I. length } I = n \wedge$ 
wf-interp-for-formula

```

$(w, I) (FAnd \varphi_1 \varphi_2)\}$
 $(is - \subseteq ?L1 \cap ?L2 \cap ?ENC)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *lang_{M2L}-def* **by** (*fastforce*)

7.3 From M2L to Regular expressions

fun *rexp-of* :: *nat* \Rightarrow '*a* *formula* \Rightarrow ('*a* \times *bool list*) *rexp* **where**
 $rexp\text{-}of\ n\ (FQ\ a\ m) =$
 $Inter\ (TIMES\ [rexp.Not\ Zero,\ arbitrary\text{-}except\ n\ [(m,\ True)]\ [a],\ rexp.Not\ Zero])$
 $(ENC\ n\ (FQ\ a\ m))$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\ n\ (FLess\ m1\ m2) = (if\ m1 = m2\ then\ Zero\ else$
 $Inter\ (TIMES\ [rexp.Not\ Zero,\ arbitrary\text{-}except\ n\ [(m1,\ True)]\ \Sigma,$
 $rexp.Not\ Zero,\ arbitrary\text{-}except\ n\ [(m2,\ True)]\ \Sigma,$
 $rexp.Not\ Zero])\ (ENC\ n\ (FLess\ m1\ m2)))$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\ n\ (FIn\ m\ M) =$
 $Inter\ (TIMES\ [rexp.Not\ Zero,\ arbitrary\text{-}except\ n\ [(min\ m\ M,\ True),\ (max\ m\ M,\ True)]\ \Sigma,\ rexp.Not\ Zero])$
 $(ENC\ n\ (FIn\ m\ M))$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\ n\ (FNot\ \varphi) = Inter\ (rexp.Not\ (rexp\text{-}of\ n\ \varphi))\ (ENC\ n\ (FNot\ \varphi))$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\ n\ (FOr\ \varphi_1\ \varphi_2) = Inter\ (Plus\ (rexp\text{-}of\ n\ \varphi_1)\ (rexp\text{-}of\ n\ \varphi_2))\ (ENC\ n\ (FOr\ \varphi_1\ \varphi_2))$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\ n\ (FAnd\ \varphi_1\ \varphi_2) = INTERSECT\ [rexp\text{-}of\ n\ \varphi_1,\ rexp\text{-}of\ n\ \varphi_2,\ ENC\ n\ (FAnd\ \varphi_1\ \varphi_2)]$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\ n\ (FExists\ \varphi) = Pr\ (rexp\text{-}of\ (n + 1)\ \varphi)$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\ n\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi) = Pr\ (rexp\text{-}of\ (n + 1)\ \varphi)$

fun *rexp-of-alt* :: *nat* \Rightarrow '*a* *formula* \Rightarrow ('*a* \times *bool list*) *rexp* **where**
 $rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ (FQ\ a\ m) =$
 $TIMES\ [rexp.Not\ Zero,\ arbitrary\text{-}except\ n\ [(m,\ True)]\ [a],\ rexp.Not\ Zero]$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ (FLess\ m1\ m2) = (if\ m1 = m2\ then\ Zero\ else$
 $TIMES\ [rexp.Not\ Zero,\ arbitrary\text{-}except\ n\ [(m1,\ True)]\ \Sigma,$
 $rexp.Not\ Zero,\ arbitrary\text{-}except\ n\ [(m2,\ True)]\ \Sigma,$
 $rexp.Not\ Zero])$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ (FIn\ m\ M) =$
 $TIMES\ [rexp.Not\ Zero,\ arbitrary\text{-}except\ n\ [(min\ m\ M,\ True),\ (max\ m\ M,\ True)]\ \Sigma,\ rexp.Not\ Zero]$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ (FNot\ \varphi) = rexp.Not\ (rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ \varphi)$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ (FOr\ \varphi_1\ \varphi_2) = Plus\ (rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ \varphi_1)\ (rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ \varphi_2)$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ (FAnd\ \varphi_1\ \varphi_2) = Inter\ (rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ \varphi_1)\ (rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ \varphi_2)$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ (FExists\ \varphi) = Pr\ (Inter\ (rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ (n + 1)\ \varphi)\ (ENC\ (n + 1)\ \varphi))$
 $| rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi) = Pr\ (Inter\ (rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ (n + 1)\ \varphi)\ (ENC\ (n + 1)\ \varphi))$

definition $rexp\text{-}of'\ n\ \varphi = Inter\ (rexp\text{-}of\text{-}alt\ n\ \varphi)\ (ENC\ n\ \varphi)$

lemma *length-dec-interp[simp]*: $length\ (dec\text{-}interp\ n\ FO\ x) = n$
unfolding *dec-interp-def* **by** *auto*

theorem *lang_{M2L}-rexp-of*: $wf\text{-}formula\ n\ \varphi \implies lang_{M2L}\ n\ \varphi = lang\ n\ (rexp\text{-}of\ n\ \varphi)$

```

 $\varphi) - \{\emptyset\}$ 
  (is  $- \implies - = ?L \ n \ \varphi$ )
proof (induct  $\varphi$  arbitrary:  $n$ )
  case (FQ  $a \ m$ )
  show ?case
  proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
    fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{lang}_{M2L} \ n \ (FQ \ a \ m)$ 
    then obtain  $w \ I$  where
      *:  $x = \text{enc} \ (w, I) \ \text{wf-interp-for-formula} \ (w, I) \ (FQ \ a \ m) \ \text{satisfies} \ (w, I) \ (FQ \ a \ m)$ 
      length  $I = n$ 
    unfolding  $\text{lang}_{M2L}\text{-def}$  by blast
    with FQ(1) obtain  $p$  where  $p: p < \text{length} \ w \ I \ ! \ m = \text{Inl} \ p \ w \ ! \ p = a$ 
    by (auto simp: all-set-conv-all-nth split: sum.splits)
    with *(1) have  $x = \text{take} \ p \ (\text{enc} \ (w, I)) \ @ \ [\text{enc-atom} \ I \ p \ a] \ @ \ \text{drop} \ (p + 1)$ 
    ( $\text{enc} \ (w, I)$ )
    using id-take-nth-drop[of  $p \ \text{enc} \ (w, I)$ ] by auto
    moreover from *(4) FQ(1)  $p(2)$ 
    have  $[\text{enc-atom} \ I \ p \ a] \in \text{lang} \ n \ (\text{arbitrary-except} \ n \ [(m, \text{True})] \ [a])$ 
    by (intro enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True) auto
    moreover from *(2,4) have  $\text{take} \ p \ (\text{enc} \ (w, I)) \in \text{lang} \ n \ (\text{rexp.Not} \ \text{Zero})$ 
    by (auto intro!: enc-atom- $\sigma$  dest!: in-set-takeD)
    moreover from *(2,4) have  $\text{drop} \ (\text{Suc} \ p) \ (\text{enc} \ (w, I)) \in \text{lang} \ n \ (\text{rexp.Not} \ \text{Zero})$ 
    by (auto intro!: enc-atom- $\sigma$  dest!: in-set-dropD)
    ultimately show  $x \in ?L \ n \ (FQ \ a \ m)$  using *(1,2,4)
    unfolding rexp-of.simps lang.simps lang-ENC[OF FQ] Int-Diff by atomize-elim
    by (auto elim: ssubst simp del: o-apply append.simps lang.simps)
  next
    fix  $x$  assume  $x: x \in ?L \ n \ (FQ \ a \ m)$ 
    with FQ obtain  $w \ I \ p$  where  $m: I \ ! \ m = \text{Inl} \ p \ m < \text{length} \ I$  and
       $wI: x = \text{enc} \ (w, I) \ \text{length} \ I = n \ \text{wf-interp-for-formula} \ (w, I) \ (FQ \ a \ m)$ 
    unfolding rexp-of.simps lang.simps lang-ENC[OF FQ] Int-Diff by atomize-elim
    (auto split: sum.splits)
    hence  $\text{wf-interp-for-formula} \ (\text{dec-word} \ x, \text{dec-interp} \ n \ \{m\} \ x) \ (FQ \ a \ m)$  un-
folding  $wI(1)$ 
    using enc-wf-interp[OF FQ(1)[folded  $wI(2)$ ]] by auto
    moreover
    from  $x$  obtain  $u1 \ u \ u2$  where  $x = u1 \ @ \ u \ @ \ u2 \ u \in \text{lang} \ n \ (\text{arbitrary-except} \ n \ [(m, \text{True})] \ [a])$ 
    unfolding rexp-of.simps lang.simps rexp-of-list.simps using concE by fast
    with FQ(1) obtain  $v$  where  $v: x = u1 \ @ \ [v] \ @ \ u2 \ \text{snd} \ v \ ! \ m \ \text{fst} \ v = a$ 
    using arbitrary-except[of  $u \ n \ m \ \text{True} \ [a]$ ] by fastforce
    hence  $u: \text{length} \ u1 < \text{length} \ x$  by auto
    { from  $v$  have  $\text{snd} \ (x \ ! \ \text{length} \ u1) \ ! \ m$  by auto
    moreover
    from  $m \ wI$  have  $p < \text{length} \ x \ \text{snd} \ (x \ ! \ p) \ ! \ m$ 
    by (fastforce intro: nth-mem split: sum.splits)+

```

```

moreover
from  $m$   $wI$  have  $ex1: \exists! p. p < \text{length } x \wedge \text{snd } (x ! p) ! m$  unfolding  $wI(1)$ 
by (intro enc-unique) auto
  ultimately have  $p = \text{length } u1$  using  $u$  by auto
} note  $*$  = this
from  $v$  have  $v = \text{enc } (w, I) ! \text{length } u1$  unfolding  $wI(1)$  by simp
  hence  $a = w ! \text{length } u1$  using  $\text{nth-map}[OF\ u, of\ fst]$  unfolding  $wI(1)$ 
 $v(3)[\text{symmetric}]$  by auto
with  $*\ m\ wI$  have satisfies ( $\text{dec-word } x, \text{dec-interp } n\ \{m\}\ x$ ) ( $FQ\ a\ m$ )
  unfolding  $\text{dec-word-enc}[of\ w\ I, folded\ wI(1)]$ 
  by (auto simp del: enc.simps dest: dec-interp-not-Inr split: sum.splits)
    (fastforce dest!: dec-interp-enc-Inl intro: nth-mem split: sum.splits)
  moreover from  $wI$  have  $\text{wf-word } n\ x$  unfolding  $\text{wf-word}$  by (auto intro!: enc-atom-σ)
  ultimately show  $x \in \text{lang}_{M2L}\ n$  ( $FQ\ a\ m$ ) unfolding  $\text{lang}_{M2L}\text{-def}$  using  $m\ wI(3)$ 
  by (auto simp del: enc.simps intro!: exI[of - dec-word x] exI[of - dec-interp n {m} x])
    (intro: sym[OF enc-dec[OF - ballI[OF impI[OF enc-unique[of w I, folded wI(1)]]]]])
qed
next
case ( $FLess\ m\ m'$ )
show ?case
proof (cases m = m')
  case False
  thus ?thesis
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{lang}_{M2L}\ n$  ( $FLess\ m\ m'$ )
  then obtain  $w\ I$  where
     $*$ :  $x = \text{enc } (w, I)\ \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I)\ (FLess\ m\ m')\ \text{satisfies } (w, I)\ (FLess\ m\ m')$ 
     $\text{length } I = n$ 
  unfolding  $\text{lang}_{M2L}\text{-def}$  by blast
  with  $FLess(1)$  obtain  $p\ q$  where  $pq: p < \text{length } w\ I ! m = \text{Inl } p\ q < \text{length } w\ I ! m' = \text{Inl } q\ p < q$ 
  by (auto simp: all-set-conv-all-nth split: sum.splits)
  with  $*(1)$  have  $x = \text{take } p\ (\text{enc } (w, I))\ @\ [\text{enc-atom } I\ p\ (w ! p)]\ @\ \text{drop } (p + 1)\ (\text{enc } (w, I))$ 
  using  $\text{id-take-nth-drop}[of\ p\ \text{enc } (w, I)]$  by auto
  also have  $\text{drop } (p + 1)\ (\text{enc } (w, I)) = \text{take } (q - p - 1)\ (\text{drop } (p + 1)\ (\text{enc } (w, I)))\ @\ [\text{enc-atom } I\ q\ (w ! q)]\ @\ \text{drop } (q - p)\ (\text{drop } (p + 1)\ (\text{enc } (w, I)))$  (is - = ?LHS)
  using  $\text{id-take-nth-drop}[of\ q - p - 1\ \text{drop } (p + 1)\ (\text{enc } (w, I))]$   $pq$  by auto
  finally have  $x = \text{take } p\ (\text{enc } (w, I))\ @\ [\text{enc-atom } I\ p\ (w ! p)]\ @\ ?LHS$  .
  moreover from  $*(2,4)\ FLess(1)\ pq(1,2)$ 
  have  $[\text{enc-atom } I\ p\ (w ! p)] \in \text{lang } n\ (\text{arbitrary-except } n\ [(m, \text{True})]\ \Sigma)$ 
  by (intro enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True) auto

```

```

moreover from  $*(2,4)$   $FLess(1)$   $pq(3,4)$ 
  have  $[enc\text{-}atom\ I\ q\ (w\ !\ q)] \in lang\ n\ (arbitrary\text{-}except\ n\ [(m',\ True)]\ \Sigma)$ 
    by  $(intro\ enc\text{-}atom\text{-}lang\text{-}arbitrary\text{-}except\text{-}True)$  auto
moreover from  $*(2,4)$  have  $take\ p\ (enc\ (w,\ I)) \in lang\ n\ (rexp.\text{Not}\ Zero)$ 
  by  $(auto\ intro!\!: enc\text{-}atom\text{-}\sigma\ dest!\!: in\text{-}set\text{-}takeD)$ 
moreover from  $*(2,4)$  have  $take\ (q - p - 1)\ (drop\ (Suc\ p)\ (enc\ (w,\ I)))$ 
 $\in lang\ n\ (rexp.\text{Not}\ Zero)$ 
  by  $(auto\ intro!\!: enc\text{-}atom\text{-}\sigma\ dest!\!: in\text{-}set\text{-}dropD\ in\text{-}set\text{-}takeD)$ 
moreover from  $*(2,4)$  have  $drop\ (q - p)\ (drop\ (Suc\ p)\ (enc\ (w,\ I))) \in$ 
 $lang\ n\ (rexp.\text{Not}\ Zero)$ 
  by  $(auto\ intro!\!: enc\text{-}atom\text{-}\sigma\ dest!\!: in\text{-}set\text{-}dropD)$ 
ultimately show  $x \in ?L\ n\ (FLess\ m\ m')$  using  $*(1,2,4)$ 
unfolding  $rexp\text{-}of.\text{simps}\ lang.\text{simps}(5,8)\ rexp\text{-}of\text{-}list.\text{simps}\ Int\text{-}Diff\ lang\text{-}ENC[OF\$ 
 $FLess]\ if\text{-}not\text{-}P[OF\ False]$ 
  by  $(auto\ elim:\ ssubst\ simp\ del:\ o\text{-}apply\ append.\text{simps}\ lang.\text{simps})$ 
next
fix  $x$  assume  $x: x \in ?L\ n\ (FLess\ m\ m')$ 
with  $FLess$  obtain  $w\ I$  where
   $wI: x = enc\ (w,\ I)\ length\ I = n\ wf\text{-}interp\text{-}for\text{-}formula\ (w,\ I)\ (FLess\ m\ m')$ 
unfolding  $rexp\text{-}of.\text{simps}\ lang.\text{simps}\ lang\text{-}ENC[OF\ FLess]\ Int\text{-}Diff\ if\text{-}not\text{-}P[OF\$ 
 $False]$ 
  by  $(fastforce\ split:\ sum.\text{splits})$ 
with  $FLess$  obtain  $p\ p'$  where  $m: I\ !\ m = Inl\ p\ m < length\ I\ I\ !\ m' = Inl\$ 
 $p'\ m' < length\ I$ 
  by  $(auto\ split:\ sum.\text{splits})$ 
with  $wI$  have  $wf\text{-}interp\text{-}for\text{-}formula\ (dec\text{-}word\ x,\ dec\text{-}interp\ n\ \{m,\ m'\}\ x)$ 
 $(FLess\ m\ m')$  unfolding  $wI(1)$ 
  using  $enc\text{-}wf\text{-}interp[OF\ FLess(1)[folded\ wI(2)]]$  by auto
moreover
from  $x$  obtain  $u1\ u2\ u'\ u3$  where  $x = u1\ @\ u\ @\ u2\ @\ u'\ @\ u3$ 
   $u \in lang\ n\ (arbitrary\text{-}except\ n\ [(m,\ True)]\ \Sigma)$ 
   $u' \in lang\ n\ (arbitrary\text{-}except\ n\ [(m',\ True)]\ \Sigma)$ 
  unfolding  $rexp\text{-}of.\text{simps}\ lang.\text{simps}\ rexp\text{-}of\text{-}list.\text{simps}\ if\text{-}not\text{-}P[OF\ False]$ 
using concE by fast
with  $FLess(1)$  obtain  $v\ v'$  where  $v: x = u1\ @\ [v]\ @\ u2\ @\ [v']\ @\ u3\ snd\ v$ 
 $! m\ snd\ v' ! m'$ 
  using  $arbitrary\text{-}except[of\ u\ n\ m\ True\ \Sigma]\ arbitrary\text{-}except[of\ u'\ n\ m'\ True\$ 
 $\Sigma]$  by fastforce
  hence  $u: length\ u1 < length\ x$  and  $u': Suc\ (length\ u1 + length\ u2) < length\$ 
 $x$  (is  $?u' < -)$  by auto
  { from  $v$  have  $snd\ (x\ !\ length\ u1) ! m$  by auto
    moreover
    from  $m\ wI$  have  $p < length\ x\ snd\ (x\ !\ p) ! m$ 
    by  $(fastforce\ intro:\ nth\text{-}mem\ split:\ sum.\text{splits})+$ 
    moreover
    from  $m\ wI$  have  $ex1: \exists!p. p < length\ x \wedge snd\ (x\ !\ p) ! m$  unfolding  $wI(1)$ 
by  $(intro\ enc\text{-}unique)$  auto
    ultimately have  $p = length\ u1$  using  $u$  by auto
  }

```

```

{ from v have snd (x ! ?u') ! m' by (auto simp: nth-append)
  moreover
  from m wI have p' < length x snd (x ! p') ! m'
    by (fastforce intro: nth-mem split: sum.splits)+
  moreover
  from m wI have ex1:  $\exists! p. p < \text{length } x \wedge \text{snd } (x ! p) ! m'$  unfolding
wI(1) by (intro enc-unique) auto
  ultimately have p' = ?u' using u' by auto
} note * = this ⟨p = length u1⟩
with * m wI have satisfies (dec-word x, dec-interp n {m, m'} x) (FLess m
m')
  unfolding dec-word-enc[of w I, folded wI(1)]
  by (auto simp del: enc.simps dest: dec-interp-not-Inr split: sum.splits)
  (fastforce dest!: dec-interp-enc-Inl intro: nth-mem split: sum.splits)
  moreover from wI have wf-word n x unfolding wf-word by (auto intro!:
enc-atom-σ)
  ultimately show  $x \in \text{lang}_{M2L} n$  (FLess m m') unfolding langM2L-def
using m wI(3)
  by (auto simp del: enc.simps intro!: exI[of - dec-word x] exI[of - dec-interp
n {m, m'} x]
    intro: sym[OF enc-dec[OF - ballI[OF impI[OF enc-unique[of w I, folded
wI(1)]]]]])
  qed
qed (simp add: langM2L-def del: o-apply)
next
case (FIn m M)
show ?case
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
fix x assume  $x \in \text{lang}_{M2L} n$  (FIn m M)
then obtain w I where
  *:  $x = \text{enc } (w, I) \text{ wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \text{ (FIn m M) satisfies } (w, I)$ 
(FIn m M)
  length I = n
  unfolding langM2L-def by blast
with FIn(1) obtain p P where  $p: p < \text{length } w \text{ ! } m = \text{Inl } p \text{ ! } M = \text{Inr}$ 
P  $p \in P$ 
  by (auto simp: all-set-conv-all-nth split: sum.splits)
with *(1) have  $x = \text{take } p (\text{enc } (w, I)) @ [\text{enc-atom } I p (w ! p)] @ \text{drop } (p$ 
+ 1)  $(\text{enc } (w, I))$ 
  using id-take-nth-drop[of p enc (w, I)] by auto
moreover
  have  $[\text{enc-atom } I p (w ! p)] \in \text{lang } n \text{ (arbitrary-except } n \text{ [(min m M, True),$ 
(max m M, True)]  $\Sigma)$ 
  proof (cases m < M)
  case True with *(2,4) FIn(1) p show ?thesis
    by (intro enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True2) (auto simp: min-absorb1
max-absorb2)
  next
  case False with *(2,4) FIn(1) p show ?thesis

```

```

    by (intro enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True2) (auto simp: min-absorb2
max-absorb1)
  qed
  moreover from  $\ast(2,4)$  have take  $p$  ( $\text{enc } (w, I) \in \text{lang } n$  ( $\text{rexp.Not Zero}$ )
    by (auto intro!: enc-atom- $\sigma$  dest!: in-set-takeD)
  moreover from  $\ast(2,4)$  have drop ( $\text{Suc } p$ ) ( $\text{enc } (w, I) \in \text{lang } n$  ( $\text{rexp.Not Zero}$ )
    by (auto intro!: enc-atom- $\sigma$  dest!: in-set-dropD)
  ultimately show  $x \in ?L \ n$  ( $FIn \ m \ M$ ) using  $\ast(1,2,4)$ 
  unfolding rexp-of.simps lang.simps(5,8) rexp-of-list.simps Int-Diff lang-ENC[OF
FIn]
    by (auto elim: ssubst simp del: o-apply append.simps lang.simps)
  next
    fix  $x$  assume  $x: x \in ?L \ n$  ( $FIn \ m \ M$ )
    with FIn obtain  $w \ I$  where  $wI: x = \text{enc } (w, I)$  length  $I = n$  wf-interp-for-formula
( $w, I$ ) ( $FIn \ m \ M$ )
    unfolding rexp-of.simps lang.simps lang-ENC[OF FIn] Int-Diff by (fastforce
split: sum.splits)
    with FIn obtain  $p \ P$  where  $m: I \ ! \ m = Inl \ p \ m < \text{length } I \ I \ ! \ M = Inr \ P$ 
 $M < \text{length } I$  by (auto split: sum.splits)
    with  $wI$  have wf-interp-for-formula ( $\text{dec-word } x, \text{dec-interp } n \ \{m\} \ x$ ) ( $FIn \ m$ 
 $M$ ) unfolding  $wI(1)$ 
      using enc-wf-interp[OF FIn(1)[folded  $wI(2)$ ]] by auto
    moreover
    from  $x$  obtain  $u1 \ u \ u2$  where  $x = u1 \ @ \ u \ @ \ u2$ 
       $u \in \text{lang } n$  (arbitrary-except  $n$  [( $\text{min } m \ M, \text{True}$ ), ( $\text{max } m \ M, \text{True}$ )]  $\Sigma$ )
    unfolding rexp-of.simps lang.simps rexp-of-list.simps using concE by fast
    with FIn(1) obtain  $v$  where  $v: x = u1 \ @ \ [v] \ @ \ u2$  and  $\text{snd } v \ ! \ \text{min } m \ M$ 
 $\text{snd } v \ ! \ \text{max } m \ M$ 
      using arbitrary-except2[of  $u \ n \ \text{min } m \ M \ \text{True} \ \text{max } m \ M \ \text{True} \ \Sigma$ ] by fastforce
    hence  $v': \text{snd } v \ ! \ m \ \text{snd } v \ ! \ M$ 
      by (induct  $m < M$ ) (auto simp: min-absorb1 min-absorb2 max-absorb1
max-absorb2)
    from  $v$  have  $u: \text{length } u1 < \text{length } x$  by auto
    { from  $v \ v'$  have  $\text{snd } (x \ ! \ \text{length } u1) \ ! \ m$  by auto
      moreover
      from  $m \ wI$  have  $p < \text{length } x$   $\text{snd } (x \ ! \ p) \ ! \ m$ 
        by (fastforce intro: nth-mem split: sum.splits)+
      moreover
      from  $m \ wI$  have  $ex1: \exists ! p. p < \text{length } x \wedge \text{snd } (x \ ! \ p) \ ! \ m$  unfolding  $wI(1)$ 
    by (intro enc-unique) auto
    ultimately have  $p = \text{length } u1$  using  $u$  by auto
  } note  $\ast = \text{this}$ 
  from  $v \ v'$  have  $v = \text{enc } (w, I) \ ! \ \text{length } u1$  unfolding  $wI(1)$  by simp
  with  $v'(2) \ m(3,4) \ u \ wI(1)$  have  $\text{length } u1 \in P$  by auto
  with  $\ast \ m \ wI$  have satisfies ( $\text{dec-word } x, \text{dec-interp } n \ \{m\} \ x$ ) ( $FIn \ m \ M$ )
    unfolding dec-word-enc[of  $w \ I, \text{folded } wI(1)$ ]
    by (auto simp del: enc.simps dest: dec-interp-not-Inr dec-interp-not-Inl split:
sum.splits)

```



```

      (auto simp del: enc.simps dest!: dec-interp-enc-Inl dec-interp-enc-Inr dest:
nth-mem split: sum.splits)
      moreover from wI have wf-word n x unfolding wf-word by (auto intro!:
enc-atom-σ)
      ultimately show x ∈ langM2L n (FIn m M) unfolding langM2L-def using
m wI(3)
      by (auto simp del: enc.simps intro!: exI[of - dec-word x] exI[of - dec-interp n
{m} x]
intro: sym[OF enc-dec[OF - ballI[OF impI[OF enc-unique[of w I, folded
wI(1)]]]]])
      qed
    next
      case (FOr φ1 φ2)
      from FOr(3) have IH1: langM2L n φ1 = lang n (rexp-of n φ1) - {[]}
      by (intro FOr(1)) auto
      from FOr(3) have IH2: langM2L n φ2 = lang n (rexp-of n φ2) - {[]}
      by (intro FOr(2)) auto
      show ?case
      proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
        fix x assume x ∈ langM2L n (FOr φ1 φ2) thus x ∈ lang n (rexp-of n (FOr
φ1 φ2)) - {[]}
        using langM2L-FOr[OF FOr(3)] unfolding lang-ENC[OF FOr(3)] rexp-of.simps
lang.simps IH1 IH2 Int-Diff by auto
      next
        fix x assume x ∈ lang n (rexp-of n (FOr φ1 φ2)) - {[]}
        then obtain w I where or: x ∈ langM2L n φ1 ∨ x ∈ langM2L n φ2 and wI:
x = enc (w, I) length I = n
        wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FOr φ1 φ2)
        unfolding lang-ENC[OF FOr(3)] rexp-of.simps lang.simps IH1 IH2 Int-Diff
      by auto
        have satisfies (w, I) φ1 ∨ satisfies (w, I) φ2
        proof (intro mp[OF disj-mono[OF impI impI] or])
          assume x ∈ langM2L n φ1
          with wI(2,3) FOr(3) show satisfies (w, I) φ1
          unfolding langM2L-def wI(1) wf-interp-for-formula-FOr
          by (auto simp del: enc.simps dest!: iffD2[OF enc-welldef[of - - - φ1]])
        next
          assume x ∈ langM2L n φ2
          with wI(2,3) FOr(3) show satisfies (w, I) φ2
          unfolding langM2L-def wI(1) wf-interp-for-formula-FOr
          by (auto simp del: enc.simps dest!: iffD2[OF enc-welldef[of - - - φ2]])
        qed
        with wI show x ∈ langM2L n (FOr φ1 φ2) unfolding langM2L-def by auto
      qed
    next
      case (FAnd φ1 φ2)
      from FAnd(3) have IH1: langM2L n φ1 = lang n (rexp-of n φ1) - {[]}
      by (intro FAnd(1)) auto
      from FAnd(3) have IH2: langM2L n φ2 = lang n (rexp-of n φ2) - {[]}

```

```

    by (intro FAnd(2)) auto
  show ?case
  proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
    fix x assume x ∈ langM2L n (FAnd φ1 φ2) thus x ∈ lang n (rexp-of n (FAnd
    φ1 φ2)) - {[]}
    using langM2L-FAnd[OF FAnd(3)]
    unfolding lang-ENC[OF FAnd(3)] rexp-of.simps rexp-of-list.simps lang.simps
    IH1 IH2 Int-Diff by auto
  next
    fix x assume x ∈ lang n (rexp-of n (FAnd φ1 φ2)) - {[]}
    then obtain w I where and: x ∈ langM2L n φ1 ∧ x ∈ langM2L n φ2 and
    wI: x = enc (w, I) length I = n
    wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FAnd φ1 φ2)
    unfolding lang-ENC[OF FAnd(3)] rexp-of.simps rexp-of-list.simps lang.simps
    IH1 IH2 Int-Diff by auto
    have satisfies (w, I) φ1 ∧ satisfies (w, I) φ2
    proof (intro mp[OF conj-mono[OF impI impI] and])
      assume x ∈ langM2L n φ1
      with wI(2,3) FAnd(3) show satisfies (w, I) φ1
      unfolding langM2L-def wI(1) wf-interp-for-formula-FAnd
      by (auto simp del: enc.simps dest!: iffD2[OF enc-welldef[of - - - φ1]])
    next
      assume x ∈ langM2L n φ2
      with wI(2,3) FAnd(3) show satisfies (w, I) φ2
      unfolding langM2L-def wI(1) wf-interp-for-formula-FAnd
      by (auto simp del: enc.simps dest!: iffD2[OF enc-welldef[of - - - φ2]])
    qed
    with wI show x ∈ langM2L n (FAnd φ1 φ2) unfolding langM2L-def by auto
  qed
next
case (FNot φ)
hence IH: ?L n φ = langM2L n φ by simp
show ?case
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix x assume x ∈ langM2L n (FNot φ)
  then obtain w I where
    *: x = enc (w, I) wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) φ length I = n length w > 0
    and unsat: ¬ (satisfies (w, I) φ)
    unfolding langM2L-def by auto
  { assume x ∈ ?L n φ
    with IH have satisfies (w, I) φ using enc-welldef[of - - w I φ, OF - - -
    *(2)] FNot(2)
    unfolding *(1,3) langM2L-def by auto
  }
  with unsat have x ∉ ?L n φ by blast
  with * show x ∈ ?L n (FNot φ) unfolding rexp-of.simps lang.simps using
  lang-ENC[OF FNot(2)]
  by (auto simp: comp-def intro!: enc-atom-σ)
next

```

```

    fix x assume x ∈ ?L n (FNot φ)
    with IH have x ∈ lang n (ENC n (FNot φ)) - {} and x: x ∉ langM2L n φ
  by (auto simp del: o-apply)
    then obtain w I where *: x = enc (w, I) wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FNot
φ) length I = n
      unfolding lang-ENC[OF FNot(2)] by blast
    { assume ¬ satisfies (w, I) (FNot φ)
      with * have x ∈ langM2L n φ unfolding langM2L-def by auto
    }
    with x * show x ∈ langM2L n (FNot φ) unfolding langM2L-def by blast
  qed
next
case (FExists φ)
show ?case
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix x assume x ∈ langM2L n (FExists φ)
  then obtain w I p where
    *: x = enc (w, I) wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FExists φ)
    length I = n length w > 0 p ∈ {0 .. length w - 1} satisfies (w, Inl p # I) φ
    unfolding langM2L-def by auto
  with FExists(2) have enc (w, Inl p # I) ∈ ?L (Suc n) φ
    by (intro subsetD[OF equalityD1[OF FExists(1)], of Suc n enc (w, Inl p #
I)])
    (auto simp: langM2L-def nth-Cons' ord-less-eq-trans[OF le-imp-less-Suc
Suc-pred[OF *(4)]])
    split: split-if-asm sum.splits intro!: exI[of - w] exI[of - Inl p # I])
  with *(1) show x ∈ ?L n (FExists φ)
    by (auto simp: map-index intro!: image-eqI[of - map π] simp del: o-apply)
  (auto simp: π-def)
next
  fix x assume x ∈ ?L n (FExists φ)
  then obtain x' where x: x = map π x' and x' ∈ ?L (Suc n) φ by (auto simp
del: o-apply)
  with FExists(2) have x' ∈ langM2L (Suc n) φ
    by (intro subsetD[OF equalityD2[OF FExists(1)], of Suc n x'])
    (auto split: split-if-asm sum.splits)
  then obtain w I' where
    *: x' = enc (w, I') wf-interp-for-formula (w, I') φ length I' = Suc n satisfies
(w, I') φ
    unfolding langM2L-def by auto
  moreover then obtain I0 I where I' = I0 # I by (cases I') auto
  moreover with FExists(2) *(2) obtain p where I0 = Inl p p < length w
    by (auto simp: nth-Cons' split: sum.splits split-if-asm)
  ultimately have x = enc (w, I) wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FExists φ)
length I = n
length w > 0 satisfies (w, I) (FExists φ) using FExists(2) unfolding x
  by (auto simp: map-tl nth-Cons' split: split-if-asm simp del: o-apply) (auto
simp: π-def)
  thus x ∈ langM2L n (FExists φ) unfolding langM2L-def by (auto intro!:

```

```

exI[of - w] exI[of - I])
qed
next
case (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ )
show ?case
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix x assume  $x \in \text{lang}_{M2L} n$  (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ )
  then obtain w I P where
    *:  $x = \text{enc}(w, I)$  wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ )
    length I = n length w > 0  $P \subseteq \{0 \dots \text{length } w - 1\}$  satisfies (w, Inr P # I)
 $\varphi$ 
    unfolding langM2L-def by auto
  from *(4,5) have  $\forall p \in P. p < \text{length } w$  by (cases w) auto
  with *(2-4,6) FEXISTS(2) have  $\text{enc}(w, \text{Inr } P \# I) \in ?L (\text{Suc } n) \varphi$ 
  by (intro subsetD[OF equalityD1[OF FEXISTS(1)], of Suc n enc (w, Inr P
# I)])
    (auto simp: langM2L-def nth-Cons' split: split-if-asm sum.splits
    intro!: exI[of - w] exI[of - Inr P # I])
  with *(1) show  $x \in ?L n$  (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ )
  by (auto simp: map-index intro!: image-eqI[of - map  $\pi$ ] simp del: o-apply)
(auto simp:  $\pi$ -def)
next
fix x assume  $x \in ?L n$  (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ )
then obtain  $x'$  where  $x = \text{map } \pi x'$  and  $x': \text{length } x' > 0$  and  $x' \in ?L$ 
(Suc n)  $\varphi$  by (auto simp del: o-apply)
with FEXISTS(2) have  $x' \in \text{lang}_{M2L} (\text{Suc } n) \varphi$ 
by (intro subsetD[OF equalityD2[OF FEXISTS(1)], of Suc n  $x'$ ])
(auto split: split-if-asm sum.splits)
then obtain w I' where
  *:  $x' = \text{enc}(w, I')$  wf-interp-for-formula (w, I')  $\varphi$  length I' = Suc n satisfies
(w, I')  $\varphi$ 
  unfolding langM2L-def by auto
moreover then obtain  $I_0$  I where  $I' = I_0 \# I$  by (cases I') auto
moreover with FEXISTS(2) *(2) obtain P where  $I_0 = \text{Inr } P$ 
by (auto simp: nth-Cons' split: sum.splits split-if-asm)
moreover have length w  $\geq 1$  using  $x' *(1)$  by (cases w) auto
ultimately have  $x = \text{enc}(w, I)$  wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ )
length I = n
length w > 0 satisfies (w, I) (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ ) using FEXISTS(2) unfolding x
by (auto simp add: map-tl nth-Cons' split: split-if-asm
intro!: exI[of - P] simp del: o-apply) (auto simp:  $\pi$ -def)
thus  $x \in \text{lang}_{M2L} n$  (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ ) unfolding langM2L-def by (auto intro!:
exI[of - w] exI[of - I])
qed
qed

lemma wf-rexp-of: wf-formula n  $\varphi \implies \text{wf } n$  (rexp-of n  $\varphi$ )
by (induct  $\varphi$  arbitrary: n) (auto simp: wf-rexp-ENC intro: wf-rexp-arbitrary-except
split: sum.splits split-if-asm)

```

lemma *wf-rexp-of'*: *wf-formula* $n \ \varphi \implies \text{wf } n \ (\text{rexp-of}' \ n \ \varphi)$
unfolding *rexp-of'-def*
by (*induct* φ *arbitrary*: n) (*auto simp*: *wf-rexp-ENC intro*: *wf-rexp-arbitrary-except*
split: *sum.splits split-if-asm*)

lemma *ENC-Not*: *ENC* $n \ (\text{FNot } \varphi) = \text{ENC } n \ \varphi$
unfolding *ENC-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ENC-And*:

wf-formula $n \ (\text{FAnd } \varphi \ \psi) \implies \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ (\text{FAnd } \varphi \ \psi)) - \{\emptyset\} \subseteq \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \varphi) \cap \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \psi) - \{\emptyset\}$

proof

fix x **assume** *wf*: *wf-formula* $n \ (\text{FAnd } \varphi \ \psi)$ **and** $x: x \in \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ (\text{FAnd } \varphi \ \psi)) - \{\emptyset\}$

hence *wf1*: *wf-formula* $n \ \varphi$ **and** *wf2*: *wf-formula* $n \ \psi$ **by** *auto*

from x **obtain** $w \ I$ **where** *wI*: $x = \text{enc } (w, I)$ *wf-interp-for-formula* (w, I) $(\text{FAnd } \varphi \ \psi) \ \text{length } I = n$

using *lang-ENC[OF wf]* **by** *auto*

hence *wf-interp-for-formula* $(w, I) \ \varphi$ *wf-interp-for-formula* $(w, I) \ \psi$

unfolding *wf-interp-for-formula-FAnd* **by** *auto*

hence $x \in (\text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \varphi) - \{\emptyset\}) \cap (\text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \psi) - \{\emptyset\})$

unfolding *lang-ENC[OF wf1]* *lang-ENC[OF wf2]* **using** *wI* **by** *auto*

thus $x \in \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \varphi) \cap \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \psi) - \{\emptyset\}$ **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *ENC-Or*:

wf-formula $n \ (\text{FOr } \varphi \ \psi) \implies \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ (\text{FOr } \varphi \ \psi)) - \{\emptyset\} \subseteq \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \varphi) \cup \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \psi) - \{\emptyset\}$

proof

fix x **assume** *wf*: *wf-formula* $n \ (\text{FOr } \varphi \ \psi)$ **and** $x: x \in \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ (\text{FOr } \varphi \ \psi)) - \{\emptyset\}$

hence *wf1*: *wf-formula* $n \ \varphi$ **and** *wf2*: *wf-formula* $n \ \psi$ **by** *auto*

from x **obtain** $w \ I$ **where** *wI*: $x = \text{enc } (w, I)$ *wf-interp-for-formula* (w, I) $(\text{FOr } \varphi \ \psi) \ \text{length } I = n$

using *lang-ENC[OF wf]* **by** *auto*

hence *wf-interp-for-formula* $(w, I) \ \varphi$ *wf-interp-for-formula* $(w, I) \ \psi$

unfolding *wf-interp-for-formula-FOr* **by** *auto*

hence $x \in (\text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \varphi) - \{\emptyset\}) \cup (\text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \psi) - \{\emptyset\})$

unfolding *lang-ENC[OF wf1]* *lang-ENC[OF wf2]* **using** *wI* **by** *auto*

thus $x \in \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \varphi) \cup \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ \psi) - \{\emptyset\}$ **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *project-enc*: $\text{map } \pi \ (\text{enc } (w, x \# I)) = \text{enc } (w, I)$

unfolding *π -def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ENC-Exists*:

wf-formula $n \ (\text{FExists } \varphi) \implies \text{lang } n \ (\text{ENC } n \ (\text{FExists } \varphi)) - \{\emptyset\} = \text{map } \pi \ ‘$

$\text{lang } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{ENC } (\text{Suc } n) \varphi) - \{\emptyset\}$
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
 fix x assume $\text{wf}: \text{wf-formula } n (\text{FExists } \varphi)$ and $x: x \in \text{lang } n (\text{ENC } n (\text{FExists } \varphi)) - \{\emptyset\}$
 hence $\text{wf1}: \text{wf-formula } (\text{Suc } n) \varphi$ by auto
 from x obtain $w \ I$ where $\text{wI}: x = \text{enc } (w, I) \text{ wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I)$
 $(\text{FExists } \varphi) \text{ length } I = n$
 using $\text{lang-ENC}[OF \text{wf}]$ by auto
 with x have $w \neq \emptyset$ by (cases w) auto
 from $\text{wI}(2)$ obtain p where $p < \text{length } w \text{ wf-interp-for-formula } (w, \text{Inl } p \# I)$
 φ
 using $\text{wf-interp-for-formula-FExists}[OF \text{wf}[\text{folded } \text{wI}(3)] \langle w \neq \emptyset \rangle]$ by auto
 with $\text{wI}(3)$ have $x \in \text{map } \pi \text{ ' } (\text{lang } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{ENC } (\text{Suc } n) \varphi) - \{\emptyset\})$
 unfolding $\text{wI}(1) \text{ lang-ENC}[OF \text{wf1}] \text{ project-enc}[\text{symmetric, of } w \ I \ \text{Inl } p]$
 by (intro imageI CollectI exI[of - w] exI[of - $\text{Inl } p \# I$]) auto
 thus $x \in \text{map } \pi \text{ ' } \text{lang } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{ENC } (\text{Suc } n) \varphi) - \{\emptyset\}$ by blast
 next
 fix x assume $\text{wf}: \text{wf-formula } n (\text{FExists } \varphi)$ and $x \in \text{map } \pi \text{ ' } \text{lang } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{ENC } (\text{Suc } n) \varphi) - \{\emptyset\}$
 hence $\text{wf1}: \text{wf-formula } (\text{Suc } n) \varphi$ and $0 \in \text{FOV } \varphi$ and $x: x \in \text{map } \pi \text{ ' } (\text{lang } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{ENC } (\text{Suc } n) \varphi) - \{\emptyset\})$ by auto
 from x obtain $w \ I$ where $\text{wI}: x = \text{map } \pi (\text{enc } (w, I)) \text{ wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi \text{ length } I = \text{Suc } n$
 using $\text{lang-ENC}[OF \text{wf1}]$ by auto
 with $\langle 0 \in \text{FOV } \varphi \rangle$ obtain $p \ I'$ where $I: I = \text{Inl } p \# I'$ by (cases I) (fastforce split: sum.splits)+
 with wI have $\text{wtI}: x = \text{enc } (w, I') \text{ length } I' = n$ unfolding $\pi\text{-def}$ by auto
 with x have $w \neq \emptyset$ by (cases w) auto
 have $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I') (\text{FExists } \varphi)$
 using $\text{wf-interp-for-formula-FExists}[OF \text{wf}[\text{folded } \text{wtI}(2)] \langle w \neq \emptyset \rangle]$
 $\text{wf-interp-for-formula-any-Inl}[OF \text{wI}(2)[\text{unfolded } I]] \dots$
 with wtI show $x \in \text{lang } n (\text{ENC } n (\text{FExists } \varphi)) - \{\emptyset\}$ unfolding $\text{lang-ENC}[OF \text{wf}]$ by blast
 qed

lemma ENC-EXISTS:

$\text{wf-formula } n (\text{FEXISTS } \varphi) \implies \text{lang } n (\text{ENC } n (\text{FEXISTS } \varphi)) - \{\emptyset\} = \text{map } \pi \text{ ' } \text{lang } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{ENC } (\text{Suc } n) \varphi) - \{\emptyset\}$
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
 fix x assume $\text{wf}: \text{wf-formula } n (\text{FEXISTS } \varphi)$ and $x: x \in \text{lang } n (\text{ENC } n (\text{FEXISTS } \varphi)) - \{\emptyset\}$
 hence $\text{wf1}: \text{wf-formula } (\text{Suc } n) \varphi$ by auto
 from x obtain $w \ I$ where $\text{wI}: x = \text{enc } (w, I) \text{ wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I)$
 $(\text{FEXISTS } \varphi) \text{ length } I = n$
 using $\text{lang-ENC}[OF \text{wf}]$ by auto
 with x have $w \neq \emptyset$ by (cases w) auto
 from $\text{wI}(2)$ obtain P where $\forall p \in P. p < \text{length } w \text{ wf-interp-for-formula } (w, \text{Inr } p \# I) \varphi$
 using $\text{wf-interp-for-formula-FEXISTS}[OF \text{wf}[\text{folded } \text{wI}(3)] \langle w \neq \emptyset \rangle]$ by auto

```

with  $wI(3)$  have  $x \in \text{map } \pi \text{ ' (lang (Suc n) (ENC (Suc n) } \varphi) - \{\square\})$ 
  unfolding  $wI(1)$   $\text{lang-ENC[OF wf1]}$   $\text{project-enc[symmetric, of w I Inr P]}$ 
  by ( $\text{intro imageI CollectI exI[of - w] exI[of - Inr P \# I]}$ ) auto
thus  $x \in \text{map } \pi \text{ ' lang (Suc n) (ENC (Suc n) } \varphi) - \{\square\}$  by blast
next
  fix  $x$  assume  $\text{wf: wf-formula n (FEXISTS } \varphi)$  and  $x \in \text{map } \pi \text{ ' lang (Suc n)}$ 
   $(\text{ENC (Suc n) } \varphi) - \{\square\}$ 
  hence  $\text{wf1: wf-formula (Suc n) } \varphi$  and  $0 \in \text{SOV } \varphi$  and  $x: x \in \text{map } \pi \text{ ' (lang}$ 
   $(\text{Suc n) (ENC (Suc n) } \varphi) - \{\square\})$  by auto
  from  $x$  obtain  $w \ I$  where  $wI: x = \text{map } \pi (\text{enc (w, I)})$   $\text{wf-interp-for-formula}$ 
   $(w, I) \ \varphi \ \text{length } I = \text{Suc } n$ 
  using  $\text{lang-ENC[OF wf1]}$  by auto
  with  $\langle 0 \in \text{SOV } \varphi \rangle$  obtain  $P \ I'$  where  $I: I = \text{Inr } P \ \# \ I'$  by ( $\text{cases } I$ ) (fastforce
  split: sum.splits)+
  with  $wI$  have  $\text{wtII: } x = \text{enc (w, I') length } I' = n$  unfolding  $\pi\text{-def}$  by auto
  with  $x$  have  $w \neq \square$  by ( $\text{cases } w$ ) auto
  have  $\text{wf-interp-for-formula (w, I') (FEXISTS } \varphi)$ 
  using  $\text{wf-interp-for-formula-FEXISTS[OF wf[folded wtII(2)] } \langle w \neq \square \rangle$ 
   $\text{wf-interp-for-formula-any-Inr[OF wI(2)[unfolded I]]}$  ..
  with  $\text{wtII}$  show  $x \in \text{lang } n (\text{ENC } n (\text{FEXISTS } \varphi)) - \{\square\}$  unfolding  $\text{lang-ENC[OF}$ 
   $\text{wf}]$  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{lang}_{M2L}\text{-rexp-of-rexp-of'}$ :
   $\text{wf-formula } n \ \varphi \implies \text{lang } n (\text{rexp-of } n \ \varphi) - \{\square\} = \text{lang } n (\text{rexp-of' } n \ \varphi) - \{\square\}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{rexp-of'-def}$  proof ( $\text{induction } \varphi \text{ arbitrary: } n$ )
  case ( $\text{FNot } \varphi$ )
  hence  $\text{wf-formula } n \ \varphi$  by simp
  with  $\text{FNot.IH}$  show  $?case$  unfolding  $\text{rexp-of.simps rexp-of-alt.simps lang.simps}$ 
   $\text{ENC-Not}$  by blast
next
  case ( $\text{FAnd } \varphi_1 \ \varphi_2$ )
  hence  $\text{wf1: wf-formula } n \ \varphi_1$  and  $\text{wf2: wf-formula } n \ \varphi_2$  by force+
  from  $\text{FAnd.IH(1)[OF wf1]}$   $\text{FAnd.IH(2)[OF wf2]}$  show  $?case$  using  $\text{ENC-And[OF}$ 
   $\text{FAnd.prem}]$ 
  unfolding  $\text{rexp-of.simps rexp-of-alt.simps lang.simps rexp-of-list.simps}$  by blast
next
  case ( $\text{FOr } \varphi_1 \ \varphi_2$ )
  hence  $\text{wf1: wf-formula } n \ \varphi_1$  and  $\text{wf2: wf-formula } n \ \varphi_2$  by force+
  from  $\text{FOr.IH(1)[OF wf1]}$   $\text{FOr.IH(2)[OF wf2]}$  show  $?case$  using  $\text{ENC-Or[OF}$ 
   $\text{FOr.prem}]$ 
  unfolding  $\text{rexp-of.simps rexp-of-alt.simps lang.simps}$  by blast
next
  case ( $\text{FExists } \varphi$ )
  hence  $\text{wf: wf-formula (n + 1) } \varphi$  by auto
  have  $*$ :  $\bigwedge A. \text{map } \pi \text{ ' } A - \{\square\} = \text{map } \pi \text{ ' (} A - \{\square\})$  by auto
  show  $?case$  using  $\text{ENC-Exists[OF FExists.prem]}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{rexp-of.simps rexp-of-alt.simps lang.simps}$   $*$   $\text{FExists.IH[OF wf]}$  by
  auto

```

```

next
  case (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ )
  hence wf: wf-formula (n + 1)  $\varphi$  by auto
  have *:  $\bigwedge A. \text{map } \pi \text{ ' } A - \{\Box\} = \text{map } \pi \text{ ' } (A - \{\Box\})$  by auto
  show ?case using ENC-EXISTS[OF FEXISTS.prem]
    unfolding rexp-of.simps rexp-of-alt.simps lang.simps * FEXISTS.IH[OF wf]
  by auto
qed auto

theorem langM2L-rexp-of': wf-formula n  $\varphi \implies \text{lang}_{M2L} \ n \ \varphi = \text{lang } n \ (\text{rexp-of ' } n \ \varphi) - \{\Box\}$ 
  unfolding langM2L-rexp-of-rexp-of'[symmetric] by (rule langM2L-rexp-of)

end

end

```

8 Normalization of M2L Formulas

```

fun nNot where
  nNot (FNot  $\varphi$ ) =  $\varphi$ 
| nNot (FAnd  $\varphi_1 \ \varphi_2$ ) = FOr (nNot  $\varphi_1$ ) (nNot  $\varphi_2$ )
| nNot (FOr  $\varphi_1 \ \varphi_2$ ) = FAnd (nNot  $\varphi_1$ ) (nNot  $\varphi_2$ )
| nNot  $\varphi$  = FNot  $\varphi$ 

primrec norm where
  norm (FQ a m) = FQ a m
| norm (FLess m n) = FLess m n
| norm (FIn m M) = FIn m M
| norm (FOr  $\varphi \ \psi$ ) = FOr (norm  $\varphi$ ) (norm  $\psi$ )
| norm (FAnd  $\varphi \ \psi$ ) = FAnd (norm  $\varphi$ ) (norm  $\psi$ )
| norm (FNot  $\varphi$ ) = nNot (norm  $\varphi$ )
| norm (FExists  $\varphi$ ) = FExists (norm  $\varphi$ )
| norm (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ ) = FEXISTS (norm  $\varphi$ )

context formula
begin

lemma satisfies-nNot[simp]: satisfies (w, I) (nNot  $\varphi$ ) = satisfies (w, I) (FNot  $\varphi$ )
  by (induct  $\varphi$  rule: nNot.induct) auto

lemma FOV-nNot[simp]: FOV (nNot  $\varphi$ ) = FOV (FNot  $\varphi$ )
  by (induct  $\varphi$  rule: nNot.induct) auto

lemma SOV-nNot[simp]: SOV (nNot  $\varphi$ ) = SOV (FNot  $\varphi$ )
  by (induct  $\varphi$  rule: nNot.induct) auto

```



```

lemma pre-wf-formula-nNot[simp]: pre-wf-formula n (nNot  $\varphi$ ) = pre-wf-formula
n (FNot  $\varphi$ )
  by (induct  $\varphi$  rule: nNot.induct) auto

lemma FOV-norm[simp]: FOV (norm  $\varphi$ ) = FOV  $\varphi$ 
  by (induct  $\varphi$ ) auto

lemma SOV-norm[simp]: SOV (norm  $\varphi$ ) = SOV  $\varphi$ 
  by (induct  $\varphi$ ) auto

lemma pre-wf-formula-norm[simp]: pre-wf-formula n (norm  $\varphi$ ) = pre-wf-formula
n  $\varphi$ 
  by (induct  $\varphi$  arbitrary: n) auto

lemma satisfies-norm[simp]: satisfies (w, I) (norm  $\varphi$ ) = satisfies (w, I)  $\varphi$ 
  by (induct  $\varphi$  arbitrary: I) auto

lemma langM2L-norm[simp]: langM2L n (norm  $\varphi$ ) = langM2L n  $\varphi$ 
  unfolding langM2L-def by auto

end

end

```

9 Deciding Equivalence of M2L Formulas

```

type-synonym 'a T = 'a × bool list
abbreviation  $\mathfrak{L} \equiv \lambda \Sigma. \text{project.lang } (\text{set } o \ (\sigma \ \Sigma)) \ \pi$ 

definition wf-rexp where [code del]:
  wf-rexp  $\Sigma = \text{alphabet.wf } (\text{set } o \ \sigma \ \Sigma)$ 

interpretation project set o  $\sigma \ \Sigma \ \pi$ 
  where alphabet.wf (set o  $\sigma \ \Sigma$ ) = wf-rexp  $\Sigma$ 
  by (unfold-locales) (auto simp:  $\sigma\text{-def}$   $\pi\text{-def}$  wf-rexp-def)

definition norm-lderiv where [code del]:
  norm-lderiv  $\equiv \lambda \Sigma. \text{embed.lderiv } (\varepsilon \ \Sigma)$ 

interpretation embed set o ( $\sigma \ (\Sigma :: 'a :: \text{linorder list})) \ \pi \ \varepsilon \ \Sigma$ 
  where embed.lderiv ( $\varepsilon \ \Sigma$ ) = norm-lderiv  $\Sigma$ 
  by (unfold-locales) (auto simp: norm-lderiv-def  $\sigma\text{-def}$   $\pi\text{-def}$   $\varepsilon\text{-def}$ )

definition norm-step' where [code del]:
  norm-step'  $\equiv \lambda \Sigma. \text{equivalence-checker.step'} \ (\sigma \ \Sigma) \ (\varepsilon \ \Sigma) \ (\text{Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm}$ 
   $:: 'a :: \text{linorder } T \text{ rexp} \Rightarrow 'a \ T \text{ rexp})$ 
definition norm-closure' where [code del]:

```

$\text{norm-closure}' \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{equivalence-checker.closure}' (\sigma \Sigma) (\varepsilon \Sigma) (\text{Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm} :: 'a::\text{linorder } T \text{ rexp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ } T \text{ rexp})$
definition $\text{norm-check-equiv}'$ **where** [code del]:
 $\text{norm-check-equiv}' \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{equivalence-checker.check-equiv}' (\sigma \Sigma) (\varepsilon \Sigma) (\text{Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm} :: 'a::\text{linorder } T \text{ rexp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ } T \text{ rexp})$
definition norm-step **where** [code del]:
 $\text{norm-step} \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{equivalence-checker.step} (\sigma \Sigma) (\varepsilon \Sigma) (\text{Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm} :: 'a::\text{linorder } T \text{ rexp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ } T \text{ rexp})$
definition norm-closure **where** [code del]:
 $\text{norm-closure} \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{equivalence-checker.closure} (\sigma \Sigma) (\varepsilon \Sigma) (\text{Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm} :: 'a::\text{linorder } T \text{ rexp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ } T \text{ rexp})$
definition norm-check-equiv **where** [code del]:
 $\text{norm-check-equiv} \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{equivalence-checker.check-equiv} (\sigma \Sigma) (\varepsilon \Sigma) (\text{Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm} :: 'a::\text{linorder } T \text{ rexp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ } T \text{ rexp})$
definition $\text{norm-check-equiv-counterexample}$ **where** [code del]:
 $\text{norm-check-equiv-counterexample} \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{equivalence-checker.check-equiv-counterexample} (\sigma \Sigma) (\varepsilon \Sigma) (\text{Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm} :: 'a::\text{linorder } T \text{ rexp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ } T \text{ rexp})$
lemmas $\text{norm-defs} = \text{wf-rexp-def}$
 $\text{norm-check-equiv-def norm-closure-def norm-step-def norm-check-equiv-counterexample-def}$
 $\text{norm-check-equiv}'\text{-def norm-closure}'\text{-def norm-step}'\text{-def}$
interpretation norm : $\text{equivalence-checker } \sigma \Sigma \pi \varepsilon \Sigma \text{ Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm}$
 $\mathcal{L} \Sigma$
where $\text{norm.check-equiv}' = \text{norm-check-equiv}' \Sigma$
and $\text{norm.check-equiv} = \text{norm-check-equiv} \Sigma$
and $\text{norm.check-equiv-counterexample} = \text{norm-check-equiv-counterexample} \Sigma$
and $\text{norm.closure}' = \text{norm-closure}' \Sigma$
and $\text{norm.closure} = \text{norm-closure} \Sigma$
and $\text{norm.step}' = \text{norm-step}' \Sigma$
and $\text{norm.step} = \text{norm-step} \Sigma$
by $\text{unfold-locales (auto simp: norm-defs trans[OF lang-norm[OF iffD2[OF ACI-norm-wf]] ACI-norm-lang])}$

abbreviation $\text{ext } \Sigma \equiv \text{None} \# \text{map Some } (\Sigma :: 'a :: \text{linorder list})$

definition pre-wf-formula **where** [code del]:
 $\text{pre-wf-formula} \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{formula.pre-wf-formula} (\text{ext } \Sigma)$
definition wf-formula **where** [code del]:
 $\text{wf-formula} \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{formula.wf-formula} (\text{ext } \Sigma)$
definition valid-ENC **where** [code del]: $\text{valid-ENC} \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{formula.valid-ENC} (\text{ext } \Sigma)$
definition ENC **where** [code del]: $\text{ENC} \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{formula.ENC} (\text{ext } \Sigma)$
definition rexp-of **where** [code del]: $\text{rexp-of} \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{formula.rexp-of} (\text{ext } \Sigma)$
definition rexp-of-alt **where** [code del]: $\text{rexp-of-alt} \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{formula.rexp-of-alt} (\text{ext } \Sigma)$
definition $\text{rexp-of}'$ **where** [code del]: $\text{rexp-of}' \equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{formula.rexp-of}' (\text{ext } \Sigma)$

lemmas *formula-defs* = *pre-wf-formula-def* *wf-formula-def*
rexp-of-def *rexp-of'-def* *rexp-of-alt-def* *ENC-def* *valid-ENC-def* *FOV-def* *SOV-def*

interpretation Φ : *formula ext* ($\Sigma :: 'a :: \text{linorder list}$)
where *alphabet.wf* (*set o* σ Σ) = *wf-rexp* Σ
and Φ .*pre-wf-formula* = *pre-wf-formula* Σ
and Φ .*wf-formula* = *wf-formula* Σ
and Φ .*rexp-of* = *rexp-of* Σ
and Φ .*rexp-of-alt* = *rexp-of-alt* Σ
and Φ .*rexp-of'* = *rexp-of'* Σ
and Φ .*valid-ENC* = *valid-ENC* Σ
and Φ .*ENC* = *ENC* Σ
by (*unfold-locals*) (*auto simp: σ -def π -def wf-rexp-def formula-defs*)

definition *check-equiv* **where**
check-equiv Σ n φ $\psi \longleftrightarrow \text{wf-formula } \Sigma$ n (*FOr* φ ψ) \wedge
norm-check-equiv' (*ext* Σ) n (*Plus* (*rexp-of* Σ n (*norm* φ)) *One*) (*Plus* (*rexp-of*
 Σ n (*norm* ψ)) *One*)

definition *check-equiv-counterexample* **where**
check-equiv-counterexample Σ n φ $\psi =$
norm-check-equiv-counterexample (*ext* Σ) n (*Plus* (*rexp-of* Σ n (*norm* φ)) *One*)
(*Plus* (*rexp-of* Σ n (*norm* ψ)) *One*)

definition *check-equiv'* **where**
check-equiv' Σ n φ $\psi \longleftrightarrow \Phi$.*wf-formula* Σ n (*FOr* φ ψ) \wedge
norm-check-equiv' (*ext* Σ) n (*Plus* (*rexp-of'* Σ n (*norm* φ)) *One*) (*Plus* (*rexp-of'*
 Σ n (*norm* ψ)) *One*)

lemma *lang-Plus-Zero*: $\mathfrak{L} \Sigma$ n (*Plus* r *One*) = $\mathfrak{L} \Sigma$ n (*Plus* s *One*) $\longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{L} \Sigma$ n r
 $- \{\square\} = \mathfrak{L} \Sigma$ n $s - \{\square\}$
by *auto*

lemmas *lang_{M2L}-rexp-of-norm* = *trans*[*OF sym*[*OF* Φ .*lang_{M2L}-norm*] Φ .*lang_{M2L}-rexp-of*]

lemma *soundness*: *check-equiv* Σ n φ $\psi \implies \Phi$.*lang_{M2L}* Σ n $\varphi = \Phi$.*lang_{M2L}* Σ n
 ψ
by (*rule box-equals*[*OF iffD1*[*OF lang-Plus-Zero*, *OF norm.soundness*]
sym[*OF trans*[*OF lang_{M2L}-rexp-of-norm*]] *sym*[*OF trans*[*OF lang_{M2L}-rexp-of-norm*]]])
(*auto simp: check-equiv-def split: sum.splits option.splits*)

lemmas *lang_{M2L}-rexp-of'-norm* = *trans*[*OF sym*[*OF* Φ .*lang_{M2L}-norm*] Φ .*lang_{M2L}-rexp-of'*]

lemma *soundness'*: *check-equiv'* Σ n φ $\psi \implies \Phi$.*lang_{M2L}* Σ n $\varphi = \Phi$.*lang_{M2L}* Σ n
 ψ
by (*rule box-equals*[*OF iffD1*[*OF lang-Plus-Zero*, *OF norm.soundness*]
sym[*OF trans*[*OF lang_{M2L}-rexp-of'-norm*]] *sym*[*OF trans*[*OF lang_{M2L}-rexp-of'-norm*]]])
(*auto simp: check-equiv'-def split: sum.splits option.splits*)

lemma *completeness*:
assumes $\Phi.\text{lang}_{M2L} \Sigma n \varphi = \Phi.\text{lang}_{M2L} \Sigma n \psi$ *wf-formula* Σn (*FOr* $\varphi \psi$)
shows *check-equiv* $\Sigma n \varphi \psi$
using *assms*(2) **unfolding** *check-equiv-def*
by (*intro conjI*[*OF assms*(2) *norm.completeness'*[*OF iffD2*[*OF lang-Plus-Zero*]],
OF box-equals[*OF assms*(1) *lang_{M2L}-rexp-of-norm lang_{M2L}-rexp-of-norm*]])
(*auto simp: wf-rexp-def[symmetric] split: sum.splits option.splits intro!: $\Phi.\text{wf-rexp-of}$*)

lemma *completeness'*:
assumes $\Phi.\text{lang}_{M2L} \Sigma n \varphi = \Phi.\text{lang}_{M2L} \Sigma n \psi$ *wf-formula* Σn (*FOr* $\varphi \psi$)
shows *check-equiv'* $\Sigma n \varphi \psi$
using *assms*(2) **unfolding** *check-equiv'-def*
by (*intro conjI*[*OF assms*(2) *norm.completeness'*[*OF iffD2*[*OF lang-Plus-Zero*]],
OF box-equals[*OF assms*(1) *lang_{M2L}-rexp-of'-norm lang_{M2L}-rexp-of'-norm*]])
(*auto simp: wf-rexp-def[symmetric] split: sum.splits option.splits intro!: $\Phi.\text{wf-rexp-of}'$*)

end

10 WS1S

10.1 Encodings

definition *cutSame* $x s = \text{stake } (LEAST n. \text{sdrop } n s = \text{same } x) s$

abbreviation *poss* $I \equiv (\bigcup x \in \text{set } I. \text{case } x \text{ of } \text{Inl } p \Rightarrow \{p\} \mid \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow P)$

lemma *shift-snth*: $(xs @ - s) !! n = (\text{if } n < \text{length } xs \text{ then } xs ! n \text{ else } s !! (n - \text{length } xs))$
by *auto*

lemma *stream-map-stream-map2[simp]*:
 $\text{stream-map } f (\text{stream-map2 } g s1 s2) = \text{stream-map2 } (\lambda x y. f (g x y)) s1 s2$
unfolding *stream-map2-szip stream.map-comp' o-def split-def ..*

lemma *stream-map2-alt*:
 $(\text{stream-map2 } f s1 s2 = s) = (\forall n. f (s1 !! n) (s2 !! n) = s !! n)$
unfolding *stream-map2-szip stream-map-alt* **by** *auto*

lemma *snth-stream-map2[simp]*:
 $\text{stream-map2 } f s1 s2 !! n = f (s1 !! n) (s2 !! n)$
by (*induct n arbitrary: s1 s2*) *auto*

lemma *stake-stream-map2[simp]*:
 $\text{stake } n (\text{stream-map2 } f s1 s2) = \text{map } (\text{split } f) (\text{zip } (\text{stake } n s1) (\text{stake } n s2))$
by (*induct n arbitrary: s1 s2*) *auto*

lemma *sdrop-stream-map2[simp]*:

```

sdrop n (stream-map2 f s1 s2) = stream-map2 f (sdrop n s1) (sdrop n s2)
by (induct n arbitrary: s1 s2) auto

lemma stake-szip[simp]:
  stake n (szip s1 s2) = zip (stake n s1) (stake n s2)
by (induct n arbitrary: s1 s2) auto

lemma sdrop-szip[simp]: sdrop n (szip s1 s2) = szip (sdrop n s1) (sdrop n s2)
by (induct n arbitrary: s1 s2) auto

lemma take-stake: take n (stake m s) = stake (min n m) s
proof (induct m arbitrary: s n)
  case (Suc m) thus ?case by (cases n) auto
qed simp

lemma drop-stake: drop n (stake m s) = stake (m - n) (sdrop n s)
proof (induct m arbitrary: s n)
  case (Suc m) thus ?case by (cases n) auto
qed simp

lemma stream-map-same[simp]: stream-map f (same x) = same (f x)
by (coinduct rule: stream.coinduct[of  $\lambda s1 s2. s1 = \text{stream-map } f \text{ (same } x) \wedge s2 = \text{same } (f x)$ ]) auto

lemma (in wellorder) min-Least:
   $[\exists n. P n; \exists n. Q n] \implies \min (\text{Least } P) (\text{Least } Q) = (\text{LEAST } n. P n \vee Q n)$ 
proof (intro sym[OF Least-equality])
  fix y assume P y  $\vee$  Q y
  thus  $\min (\text{Least } P) (\text{Least } Q) \leq y$ 
  proof (elim disjE)
    assume P y
    hence  $\text{Least } P \leq y$  by (auto intro: LeastI2-wellorder)
    thus  $\min (\text{Least } P) (\text{Least } Q) \leq y$  unfolding min-def by auto
  next
    assume Q y
    hence  $\text{Least } Q \leq y$  by (auto intro: LeastI2-wellorder)
    thus  $\min (\text{Least } P) (\text{Least } Q) \leq y$  unfolding min-def by auto
  qed
qed (metis LeastI-ex min-def)

lemma sdrop-snth: sdrop n s !! m = s !! (n + m)
by (induct n arbitrary: m s) auto

context formula
begin

definition any  $\equiv \text{hd } \Sigma$ 

lemma any- $\Sigma$ [simp]: any  $\in \text{set } \Sigma$ 

```

```

unfolding any-def by (auto simp: nonempty intro: someI[of - hd Σ])

lemma enc-atom-any-σ[simp]: length I = n ⇒ enc-atom I m any ∈ set (σ Σ n)
by (auto simp: σ-def image-iff set-n-lists)

fun stream-enc :: 'a interp ⇒ ('a × bool list) stream where
  stream-enc (w, I) = stream-map2 (enc-atom I) nats (w @- same any)

lemma tl-stream-enc[simp]: stream-map π (stream-enc (w, x # I)) = stream-enc
(w, I)
by (auto simp: comp-def π-def)

lemma enc-atom-max: [∀ x ∈ set I. case x of Inl p ⇒ p ≤ n | Inr P ⇒ ∀ p ∈ P. p
≤ n; n ≤ n'] ⇒
  enc-atom I (Suc n') a = (a, replicate (length I) False)
by (induct I) (auto split: sum.splits)

lemma ex-Loop-stream-enc:
assumes ∀ x ∈ set I. case x of Inr P ⇒ finite P | - ⇒ True
shows ∃ n. sdrop n (stream-enc (w, I)) = same (any, replicate (length I) False)
proof -
  from assms have ∃ n > length w. ∀ x ∈ set I. case x of Inl p ⇒ p ≤ n | Inr P ⇒
  ∀ p ∈ P. p ≤ n
  proof (induct I)
    case (Cons x I)
    then obtain n where IH: length w < n
      ∀ x ∈ set I. case x of Inl p ⇒ p ≤ n | Inr P ⇒ ∀ p ∈ P. p ≤ n by auto
    thus ?case
    proof (cases x)
      case (Inl p)
      with IH show ?thesis
        by (intro exI[of - max p n]) (fastforce split: sum.splits)
    next
      case (Inr P)
      with IH Cons(2) show ?thesis
        by (intro exI[of - max (Max P) n]) (fastforce dest: Max-ge split: sum.splits)
    qed
  qed auto
  then guess n by (elim exE conjE)
  hence sdrop (Suc n) (stream-enc (w, I)) = same (any, replicate (length I) False)
  (is ?s1 n = ?s2)
  by (coinduct rule: stream.coinduct[of λ s1 s2. ∃ n' ≥ n. s1 = ?s1 n' ∧ s2 =
  ?s2])
  (auto simp: enc-atom-max dest: le-SucI)
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma length-snth-enc[simp]: length (snd (stream-enc (w, I) !! n)) = length I
by auto

```

lemma *stream-set-same*: $\llbracket y \in \text{stream-set } s; s = \text{same } x \rrbracket \implies y = x$
by (*induct rule: stream-set-induct1*) *auto*

lemma *same-alt*: $s = \text{same } x \longleftrightarrow \text{stream-set } s = \{x\}$
using *stream-set-same*[*of - same x x*]
apply *auto*
apply (*metis shd-stream-set*)
apply (*coinduct rule: stream.coinduct*[*of $\lambda s1\ s2. s2 = \text{same } x \wedge \text{stream-set } s1 = \{x\}$*])
apply *auto*
apply (*metis shd-stream-set singleton-iff*)
apply (*metis stl-stream-set singleton-iff*)
by (*metis (full-types) empty-iff insert-iff shd-stream-set stl-stream-set*)

lemma *sdrop-sameE*: $\llbracket \text{sdrop } n\ (w @- \text{same } y) = \text{same } y; p < \text{length } w; \neg p < n \rrbracket \implies w ! p = y$
unfolding *not-less same-alt*
apply (*induct p arbitrary: w n*)
apply *simp*
apply (*metis hd-conv-nth shd-stream-set shift-simps*(1) *singletonE stream-set-shift*)
apply (*case-tac w*)
apply *simp-all*
apply (*case-tac n*)
apply *simp-all*
by (*metis equals0D nth-mem singletonE subset-singletonD*)

lemma *less-length-cutSame*:
 $\llbracket (w @- \text{same } y) !! p = a \rrbracket \implies a = y \vee (p < \text{length } (\text{cutSame } y\ (w @- \text{same } y)) \wedge w ! p = a)$
unfolding *cutSame-def length-stake*
by (*rule LeastI2-ex*[*OF exI*[*of - length w*]])
(auto simp: sdrop-shift shift-snth split: split-if-asm elim: sdrop-sameE)

lemma *less-length-cutSame-Inl*:
 $\llbracket (\forall x \in \text{set } I. \text{case } x \text{ of } \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow \text{finite } P \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True}); r < \text{length } I; I ! r = \text{Inl } p \rrbracket \implies$
 $p < \text{length } (\text{cutSame } (\text{any}, \text{replicate } (\text{length } I) \text{ False}) (\text{stream-enc } (w, I)))$
unfolding *cutSame-def length-stake*
by (*erule LeastI2-ex*[*OF ex-Loop-stream-enc ccontr*])
(auto simp: stream-map2-alt dest!: add-diff-inverse,
metis (lifting, full-types) nth-map nth-replicate sum.simps(5))

lemma *less-length-cutSame-Inr*:
 $\llbracket (\forall x \in \text{set } I. \text{case } x \text{ of } \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow \text{finite } P \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True}); r < \text{length } I; I ! r = \text{Inr } P \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall p \in P. p < \text{length } (\text{cutSame } (\text{any}, \text{replicate } (\text{length } I) \text{ False}) (\text{stream-enc } (w, I)))$
unfolding *cutSame-def length-stake*

```

by (rule ballI, erule LeastI2-ex[OF ex-Loop-stream-enc ccontr])
  (auto simp: stream-map2-alt dest!: add-diff-inverse,
    metis nth-map nth-replicate sum.simps(6))

fun enc :: 'a interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  bool list) list set where
  enc (w, I) = {x.  $\exists$  n. x = (cutSame (any, replicate (length I) False) (stream-enc
    (w, I)) @
    replicate n (any, replicate (length I) False))}

lemma cutSame-all[simp]: cutSame x (same x) = []
unfolding cutSame-def by (auto intro: Least-equality)

lemma cutSame-stop[simp]:
  assumes x  $\neq$  y
  shows cutSame x (xs @- Stream y (same x)) = xs @ [y] (is cutSame x ?s = -)
proof -
  have (LEAST n. sdrop n ?s = same x) = Suc (length xs)
  proof (rule Least-equality)
    show sdrop (Suc (length xs)) ?s = same x
    by (metis sdrop-shift sdrop-simps(2) stream.sels(2))
  next
  fix m assume *: sdrop m ?s = same x
  { assume m < Suc (length xs)
    hence m  $\leq$  length xs by simp
    then obtain ys where sdrop m ?s = ys @- Stream y (same x)
      by atomize-elim (induct m arbitrary: xs, auto)
    with * obtain ys @- Stream y (same x) = same x by simp
    hence Stream y (same x) = same x by (metis sdrop-same sdrop-shift)
    with assms have False by (metis same-simps(1) stream.sels(1))
  }
  thus Suc (length xs)  $\leq$  m by (blast intro: leI)
qed
thus ?thesis unfolding cutSame-def
  by (metis length-append-singleton shift.simps shift-append stake-shift)
qed

lemma cutSame-shift-same:  $\exists$  n. w = cutSame x (w @- same x) @ replicate n x
proof (induct w rule: rev-induct)
  case (snoc a w)
  then obtain n where w = cutSame x (w @- same x) @ replicate n x by blast
  thus ?case
    by (cases a = x)
      (auto simp: same-unfold[symmetric] replicate-append-same[symmetric] intro!:
        exI[of - Suc n])
qed simp

lemma set-cutSame: set (cutSame x (w @- same x))  $\subseteq$  set w
proof (induct w rule: rev-induct)
  case (snoc a w)

```


thus ?case **by** (cases a = x) (auto simp: same-unfold[symmetric])
qed simp

lemma stream-enc-cutSame:

assumes ($\forall x \in \text{set } I. \text{ case } x \text{ of } \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow \text{finite } P \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True}$)
shows stream-enc (w, I) = cutSame (any, replicate (length I) False) (stream-enc (w, I)) @-
 same (any, replicate (length I) False)
unfolding cutSame-def
by (rule trans[OF sym[OF stake-sdrop] arg-cong2[of - - - op @-, OF refl]])
 (rule LeastI-ex[OF ex-Loop-stream-enc[OF assms]])

lemma map-fst-zip-min[simp]: map fst (zip xs ys) \equiv take (min (length xs) (length ys)) xs

proof (induct ys arbitrary: xs)

case Cons **thus** ?case **by** (case-tac xs) auto
qed simp

lemma map-snd-zip-min[simp]: map snd (zip xs ys) \equiv take (min (length xs) (length ys)) ys

proof (induct ys arbitrary: xs)

case Cons **thus** ?case **by** (case-tac xs) auto
qed simp

lemma stream-enc-enc:

assumes ($\forall x \in \text{set } I. \text{ case } x \text{ of } \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow \text{finite } P \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True}$) **and** v: v \in enc (w, I)

shows stream-enc (w, I) = v @- same (any, replicate (length I) False)

(is ?s = ?v @- same ?F)

proof -

from assms(1) **obtain** n **where** sdrop n (stream-enc (w, I)) = same ?F **by** (metis ex-Loop-stream-enc)

moreover from v **obtain** m **where** ?v = cutSame ?F ?s @ replicate m ?F **by** auto

ultimately show ?s = v @- same ?F

by (auto simp del: stream-enc.simps intro: stream-enc-cutSame[OF assms(1)])

qed

lemma stream-enc-enc-some:

assumes ($\forall x \in \text{set } I. \text{ case } x \text{ of } \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow \text{finite } P \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True}$)

shows stream-enc (w, I) = (SOME v. v \in enc (w, I)) @- same (any, replicate (length I) False)

by (rule stream-enc-enc[OF assms], rule someI-ex) auto

lemma enc-unique-length: v \in enc (w, I) $\implies \forall v'. \text{length } v' = \text{length } v \wedge v' \in \text{enc } (w, I) \implies v = v'$

by auto

lemma sdrop-same: sdrop n s = same x $\implies n \leq m \implies s !! m = x$

```

by (metis le-iff-add sdrop-snth snth-same)

lemma fin-cutSame-tl:
  assumes  $\exists n. \text{sdrop } n \ s = \text{same } x$ 
  shows  $\text{fin-cutSame } (\pi \ x) \ (\text{map } \pi \ (\text{cutSame } x \ s)) = \text{cutSame } (\pi \ x) \ (\text{stream-map } \pi \ s)$ 
proof -
  def min  $\equiv \text{LEAST } n. \text{sdrop } n \ s = \text{same } x$ 
  from assms have min:  $\text{sdrop } \text{min} \ s = \text{same } x \wedge m. \text{sdrop } m \ s = \text{same } x \implies \text{min} \leq m$ 
  unfolding min-def by (auto intro: LeastI Least-le)
  have Ex:  $\exists n. \text{drop } n \ (\text{map } \pi \ (\text{stake } \text{min} \ s)) = \text{replicate } (\text{length } (\text{map } \pi \ (\text{stake } \text{min} \ s)) - n) \ (\pi \ x)$ 
  by (auto intro: exI[of - length (map  $\pi$  (stake min s))])
  have fin-cutSame  $(\pi \ x) \ (\text{map } \pi \ (\text{cutSame } x \ s)) =$ 
    map  $\pi \ (\text{stake } (\text{LEAST } n. \text{sdrop } n \ s)) = \text{replicate } (\text{min} - n) \ (\pi \ x) \vee \text{sdrop } n \ s = \text{same } x \ s)$ 
  unfolding fin-cutSame-def cutSame-def take-map take-stake min-Least[OF Ex]
  by (auto simp: min-def[symmetric] min-def[symmetric] by (auto simp: drop-map drop-stake))
  also have  $(\lambda n. \text{map } \pi \ (\text{stake } (\text{min} - n) \ (\text{sdrop } n \ s)) = \text{replicate } (\text{min} - n) \ (\pi \ x) \vee \text{sdrop } n \ s = \text{same } x \ s) =$ 
     $(\lambda n. \text{stream-map } \pi \ (\text{sdrop } n \ s) = \text{same } (\pi \ x))$ 
  proof (rule ext, unfold stream-map-alt snth-same, safe)
    fix n m
    assume map  $\pi \ (\text{stake } (\text{min} - n) \ (\text{sdrop } n \ s)) = \text{replicate } (\text{min} - n) \ (\pi \ x)$ 
    hence  $\forall y \in \text{set } (\text{stake } (\text{min} - n) \ (\text{sdrop } n \ s)). \pi \ y = \pi \ x$ 
    by (intro iffD1[OF map-eq-conv]) (metis length-stake map-replicate-const)
    hence  $\forall i < \text{min} - n. \pi \ (\text{sdrop } n \ s !! i) = \pi \ x$ 
    unfolding all-set-conv-all-nth by (auto simp: sdrop-snth)
    thus  $\pi \ (\text{sdrop } n \ s !! m) = \pi \ x$ 
    proof (cases  $m < \text{min} - n$ )
      case False
      hence  $\text{min} \leq n + m$  by linarith
      hence  $\text{sdrop } n \ s !! m = x$  unfolding sdrop-snth by (rule sdrop-same[OF min(1)])
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed auto
  next
    fix n
    assume  $\forall m. \pi \ (\text{sdrop } n \ s !! m) = \pi \ x$ 
    thus map  $\pi \ (\text{stake } (\text{min} - n) \ (\text{sdrop } n \ s)) = \text{replicate } (\text{min} - n) \ (\pi \ x)$ 
    unfolding stake-stream-map[symmetric] by (metis snth-same stake-same stream-map-alt)
  qed auto
  finally show ?thesis unfolding cutSame-def sdrop-stream-map stake-stream-map
  .
qed

```

lemma *tl-enc[simp]*:
assumes $\forall x \in \text{set } (x \# I). \text{ case } x \text{ of } \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow \text{finite } P \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True}$
shows *SAMEQUOT* (*any*, *replicate* (*length* *I*) *False*) (*map* π ' *enc* (*w*, $x \# I$))
 $= \text{enc } (w, I)$
unfolding *SAMEQUOT-def*
by (*fastforce simp: assms* π -*def*
fin-cutSame-tl[*OF ex-Loop-stream-enc*[*OF assms*], *unfolded* π -*def*, *simplified*,
symmetric])

lemma *encD*:
 $\llbracket v \in \text{enc } (w, I); (\forall x \in \text{set } I. \text{ case } x \text{ of } \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow \text{finite } P \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True}) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $v = \text{map } (\text{split } (\text{enc-atom } I)) (\text{zip } [0 \dots \text{length } v] (\text{stake } (\text{length } v) (w @ - \text{ same any})))$
by (*erule box-equals*[*OF sym*[*OF arg-cong*[*of* - - *stake* (*length* *v*) , *OF stream-enc-enc*]]])
(*auto simp: stake-shift sdrop-shift stake-add*[*symmetric*] *simp del: stake-add*)

lemma *enc-Inl*: $\llbracket x \in \text{enc } (w, I); (\forall x \in \text{set } I. \text{ case } x \text{ of } \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow \text{finite } P \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True});$
 $m < \text{length } I; I ! m = \text{Inl } p \rrbracket \Longrightarrow p < \text{length } x \wedge \text{snd } (x ! p) ! m$
by (*auto dest!: less-length-cutSame-Inl*[*of* - - - *w*] *simp: nth-append cutSame-def*)

lemma *enc-Inr*: **assumes** $x \in \text{enc } (w, I) \forall x \in \text{set } I. \text{ case } x \text{ of } \text{Inr } P \Rightarrow \text{finite } P \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True}$
 $M < \text{length } I \mid M = \text{Inr } P$
shows $p \in P \longleftrightarrow p < \text{length } x \wedge \text{snd } (x ! p) ! M$
proof
assume $p \in P$ **with** *assms* **show** $p < \text{length } x \wedge \text{snd } (x ! p) ! M$
by (*auto dest!: less-length-cutSame-Inr*[*of* - - - *w*] *simp: nth-append cutSame-def*)
next
assume $p < \text{length } x \wedge \text{snd } (x ! p) ! M$
thus $p \in P$ **using** *assms* **by** (*subst* (*asm*) (2) *encD*[*OF assms*(1,2)]) *auto*
qed

lemma *enc-length*:
assumes $\text{enc } (w, I) = \text{enc } (w', I')$
shows $\text{length } I = \text{length } I'$
proof -
let $?cL = \lambda w I. \text{cutSame } (\text{any}, \text{replicate } (\text{length } I) \text{False}) (\text{stream-enc } (w, I))$
let $?w = \lambda w I m. ?cL w I @ \text{replicate } (m - \text{length } (?cL w I)) (\text{any}, \text{replicate } (\text{length } I) \text{False})$
let $?max = \max (\text{length } (?cL w I)) (\text{length } (?cL w' I')) + 1$
from *assms* **have** $?w w I ?max \in \text{enc } (w, I) \text{ ?w } w' I' ?max \in \text{enc } (w', I')$ **by** *auto*
hence $?w w I ?max = ?w w' I' ?max$ **using** *enc-unique-length* *assms* **by** (*simp del: enc.simps*)
moreover **have** $\text{last } (?w w I ?max) = (\text{any}, \text{replicate } (\text{length } I) \text{False})$
 $\text{last } (?w w' I' ?max) = (\text{any}, \text{replicate } (\text{length } I') \text{False})$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** $\text{length } I = \text{length } I'$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *enc-stream-enc*:

```

  ⌊(∀ x ∈ set I. case x of Inr P ⇒ finite P | - ⇒ True);
  (∀ x ∈ set I'. case x of Inr P ⇒ finite P | - ⇒ True);
  enc (w, I) = enc (w', I')⌋ ⇒ stream-enc (w, I) = stream-enc (w', I')
by (rule box-equals[OF - sym[OF stream-enc-enc-some] sym[OF stream-enc-enc-some]])
  (auto dest: enc-length simp del: enc.simps)

```

fun *wf-interp-for-formula* :: 'a interp ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ bool **where**

```

  wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) φ =
    ((∀ a ∈ set w. a ∈ set Σ) ∧
     (∀ n ∈ FOV φ. case I ! n of Inl - ⇒ True | - ⇒ False) ∧
     (∀ n ∈ SOV φ. case I ! n of Inl - ⇒ False | Inr - ⇒ True) ∧
     (∀ x ∈ set I. case x of Inr P ⇒ finite P | - ⇒ True))

```

fun *satisfies* :: 'a interp ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ bool (**infix** \models 50) **where**

```

  (w, I) ⊨ FQ a m = ((case I ! m of Inl p ⇒ if p < length w then w ! p else any)
  = a)
  | (w, I) ⊨ FLess m1 m2 = ((case I ! m1 of Inl p ⇒ p) < (case I ! m2 of Inl p ⇒
  p))
  | (w, I) ⊨ FIn m M = ((case I ! m of Inl p ⇒ p) ∈ (case I ! M of Inr P ⇒ P))
  | (w, I) ⊨ FNot φ = (¬ (w, I) ⊨ φ)
  | (w, I) ⊨ FOr φ1 φ2 = ((w, I) ⊨ φ1 ∨ (w, I) ⊨ φ2)
  | (w, I) ⊨ FAnd φ1 φ2 = ((w, I) ⊨ φ1 ∧ (w, I) ⊨ φ2)
  | (w, I) ⊨ FExists φ = (∃ p. (w, Inl p # I) ⊨ φ)
  | (w, I) ⊨ FEXISTS φ = (∃ P. finite P ∧ (w, Inr P # I) ⊨ φ)

```

definition *lang_{WS1S}* :: nat ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ ('a × bool list) list set **where**

```

  langWS1S n φ = ⋃ {enc (w, I) | w I . length I = n ∧ wf-interp-for-formula (w,
  I) φ ∧ (w, I) ⊨ φ}

```

lemma *encD-ex*: ⌊x ∈ enc (w, I); (∀ x ∈ set I. case x of Inr P ⇒ finite P | - ⇒ True)⌋ ⇒

```

  ∃ n. x = map (split (enc-atom I)) (zip [0 ..< n] (stake n (w @- same any)))
by (auto dest!: encD simp del: enc.simps)

```

lemma *enc-set-σ*: ⌊x ∈ enc (w, I); (∀ x ∈ set I. case x of Inr P ⇒ finite P | - ⇒ True);

```

  length I = n; a ∈ set x; set w ⊆ set Σ⌋ ⇒ a ∈ set (σ Σ n)
apply (auto dest!: encD-ex simp: in-set-zip simp del: enc.simps)
apply (case-tac na < length w)
apply (auto intro!: enc-atom-σ)
done

```

definition *positions-in-row* s i =

```

  Option.these (stream-set (stream-map2 (λp (-, bs). if nth bs i then Some p else
  None) nats s))

```

lemma *positions-in-row*: $\text{positions-in-row } s \ i = \{p. \text{snd } (s !! p) ! i\}$
unfolding *positions-in-row-def these-def stream-map2-szip stream.set-natural'*
stream-set-range
by (*auto split: split-if-asm intro!: image-eqI[of - the] split: prod.splits*)

lemma *positions-in-row-unique*: $\exists ! p. \text{snd } (s !! p) ! i \implies$
 $\text{the-elem } (\text{positions-in-row } s \ i) = (\text{THE } p. \text{snd } (s !! p) ! i)$
by (*rule the1I2*) (*auto simp: the-elem-def positions-in-row*)

lemma *positions-in-row-nth*: $\exists ! p. \text{snd } (s !! p) ! i \implies$
 $\text{snd } (s !! \text{the-elem } (\text{positions-in-row } s \ i)) ! i$
unfolding *positions-in-row-unique* **by** (*rule the1I2*) *auto*

definition *dec-word* $s = \text{cutSame any } (\text{stream-map fst } s)$

lemma *dec-word-stream-enc*: $\text{dec-word } (\text{stream-enc } (w, I)) = \text{cutSame any } (w @ - \text{same any})$
unfolding *dec-word-def* **by** (*auto intro!: arg-cong[of - - cutSame any] simp: stream-map2-alt*)

definition *stream-dec* $n \ FO \ s = \text{map } (\lambda i.$
if $i \in FO$
then $\text{Inl } (\text{the-elem } (\text{positions-in-row } s \ i))$
else $\text{Inr } (\text{positions-in-row } s \ i)) \ [0..<n]$

lemma *stream-dec-Inl*: $\llbracket i \in FO; i < n \rrbracket \implies \exists p. \text{stream-dec } n \ FO \ s ! i = \text{Inl } p$
unfolding *stream-dec-def* **using** *nth-map[of n [0..<n]]* **by** *auto*

lemma *stream-dec-not-Inr*: $\llbracket \text{stream-dec } n \ FO \ s ! i = \text{Inr } P; i \in FO; i < n \rrbracket \implies$
False
unfolding *stream-dec-def* **using** *nth-map[of n [0..<n]]* **by** *auto*

lemma *stream-dec-Inr*: $\llbracket i \notin FO; i < n \rrbracket \implies \exists P. \text{stream-dec } n \ FO \ s ! i = \text{Inr } P$
unfolding *stream-dec-def* **using** *nth-map[of n [0..<n]]* **by** *auto*

lemma *stream-dec-not-Inl*: $\llbracket \text{stream-dec } n \ FO \ s ! i = \text{Inl } p; i \notin FO; i < n \rrbracket \implies$
False
unfolding *stream-dec-def* **using** *nth-map[of n [0..<n]]* **by** *auto*

lemma *Inr-dec-finite*: $\llbracket \forall i < n. \text{finite } \{p. \text{snd } (s !! p) ! i\}; \text{Inr } P \in \text{set } (\text{stream-dec } n \ FO \ s) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{finite } P$
unfolding *stream-dec-def* **by** (*auto simp: positions-in-row*)

lemma *enc-atom-dec*:
 $\llbracket \forall p. \text{length } (\text{snd } (s !! p)) = n; \forall i \in FO. i < n \longrightarrow (\exists ! p. \text{snd } (s !! p) ! i); a = \text{fst } (s !! p) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{enc-atom } (\text{stream-dec } n \ FO \ s) \ p \ a = s !! p$
unfolding *stream-dec-def*

by (*rule sym, subst surjective-pairing*[of $s !! p$])
(auto intro!: nth-equalityI simp: positions-in-row simp del: pair-collapse split:
split-if-asm,
(metis positions-in-row positions-in-row-nth)+)

lemma *length-stream-dec*[simp]: $\text{length } (\text{stream-dec } n \text{ FO } x) = n$
unfolding *stream-dec-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *stream-enc-dec*:
 $\llbracket \exists n. \text{sdrop } n \text{ (stream-map fst } s) = \text{same any};$
 $\text{stream-all } (\lambda x. \text{length } (\text{snd } x) = n) \text{ } s; \forall i \in \text{FO}. (\exists ! p. \text{snd } (s !! p) ! i) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{stream-enc } (\text{dec-word } s, \text{stream-dec } n \text{ FO } s) = s$
unfolding *dec-word-def snth-fromN*
by (*drule LeastI-ex*)
(auto intro!: enc-atom-dec simp: stream-map2-alt cutSame-def
simp del: stake-stream-map sdrop-stream-map
intro!: trans[OF arg-cong2[of - - - op !!] snth-stream-map]
trans[OF arg-cong2[of - - - op @-] stake-sdrop])

lemma *stream-enc-unique*:
 $i < \text{length } I \implies \exists p. I ! i = \text{Inl } p \implies \exists ! p. \text{snd } (\text{stream-enc } (w, I) !! p) ! i$
by *auto*

lemma *stream-dec-enc-Inl*:
 $\llbracket \text{stream-dec } n \text{ FO } (\text{stream-enc } (w, I)) ! i = \text{Inl } p'; I ! i = \text{Inl } p; i \in \text{FO}; i < n;$
 $\text{length } I = n \rrbracket \implies$
 $p = p'$
unfolding *stream-dec-def*
by (*auto intro!: trans[OF - sym[OF positions-in-row-unique[OF stream-enc-unique]]]*)
simp del: stream-enc.simps) simp

lemma *stream-dec-enc-Inr*:
 $\llbracket \text{stream-dec } n \text{ FO } (\text{stream-enc } (w, I)) ! i = \text{Inr } P'; I ! i = \text{Inr } P; i \notin \text{FO}; i < n;$
 $\text{length } I = n \rrbracket \implies$
 $P = P'$
unfolding *stream-dec-def positions-in-row* **by** *auto*

lemma *Collect-snth*: $\{p. P \text{ (Stream } x \text{ } s !! p)\} \subseteq \{0\} \cup \text{Suc } ' \{p. P \text{ (} s !! p)\}$
unfolding *image-def* **by** (*auto simp: gr0-conv-Suc*)

lemma *finite-True-in-row*: $\forall i < n. \text{finite } \{p. \text{snd } ((w @- \text{same } (\text{any}, \text{replicate } n \text{ False})) !! p) ! i\}$
by (*induct w*) (*auto intro: finite-subset[OF Collect-snth]*)

lemma *lang-ENC*:
assumes *wf-formula* $n \text{ } \varphi$
shows $\text{lang } n \text{ (ENC } n \text{ } \varphi) = \bigcup \{\text{enc } (w, I) \mid w \text{ } I. \text{length } I = n \wedge \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \text{ } \varphi\}$
(is ?L = ?R)

```

proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix x assume L: x ∈ ?L
  hence *: set x ⊆ set (σ Σ n) using wf-lang-wf-word[OF wf-rexp-ENC] by (auto
simp: wf-word)
  let ?s = x @- same (any, replicate n False)
  have list-all (λbs. length (snd bs) = n) x
    using bspec[OF wf-lang-wf-word[OF wf-rexp-ENC], OF ⟨x ∈ ?L⟩]
    by (auto simp: list-all-iff wf-word) (auto simp: σ-def set-n-lists)
  hence stream-all (λx. length (snd x) = n) (x @- same (any, replicate n False))
    by (auto simp only: stream-all-shift stream-all-same length-replicate snd-conv)
  moreover
  { fix m assume m ∈ FOV φ
    with asms have m < n by (auto simp: max-idx-vars)
    with L ⟨m ∈ FOV φ⟩ obtain u z v where uzv: x = u @ z @ v
      u ∈ star (lang n (arbitrary-except n [(m, False)] Σ))
      z ∈ lang n (arbitrary-except n [(m, True)] Σ)
      v ∈ star (lang n (arbitrary-except n [(m, False)] Σ)) unfolding ENC-def
    by (auto simp: wf-rexp-valid-ENC finite-FOV dest!: iffD1[OF lang-flatten-INTERSECT,
rotated -1])
    (fastforce simp: valid-ENC-def)
    with ⟨m < n⟩ have ∃!p. snd (x ! p) ! m ∧ p < length x
    proof (intro ex1I[of - length u])
      fix p assume m < n snd (x ! p) ! m ∧ p < length x
      with star-arbitrary-except[OF uzv(2)] arbitrary-except[OF uzv(3)] star-arbitrary-except[OF
uzv(4)]
    show p = length u by (cases rule: nat-less-cases) (auto simp: nth-append
uzv(1))
    qed (auto dest!: arbitrary-except)
    then obtain p where p: p < length x snd (x ! p) ! m
      ∧ q. snd (x ! q) ! m ∧ q < length x → q = p by auto
    hence ∃!p. snd (?s !! p) ! m
    proof (intro ex1I[of - p])
      fix q from p ⟨m < n⟩ show snd (?s !! q) ! m ⇒ q = p by (cases q < length
x) auto
    qed auto
  }
  moreover have sdrop (length x) (stream-map fst (x @- same (any, replicate n
False))) = same any
    unfolding sdrop-stream-map by (subst sdrop-shift[OF refl refl]) simp
    ultimately have enc-dec: stream-enc (dec-word ?s, stream-dec n (FOV φ) ?s)
=
  x @- same (any, replicate n False) by (intro stream-enc-dec) auto
  def I ≡ stream-dec n (FOV φ) ?s
  with asms have wf-interp-for-formula (dec-word ?s, I) φ unfolding I-def
dec-word-def
  by (auto dest: stream-dec-not-Inr stream-dec-not-Inl simp :σ-def max-idx-vars
dest!: set-mp[OF set-cutSame[of any map fst x]] set-mp[OF *] split: sum.splits)
  (auto simp: stream-dec-def positions-in-row finite-True-in-row)
  moreover have length I = n unfolding I-def by simp

```

```

moreover have  $x \in \text{enc}(\text{dec-word } ?s, I)$  unfolding  $I\text{-def}$ 
  by (simp add: enc-dec cutSame-shift-same del: stream-enc.simps)
ultimately show  $x \in ?R$  by blast
next
  fix  $x$  assume  $x \in ?R$ 
  then obtain  $w I$  where  $I: x \in \text{enc}(w, I)$  wf-interp-for-formula  $(w, I) \varphi$  length
   $I = n$  by blast
  { fix  $i$  from  $I(2)$  have  $(w @ - \text{ same any}) !! i \in \text{set } \Sigma$  by (cases  $i < \text{length } w$ )
    auto } note  $*$  = this
  from  $I$  have  $x @ - \text{ same } (\text{any}, \text{replicate } (\text{length } I) \text{ False}) = \text{stream-enc}(w, I)$ 
  (is  $x @ - ?F = ?s$ )
  by (intro stream-enc-enc[symmetric]) auto
  with  $*$   $\langle \text{length } I = n \rangle$  have  $\forall x \in \text{set } x. \text{length}(\text{snd } x) = n \wedge \text{fst } x \in \text{set } \Sigma$ 
  by (auto dest!: shift-snth-less[of - - ?F, symmetric] simp: in-set-conv-nth)
  thus  $x \in ?L$ 
  proof (cases  $FOV \varphi = \{\}$ )
    case False
      hence nonempty: valid-ENC  $n \text{ ' } FOV \varphi \neq \{\}$  by simp
      have finite: finite (valid-ENC  $n \text{ ' } FOV \varphi$ ) by (rule finite-imageI[OF finite-FOV])
      from False assms(1) have  $0 < n$  by (cases  $n$ ) (auto split: dest!: max-idx-vars)
      with wf-rexp-valid-ENC have wf-rexp:  $\forall x \in \text{valid-ENC } n \text{ ' } FOV \varphi. \text{wf } n \text{ } x$  by
auto
      { fix  $r$  assume  $r \in FOV \varphi$ 
        with  $I(2)$  obtain  $p$  where  $p: I ! r = \text{Inl } p$  by (cases  $I ! r$ ) auto
        from  $\langle r \in FOV \varphi \rangle$  assms  $I(2,3)$  have  $r: r < \text{length } I$  by (auto dest!:
max-idx-vars)
        from  $p \text{ } I(1,2) \text{ } r$  have  $p < \text{length } x$ 
          using less-length-cutSame-Inl[of I r p w] by auto
        with  $p \text{ } I \text{ } r *$  have  $[x ! p] \in \text{lang } n (\text{arbitrary-except } n [(r, \text{True})] \Sigma)$ 
          by (subst encD[of x]) (auto intro!: enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True)
        moreover
          from  $p \text{ } I \text{ } r *$  have  $\text{take } p \text{ } x \in \text{star}(\text{lang } n (\text{arbitrary-except } n [(r, \text{False})] \Sigma))$ 
          by (subst encD[of x]) (auto simp: in-set-conv-nth intro!: Ball-starI enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-False)
        moreover
          from  $p \text{ } I \text{ } r *$  have  $\text{drop } (\text{Suc } p) \text{ } x \in \text{star}(\text{lang } n (\text{arbitrary-except } n [(r, \text{False})] \Sigma))$ 
          by (subst encD[of x]) (auto simp: in-set-conv-nth simp del: snth.simps intro!:
Ball-starI enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-False)
        ultimately have  $\text{take } p \text{ } x @ [x ! p] @ \text{drop } (p + 1) \text{ } x \in \text{lang } n (\text{valid-ENC}$ 
n r)
          using  $\langle 0 < n \rangle$  unfolding valid-ENC-def by (auto simp del: append.simps)
          hence  $x \in \text{lang } n (\text{valid-ENC } n \text{ } r)$  using id-take-nth-drop[OF p < length x]
by auto
      }
      with False lang-flatten-INTERSECT[OF finite nonempty wf-rexp] show ?thesis
by (auto simp: ENC-def)
      qed (simp add: ENC-def, auto simp:  $\sigma$ -def set-n-lists image-iff)
    qed

```


10.2 Welldefinedness of enc wrt. Models

lemma *wf-interp-for-formula-FExists:*

$\llbracket \text{wf-formula } (\text{length } I) \text{ (FExists } \varphi) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \text{ (FExists } \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (\forall p. \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, \text{Inl } p \# I) \varphi)$
by (*auto simp: nth-Cons' split: split-if-asm*)

lemma *wf-interp-for-formula-any-Inl:* $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, \text{Inl } p \# I) \varphi \implies$

$\forall p. \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, \text{Inl } p \# I) \varphi$
by (*auto simp: nth-Cons' split: split-if-asm*)

lemma *wf-interp-for-formula-FEXISTS:*

$\llbracket \text{wf-formula } (\text{length } I) \text{ (FEXISTS } \varphi) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \text{ (FEXISTS } \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (\forall P. \text{finite } P \longrightarrow \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, \text{Inr } P \# I) \varphi)$
by (*auto simp: nth-Cons' split: split-if-asm*)

lemma *wf-interp-for-formula-any-Inr:* $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, \text{Inr } P \# I) \varphi \implies$

$\forall P. \text{finite } P \longrightarrow \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, \text{Inr } P \# I) \varphi$
by (*auto simp: nth-Cons' split: split-if-asm*)

lemma *wf-interp-for-formula-FOr:*

$\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \text{ (FOr } \varphi 1 \varphi 2) =$
 $(\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi 1 \wedge \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi 2)$
by *auto*

lemma *wf-interp-for-formula-FAnd:*

$\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \text{ (FAnd } \varphi 1 \varphi 2) =$
 $(\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi 1 \wedge \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi 2)$
by *auto*

lemma *enc-wf-interp:*

$\llbracket \text{wf-formula } (\text{length } I) \varphi; \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi; x \in \text{enc } (w, I) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (\text{dec-word } (x @ - \text{same } (\text{any}, \text{replicate } (\text{length } I) \text{False})),$
 $\text{stream-dec } (\text{length } I) \text{ (FOV } \varphi) (x @ - \text{same } (\text{any}, \text{replicate } (\text{length } I) \text{False})))$

φ

using

stream-dec-Inl[of - FOV φ length I stream-enc (w, I) , OF - bspec[OF max-idx-vars]]
stream-dec-Inr[of - FOV φ length I stream-enc (w, I) , OF - bspec[OF max-idx-vars]]

by (*auto split: sum.splits intro: Inr-dec-finite[OF finite-True-in-row] simp: max-idx-vars dec-word-def*)

dest!: stream-dec-not-Inl stream-dec-not-Inr set-mp[OF set-cutSame] simp del: stream-enc.simps)

(auto simp: cutSame-def in-set-zip stream-map2-alt shift-snth)

lemma *enc-atom-welldef:* $\forall x a. \text{enc-atom } I x a = \text{enc-atom } I' x a \implies m < \text{length } I \implies$

$(\text{case } (I ! m, I' ! m) \text{ of } (\text{Inl } p, \text{Inl } q) \Rightarrow p = q \mid (\text{Inr } P, \text{Inr } Q) \Rightarrow P = Q \mid - \Rightarrow \text{True})$

```

proof (induct length I arbitrary: I I' m)
  case (Suc n I I')
  then obtain x xs x' xs' where *: I = x # xs I' = x' # xs'
    by (fastforce simp: Suc-length-conv map-eq-Cons-conv)
  with Suc show ?case
  proof (cases m)
    case 0 thus ?thesis using Suc(3) unfolding *
      by (cases x x' rule: sum.exhaust[case-product sum.exhaust]) auto
    qed auto
  qed simp

lemma stream-enc-welldef:  $\llbracket \text{stream-enc } (w, I) = \text{stream-enc } (w', I') \rrbracket; \text{wf-formula}$ 
  (length I)  $\varphi$ ;
   $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \varphi; \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w', I') \varphi \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $(w, I) \models \varphi \longleftrightarrow (w', I') \models \varphi$ 
proof (induction  $\varphi$  arbitrary: w w' I I')
  case (FQ a m) thus ?case using enc-atom-welldef[of I I' m]
    by (simp split: sum.splits add: stream-map2-alt shift-snth) (metis snth-same)
  next
    case (FLess m1 m2) thus ?case using enc-atom-welldef[of I I' m1] enc-atom-welldef[of
  I I' m2]
      by (auto split: sum.splits simp add: stream-map2-alt)
    next
      case (FIn m M) thus ?case using enc-atom-welldef[of I I' m] enc-atom-welldef[of
  I I' M]
          by (auto split: sum.splits simp add: stream-map2-alt)
        next
          case (FOr  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$ ) show ?case unfolding satisfies.simps(5)
            proof (intro disj-cong)
              from FOr(3-6) show  $(w, I) \models \varphi_1 \longleftrightarrow (w', I') \models \varphi_1$ 
                by (intro FOr(1)) auto
            next
              from FOr(3-6) show  $(w, I) \models \varphi_2 \longleftrightarrow (w', I') \models \varphi_2$ 
                by (intro FOr(2)) auto
            qed
          next
            case (FAnd  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$ ) show ?case unfolding satisfies.simps(6)
              proof (intro conj-cong)
                from FAnd(3-6) show  $(w, I) \models \varphi_1 \longleftrightarrow (w', I') \models \varphi_1$ 
                  by (intro FAnd(1)) auto
              next
                from FAnd(3-6) show  $(w, I) \models \varphi_2 \longleftrightarrow (w', I') \models \varphi_2$ 
                  by (intro FAnd(2)) auto
              qed
            next
              case (FExists  $\varphi$ )
                hence length: length I' = length I by (metis length-snth-enc)
                show ?case
              proof

```

```

assume  $(w, I) \models FExists \varphi$ 
with  $FExists.prem s(3)$  obtain  $p$  where  $(w, Inl p \# I) \models \varphi$  by auto
moreover
with  $FExists.prem s$  have  $(w', Inl p \# I') \models \varphi$ 
  apply (intro iffD1[OF FExists.IH[of w Inl p # I w' Inl p # I']])
  apply (auto simp: stream-map2-alt split: sum.splits) []
  apply (auto split: sum.splits split-if-asm) []
  apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FExists)
  apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FExists[of I', unfolded length])
  apply assumption
  done
ultimately show  $(w', I') \models FExists \varphi$  by auto
next
assume  $(w', I') \models FExists \varphi$ 
with  $FExists.prem s(1,2,4)$  obtain  $p$  where  $(w', Inl p \# I') \models \varphi$  by auto
moreover
with  $FExists.prem s$  have  $(w, Inl p \# I) \models \varphi$ 
  apply (intro iffD2[OF FExists.IH[of w Inl p # I w' Inl p # I']])
  apply (auto simp: stream-map2-alt split: sum.splits) []
  apply (auto split: sum.splits split-if-asm) []
  apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FExists)
  apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FExists[of I', unfolded length])
  apply assumption
  done
ultimately show  $(w, I) \models FExists \varphi$  by auto
qed
next
case ( $FEXISTS \varphi$ )
hence length: length I' = length I by (metis length-snth-enc)
show ?case
proof
  assume  $(w, I) \models FEXISTS \varphi$ 
  with  $FEXISTS.prem s(3)$  obtain  $P$  where finite P  $(w, Inr P \# I) \models \varphi$  by
auto
  moreover
  with  $FEXISTS.prem s$  have  $(w', Inr P \# I') \models \varphi$ 
    apply (intro iffD1[OF FEXISTS.IH[of w Inr P # I w' Inr P # I']])
    apply (auto simp: stream-map2-alt split: sum.splits) []
    apply (auto split: sum.splits split-if-asm) []
    apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FEXISTS)
    apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FEXISTS[of I', unfolded length])
    apply assumption
    done
  ultimately show  $(w', I') \models FEXISTS \varphi$  by auto
next
assume  $(w', I') \models FEXISTS \varphi$ 
with  $FEXISTS.prem s(1,2,4)$  obtain  $P$  where finite P  $(w', Inr P \# I') \models \varphi$ 
by auto
  moreover

```

```

with FEXISTS.prems have (w, Inr P # I)  $\models \varphi$ 
apply (intro iffD2[OF FEXISTS.IH[of w Inr P # I w' Inr P # I']])
apply (auto simp: stream-map2-alt split: sum.splits) []
apply (auto split: sum.splits split-if-asm) []
apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FEXISTS)
apply (blast dest!: wf-interp-for-formula-FEXISTS[of I', unfolded length])
apply assumption
done
ultimately show (w, I)  $\models$  FEXISTS  $\varphi$  by auto
qed
qed auto

lemma langWS1S-FOr:
  assumes wf-formula n (FOr  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )
  shows langWS1S n (FOr  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )  $\subseteq$ 
    (langWS1S n  $\varphi_1 \cup \text{lang}_{WS1S} n  $\varphi_2$ )  $\cap \bigcup \{ \text{enc } (w, I) \mid w \ I. \text{length } I = n \wedge$ 
wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FOr  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )  $\}$ 
    (is -  $\subseteq$  (?L1  $\cup$  ?L2)  $\cap$  ?ENC)
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix x assume x  $\in$  langWS1S n (FOr  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )
  then obtain w I where
    *: x  $\in$  enc (w, I) wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FOr  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ ) length I = n and
    satisfies (w, I)  $\varphi_1 \vee \text{satisfies}$  (w, I)  $\varphi_2$  unfolding langWS1S-def by auto
  thus x  $\in$  (?L1  $\cup$  ?L2)  $\cap$  ?ENC
proof (elim disjE)
  assume satisfies (w, I)  $\varphi_1$ 
  with * have x  $\in$  ?L1 using assms unfolding langWS1S-def by (fastforce
simp del: enc.simps)
  with * show ?thesis by auto
next
  assume satisfies (w, I)  $\varphi_2$ 
  with * have x  $\in$  ?L2 using assms unfolding langWS1S-def by (fastforce simp
del: enc.simps)
  with * show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed$ 
```

```

lemma langWS1S-FAnd:
  assumes wf-formula n (FAnd  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )
  shows langWS1S n (FAnd  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )  $\subseteq$ 
    (langWS1S n  $\varphi_1 \cap \text{lang}_{WS1S} n  $\varphi_2 \cap \bigcup \{ \text{enc } (w, I) \mid w \ I. \text{length } I = n \wedge$ 
wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FAnd  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )  $\}$ 
    using assms unfolding langWS1S-def by (fastforce simp del: enc.simps)$ 
```

10.3 From WS1S to Regular expressions

```

fun rexp-of :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a formula  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  bool list) rexp where
  rexp-of n (FQ a m) =
    Inter (TIMES [rexp.Not Zero, arbitrary-except n [(m, True)] [a], rexp.Not Zero])

```

```

    (ENC n (FQ a m))
| rexp-of n (FLess m1 m2) = (if m1 = m2 then Zero else
    Inter (TIMES [rexp.Not Zero, arbitrary-except n [(m1, True)] Σ,
        rexp.Not Zero, arbitrary-except n [(m2, True)] Σ,
        rexp.Not Zero]) (ENC n (FLess m1 m2)))
| rexp-of n (FIn m M) =
    Inter (TIMES [rexp.Not Zero, arbitrary-except n [(min m M, True), (max m
M, True)] Σ, rexp.Not Zero])
    (ENC n (FIn m M))
| rexp-of n (FNot φ) = Inter (rexp.Not (rexp-of n φ)) (ENC n (FNot φ))
| rexp-of n (FOr φ1 φ2) = Inter (Plus (rexp-of n φ1) (rexp-of n φ2)) (ENC n (FOr
φ1 φ2))
| rexp-of n (FAnd φ1 φ2) = INTERSECT [rexp-of n φ1, rexp-of n φ2, ENC n
(FAnd φ1 φ2)]
| rexp-of n (FExists φ) = samequot-exec (any, replicate n False) (Pr (rexp-of (n
+ 1) φ))
| rexp-of n (FEXISTS φ) = samequot-exec (any, replicate n False) (Pr (rexp-of
(n + 1) φ))

```

```

fun rexp-of-alt :: nat ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ ('a × bool list) rexp where
    rexp-of-alt n (FQ a m) =
        TIMES [rexp.Not Zero, arbitrary-except n [(m, True)] [a], rexp.Not Zero]
| rexp-of-alt n (FLess m1 m2) = (if m1 = m2 then Zero else
    TIMES [rexp.Not Zero, arbitrary-except n [(m1, True)] Σ,
        rexp.Not Zero, arbitrary-except n [(m2, True)] Σ,
        rexp.Not Zero])
| rexp-of-alt n (FIn m M) =
    TIMES [rexp.Not Zero, arbitrary-except n [(min m M, True), (max m M, True)]
Σ, rexp.Not Zero]
| rexp-of-alt n (FNot φ) = rexp.Not (rexp-of-alt n φ)
| rexp-of-alt n (FOr φ1 φ2) = Plus (rexp-of-alt n φ1) (rexp-of-alt n φ2)
| rexp-of-alt n (FAnd φ1 φ2) = Inter (rexp-of-alt n φ1) (rexp-of-alt n φ2)
| rexp-of-alt n (FExists φ) = samequot-exec (any, replicate n False) (Pr (Inter
(rexp-of-alt (n + 1) φ) (ENC (Suc n) φ)))
| rexp-of-alt n (FEXISTS φ) = samequot-exec (any, replicate n False) (Pr (Inter
(rexp-of-alt (n + 1) φ) (ENC (Suc n) φ)))

```

definition $\text{rexp-of}' n \varphi = \text{Inter} (\text{rexp-of-alt } n \varphi) (\text{ENC } n \varphi)$

lemma *enc-eqI*:

```

assumes  $x \in \text{enc } (w, I)$   $x \in \text{enc } (w', I')$  wf-interp-for-formula  $(w, I) \varphi$ 
wf-interp-for-formula  $(w', I') \varphi$ 
     $\text{length } I = \text{length } I'$ 

```

shows $\text{enc } (w, I) = \text{enc } (w', I')$

proof –

from *assms* **have** $\text{stream-enc } (w, I) = \text{stream-enc } (w', I')$

by (*intro* *box-equals*[*OF* - *stream-enc-enc*[*symmetric*] *stream-enc-enc*[*symmetric*]])

auto

thus *?thesis* **using** *assms*(5) **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *enc-eq-welldef*:

$\llbracket \text{enc } (w, I) = \text{enc } (w', I'); \text{wf-formula } (\text{length } I) \ \varphi; \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \ \varphi; \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w', I') \ \varphi \rrbracket \implies$
 $(w, I) \models \varphi \iff (w', I') \models \varphi$
by (*intro stream-enc-welldef*) (*auto simp del: stream-enc.simps intro!: enc-stream-enc*)

lemma *enc-welldef*:

$\llbracket x \in \text{enc } (w, I); x \in \text{enc } (w', I'); \text{length } I = \text{length } I'; \text{wf-formula } (\text{length } I) \ \varphi; \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \ \varphi; \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w', I') \ \varphi \rrbracket \implies$
 $(w, I) \models \varphi \iff (w', I') \models \varphi$
by (*intro enc-eq-welldef[OF enc-eqI]*)

lemma *wf-rexp-of*: $\text{wf-formula } n \ \varphi \implies \text{wf } n \ (\text{rexp-of } n \ \varphi)$

by (*induct φ arbitrary: n*)
(auto simp: wf-rexp-ENC intro: wf-rexp-arbitrary-except intro!: wf-samequot-exec,
auto simp: σ -def set-n-lists image-iff)

theorem *lang_{WS1S}-rexp-of*: $\text{wf-formula } n \ \varphi \implies \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ \varphi = \text{lang } n \ (\text{rexp-of } n \ \varphi)$

(*is - \implies - = ?L n φ*)

proof (*induct φ arbitrary: n*)

case (*FQ a m*)

show *?case*

proof (*intro equalityI subsetI*)

fix *x* **assume** $x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ (FQ \ a \ m)$

then obtain *w I* **where**

$*: x \in \text{enc } (w, I) \ \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \ (FQ \ a \ m) \ \text{length } I = n \ (w, I)$

$\models FQ \ a \ m$

unfolding *lang_{WS1S}-def* **by** *blast*

hence *x-alt*: $x = \text{map } (\text{split } (\text{enc-atom } I)) \ (\text{zip } [0 \ ..< \text{length } x] \ (\text{stake } (\text{length } x) \ (w \ @- \ \text{same } \text{any})))$

by (*intro encD*) *auto*

from *FQ(1) *(2,4)* **obtain** *p* **where** $p: I \ ! \ m = \text{Inl } p$

by (*auto simp: all-set-conv-all-nth enc-def split: sum.splits*)

with *FQ(1) ** **have** *p-less*: $p < \text{length } x$

by (*auto simp del: stream-enc.simps intro: trans-less-add1[OF less-length-cutSame-Inl]*)

hence *enc-atom*: $x \ ! \ p = \text{enc-atom } I \ p \ ((w \ @- \ \text{same } \text{any}) \ !! \ p) \ (\text{is } - = \text{enc-atom}$

$- \ - \ ?p)$

by (*subst x-alt, simp*)

with $*(1) \ p\text{-less}(1)$ **have** $x = \text{take } p \ x \ @ \ [\text{enc-atom } I \ p \ ?p] \ @ \ \text{drop } (p + 1) \ x$

using *id-take-nth-drop[of p x]* **by** *auto*

moreover

from $*(2,3,4) \ FQ(1) \ p$ **have** $[\text{enc-atom } I \ p \ ?p] \in \text{lang } n \ (\text{arbitrary-except } n \ [(m, \text{True})] \ [a])$

by (*intro enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True*) *auto*

moreover from $*(2,3)$ **have** $\text{take } p \ x \in \text{lang } n \ (\text{rexp.Not Zero})$

by (*subst x-alt*) (*auto simp: in-set-zip shift-snth intro!: enc-atom- σ dest!:*

```

in-set-takeD)
  moreover from  $\ast(2,3)$  have drop (Suc p)  $x \in \text{lang } n$  (rexp.Not Zero)
    by (subst x-alt) (auto simp: in-set-zip shift-snth intro!: enc-atom- $\sigma$  dest!:
in-set-dropD)
  ultimately show  $x \in ?L \ n$  (FQ a m) using  $\ast(1,2,3)$ 
    unfolding rexp-of.simps lang.simps(5,8) rexp-of-list.simps lang-ENC[OF
FQ]
    by (auto elim: ssubst simp del: o-apply append.simps lang.simps enc.simps)
next
fix x let  $?x = x @ -$  same (any, replicate n False)
assume x:  $x \in ?L \ n$  (FQ a m)
with FQ obtain w I where
  I:  $x \in \text{enc } (w, I)$  length I = n wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FQ a m)
  unfolding rexp-of.simps lang.simps lang-ENC[OF FQ] by fastforce
hence stream-enc: stream-enc (w, I) =  $?x$  using stream-enc-enc by auto
from I FQ obtain p where m:  $I \neq m = \text{Inl } p \ m < \text{length } I$  by (auto split:
sum.splits)
with I have wf-interp-for-formula (dec-word  $?x$ , stream-dec n {m}  $?x$ ) (FQ a
m) unfolding I(1)
  using enc-wf-interp[OF FQ(1)[folded I(2)]] by auto
moreover
from x obtain u1 u u2 where  $x = u1 @ u @ u2$ 
  u  $\in \text{lang } n$  (arbitrary-except n [(m, True)] [a])
  unfolding rexp-of.simps lang.simps rexp-of-list.simps using concE by fast
with FQ(1) obtain v where v:  $x = u1 @ [v] @ u2$  snd v ! m fst v = a
  using arbitrary-except[of u n m True [a]] by fastforce
from v have u: length u1 < length x by auto
{ from v have snd (x ! length u1) ! m by auto
  moreover
    from m I have p < length x snd (x ! p) ! m by (auto dest: enc-Inl simp del:
enc.simps)
  moreover
    from m I have ex1:  $\exists ! p. \text{snd } (\text{stream-enc } (w, I) !! p) ! m$  by (intro
stream-enc-unique) auto
  ultimately have p = length u1 unfolding stream-enc using u I(3) by auto
} note  $\ast = \text{this}$ 
from v have v = x ! length u1 by simp
with u have  $?x !! \text{length } u1 = v$  by (auto simp: shift-snth)
with  $\ast \ m \ I \ v(3)$  have (dec-word  $?x$ , stream-dec n {m}  $?x$ )  $\models \text{FQ } a \ m$ 
  using stream-enc-enc[OF - I(1), symmetric] less-length-cutSame[of w any
length u1 a]
  by (auto simp del: enc.simps stream-enc.simps simp: dec-word-stream-enc
dest!:
  stream-dec-enc-Inl stream-dec-not-Inr split: sum.splits)
  (auto simp: stream-map2-alt cutSame-def)
moreover from m I(2)
  have stream-enc-dec: stream-enc (dec-word (stream-enc (w, I)), stream-dec n
{m} (stream-enc (w, I))) = stream-enc (w, I)
  by (intro stream-enc-dec)

```

```

      (auto simp: stream-map2-alt sdrop-snth shift-snth intro: stream-enc-unique,
        auto simp: stream-map2-szip stream.set-natural')
    moreover from I have wf-word n x unfolding wf-word by (auto elim:
enc-set-σ simp del: enc.simps)
    ultimately show x ∈ langWS1S n (FQ a m) unfolding langWS1S-def using
m I(1,3)
      by (auto simp del: enc.simps stream-enc.simps intro!: exI[of - enc (dec-word
?x, stream-dec n {m} ?x)],
        fastforce simp del: enc.simps stream-enc.simps,
        auto simp del: stream-enc.simps simp: stream-enc[symmetric] I(2))
  qed
next
  case (FLess m m')
  show ?case
  proof (cases m = m')
    case False
    thus ?thesis
    proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
      fix x assume x ∈ langWS1S n (FLess m m')
      then obtain w I where
        *: x ∈ enc (w, I) wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FLess m m') length I = n
        (w, I) ⊨ FLess m m'
      unfolding langWS1S-def by blast
      hence x-alt: x = map (split (enc-atom I)) (zip [0 ..< length x] (stake (length
x) (w @- same any)))
      by (intro encD) auto
      from FLess(1) *(2,4) obtain p q where pq: I ! m = Inl p I ! m' = Inl q p
< q
      by (auto simp: all-set-conv-all-nth enc-def split: sum.splits)
      with FLess(1) *(1,2,3) have pq-less: p < length x q < length x
      by (auto simp del: stream-enc.simps intro!: trans-less-add1[OF less-length-cutSame-Inl])
      hence enc-atom: x ! p = enc-atom I p ((w @- same any) !! p) (is - =
enc-atom - - ?p)
        x ! q = enc-atom I q ((w @- same any) !! q) (is - = enc-atom -
- ?q) by (subst x-alt, simp)+
      with *(1) pq-less(1) have x = take p x @ [enc-atom I p ?p] @ drop (p + 1)
x
      using id-take-nth-drop[of p x] by auto
      also have drop (p + 1) x = take (q - p - 1) (drop (p + 1) x) @
[enc-atom I q ?q] @ drop (q - p) (drop (p + 1) x) (is - = ?LHS)
      using id-take-nth-drop[of q - p - 1 drop (p + 1) x] pq pq-less(2) enc-atom(2)
by auto
      finally have x = take p x @ [enc-atom I p ?p] @ ?LHS .
    moreover from *(2,3) FLess(1) pq(1)
      have [enc-atom I p ?p] ∈ lang n (arbitrary-except n [(m, True)] Σ)
      by (intro enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True) (auto simp: shift-snth)
    moreover from *(2,3) FLess(1) pq(2)
      have [enc-atom I q ?q] ∈ lang n (arbitrary-except n [(m', True)] Σ)
      by (intro enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True) (auto simp: shift-snth)

```


moreover from $*(2,3)$ **have** $\text{take } p \ x \in \text{lang } n \ (\text{rexp.Not Zero})$
by $(\text{subst } x\text{-alt}) \ (\text{auto simp: in-set-zip shift-snth intro!: enc-atom-}\sigma \ \text{dest!:$
 $\text{in-set-takeD})$
moreover from $*(2,3)$ **have** $\text{take } (q - p - 1) \ (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } p) \ x) \in \text{lang } n$
 (rexp.Not Zero)
by $(\text{subst } x\text{-alt}) \ (\text{auto simp: in-set-zip shift-snth intro!: enc-atom-}\sigma \ \text{dest!:$
 $\text{in-set-dropD in-set-takeD})$
moreover from $*(2,3)$ **have** $\text{drop } (q - p) \ (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } p) \ x) \in \text{lang } n \ (\text{rexp.Not}$
 $\text{Zero})$
by $(\text{subst } x\text{-alt}) \ (\text{auto simp: in-set-zip shift-snth intro!: enc-atom-}\sigma \ \text{dest!:$
 $\text{in-set-dropD})$
ultimately show $x \in ?L \ n \ (F\text{Less } m \ m')$ **using** $*(1,2,3)$
unfolding $\text{rexp-of.simps lang.simps}(5,8) \ \text{rexp-of-list.simps Int-Diff lang-ENC}[OF$
 $F\text{Less}] \ \text{if-not-P}[OF \text{False}]$
by $(\text{auto elim: ssbst simp del: o-apply append.simps lang.simps enc.simps})$
next
fix x **let** $?x = x \ @- \ \text{same} \ (\text{any}, \text{replicate } n \ \text{False})$
assume $x: x \in ?L \ n \ (F\text{Less } m \ m')$
with $F\text{Less}$ **obtain** $w \ I$ **where**
 $I: x \in \text{enc } (w, I) \ \text{length } I = n \ \text{wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) \ (F\text{Less } m \ m')$
unfolding $\text{rexp-of.simps lang.simps lang-ENC}[OF F\text{Less}] \ \text{if-not-P}[OF \text{False}]$
by fastforce
hence $\text{stream-enc: stream-enc } (w, I) = x \ @- \ \text{same} \ (\text{any}, \text{replicate } n \ \text{False})$
using stream-enc-enc **by** auto
from $I \ F\text{Less}$ **obtain** $p \ p'$ **where** $m: I \ ! \ m = \text{Inl } p \ m < \text{length } I \ I \ ! \ m' =$
 $\text{Inl } p' \ m' < \text{length } I$
by $(\text{auto split: sum.splits})$
with I **have** $\text{wf-interp-for-formula } (\text{dec-word } ?x, \text{stream-dec } n \ \{m, m'\} \ ?x)$
 $(F\text{Less } m \ m')$ **unfolding** $I(1)$
using $\text{enc-wf-interp}[OF F\text{Less}(1)[\text{folded } I(2)]]$ **by** auto
moreover
from x **obtain** $u1 \ u \ u2 \ u' \ u3$ **where** $x = u1 \ @ \ u \ @ \ u2 \ @ \ u' \ @ \ u3$
 $u \in \text{lang } n \ (\text{arbitrary-except } n \ [(m, \text{True})] \ \Sigma)$
 $u' \in \text{lang } n \ (\text{arbitrary-except } n \ [(m', \text{True})] \ \Sigma)$
unfolding $\text{rexp-of.simps lang.simps rexp-of-list.simps if-not-P}[OF \text{False}]$
using concE **by** fast
with $F\text{Less}(1)$ **obtain** $v \ v'$ **where** $v: x = u1 \ @ \ [v] \ @ \ u2 \ @ \ [v'] \ @ \ u3$
 $\text{snd } v \ ! \ m \ \text{snd } v' \ ! \ m' \ \text{fst } v \in \text{set } \Sigma \ \text{fst } v' \in \text{set } \Sigma$
using $\text{arbitrary-except}[of \ u \ n \ m \ \text{True } \Sigma] \ \text{arbitrary-except}[of \ u' \ n \ m' \ \text{True}$
 $\Sigma]$ **by** fastforce
hence $u: \text{length } u1 < \text{length } x \ \text{and } u': \text{Suc } (\text{length } u1 + \text{length } u2) < \text{length}$
 $x \ (\text{is } ?u' < -) \ \text{by } \text{auto}$
{ from v **have** $\text{snd } (x \ ! \ \text{length } u1) \ ! \ m \ \text{by } \text{auto}$
moreover
from $m \ I$ **have** $p < \text{length } x \ \text{snd } (x \ ! \ p) \ ! \ m \ \text{by } (\text{auto dest: enc-Inl simp}$
 $\text{del: enc.simps})$
moreover
from $m \ I$ **have** $\text{ex1: } \exists ! p. \ \text{snd } (\text{stream-enc } (w, I) \ !! \ p) \ ! \ m \ \text{by } (\text{intro}$
 $\text{stream-enc-unique}) \ \text{auto}$

```

      ultimately have  $p = \text{length } u1$  unfolding stream-enc using  $u\ I(3)$  by
    auto
  }
  { from  $v$  have  $\text{snd } (x ! ?u') ! m'$  by (auto simp: nth-append)
    moreover
    from  $m\ I$  have  $p' < \text{length } x$  and  $\text{snd } (x ! p') ! m'$  by (auto dest: enc-Inl simp
del: enc.simps)
    moreover
    from  $m\ I$  have  $ex1: \exists ! p. \text{snd } (\text{stream-enc } (w, I) !! p) ! m'$  unfolding  $I(1)$ 
by (intro stream-enc-unique) auto
    ultimately have  $p' = ?u'$  unfolding stream-enc using  $u'\ I(3)$  by auto
    (metis shift-snth-less)
  } note  $\ast = \text{this } (p = \text{length } u1)$ 
  with  $m\ I$  have  $(\text{dec-word } ?x, \text{stream-dec } n \{m, m'\} ?x) \models \text{FLess } m\ m'$ 
    using stream-enc-enc[OF - I(1), symmetric]
    by (auto dest: stream-dec-not-Inr stream-dec-enc-Inl split: sum.splits simp
del: stream-enc.simps)
  moreover from  $m\ I(2)$ 
    have stream-enc-dec:  $\text{stream-enc } (\text{dec-word } (\text{stream-enc } (w, I)), \text{stream-dec } n \{m, m'\} (\text{stream-enc } (w, I))) = \text{stream-enc } (w, I)$ 
    by (intro stream-enc-dec)
    (auto simp: stream-map2-alt sdrop-snth shift-snth intro: stream-enc-unique,
auto simp: stream-map2-szip stream.set-natural')
  moreover from  $I$  have wf-word  $n\ x$  unfolding wf-word by (auto elim:
enc-set-σ simp del: enc.simps)
  ultimately show  $x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S}\ n\ (\text{FLess } m\ m')$  unfolding lang_{WS1S}-def
using  $m\ I(1,3)$ 
    by (auto simp del: enc.simps stream-enc.simps intro!: exI[of - enc (dec-word
?x, stream-dec } n {m, m'} ?x)],
fastforce simp del: enc.simps stream-enc.simps,
auto simp del: stream-enc.simps simp: stream-enc[symmetric] I(2))
  qed
qed (simp add: lang_{WS1S}-def del: o-apply)
next
case (FIn m M)
show ?case
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S}\ n\ (\text{FIn } m\ M)$ 
  then obtain  $w\ I$  where
     $\ast: x \in \text{enc } (w, I)$  wf-interp-for-formula  $(w, I)\ (\text{FIn } m\ M)\ \text{length } I = n\ (w,$ 
 $I) \models \text{FIn } m\ M$ 
    unfolding lang_{WS1S}-def by blast
  hence  $x\text{-alt}: x = \text{map } (\text{split } (\text{enc-atom } I))\ (\text{zip } [0 ..< \text{length } x]\ (\text{stake } (\text{length } x)\ (w\ @\text{--}\ \text{same any})))$ 
    by (intro encD) auto
  from  $\text{FIn}(1)\ \ast(2,4)$  obtain  $p\ P$  where  $p: I ! m = \text{Inl } p\ I ! M = \text{Inr } P\ p \in P$ 
    by (auto simp: all-set-conv-all-nth enc-def split: sum.splits)
  with  $\text{FIn}(1)\ \ast(1,2,3)$  have  $p\text{-less}: p < \text{length } x\ \forall p \in P. p < \text{length } x$ 
    by (auto simp del: stream-enc.simps intro: trans-less-add1[OF less-length-cutSame-Inl])

```

$trans-less-add1[OF\ bspec[OF\ less-length-cutSame-Inr]]$
hence $enc-atom: x ! p = enc-atom\ I\ p\ ((w\ @- \text{same any})\ !!\ p)$ (**is** $= enc-atom$
 $- -\ ?p$)
 $\forall p \in P. x ! p = enc-atom\ I\ p\ ((w\ @- \text{same any})\ !!\ p)$ (**is** $Ball - (\lambda p. -$
 $= enc-atom - -\ (?P\ p))$)
by $(subst\ x-alt, simp)+$
with $*(1)\ p-less(1)$ **have** $x = take\ p\ x\ @\ [enc-atom\ I\ p\ ?p]\ @\ drop\ (p + 1)\ x$
using $id-take-nth-drop[of\ p\ x]$ **by** $auto$
moreover
have $[enc-atom\ I\ p\ ?p] \in lang\ n\ (arbitrary-except\ n\ [(min\ m\ M, True), (max\ m\ M, True)]\ \Sigma)$
proof $(cases\ m < M)$
case $True$ **with** $*(2,3)\ FIn(1)\ p$ **show** $?thesis$
by $(intro\ enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True2)$ $(auto\ simp: min-absorb1\ max-absorb2\ shift-snth)$
next
case $False$ **with** $*(2,3)\ FIn(1)\ p$ **show** $?thesis$
by $(intro\ enc-atom-lang-arbitrary-except-True2)$ $(auto\ simp: min-absorb2\ max-absorb1\ shift-snth)$
qed
moreover from $*(2,3)$ **have** $take\ p\ x \in lang\ n\ (rexp.Not\ Zero)$
by $(subst\ x-alt)$ $(auto\ simp: in-set-zip\ shift-snth\ intro!: enc-atom-\sigma\ dest!:$
 $in-set-takeD)$
moreover from $*(2,3)$ **have** $drop\ (Suc\ p)\ x \in lang\ n\ (rexp.Not\ Zero)$
by $(subst\ x-alt)$ $(auto\ simp: in-set-zip\ shift-snth\ intro!: enc-atom-\sigma\ dest!:$
 $in-set-dropD)$
ultimately show $x \in ?L\ n\ (FIn\ m\ M)$ **using** $*(1,2,3)$
unfolding $rexp-of.simps\ lang.simps(5,8)\ rexp-of-list.simps\ Int-Diff\ lang-ENC[OF\ FIn]$
by $(auto\ elim: ssubst\ simp\ del: o-apply\ append.simps\ lang.simps\ enc.simps)$
next
fix x **let** $?x = x\ @- \text{same}\ (any, replicate\ n\ False)$
assume $x: x \in ?L\ n\ (FIn\ m\ M)$
with FIn **obtain** $w\ I$ **where**
 $I: x \in enc\ (w, I)\ length\ I = n\ wf-interp-for-formula\ (w, I)\ (FIn\ m\ M)$
unfolding $rexp-of.simps\ lang.simps\ lang-ENC[OF\ FIn]$ **by** $fastforce$
hence $stream-enc: stream-enc\ (w, I) = ?x$ **using** $stream-enc-enc$ **by** $auto$
from $I\ FIn$ **obtain** $p\ P$ **where** $m: I ! m = Inl\ p\ m < length\ I\ I ! M = Inr\ P$
 $M < length\ I$
by $(auto\ split: sum.splits)$
with I **have** $wf-interp-for-formula\ (dec-word\ ?x, stream-dec\ n\ \{m\}\ ?x)\ (FIn\ m\ M)$ **unfolding** $I(1)$
using $enc-wf-interp[OF\ FIn(1)[folded\ I(2)]]$ **by** $auto$
moreover
from x **obtain** $u1\ u\ u2$ **where** $x = u1\ @\ u\ @\ u2$
 $u \in lang\ n\ (arbitrary-except\ n\ [(min\ m\ M, True), (max\ m\ M, True)]\ \Sigma)$
unfolding $rexp-of.simps\ lang.simps\ rexp-of-list.simps$ **using** $concE$ **by** $fast$
with $FIn(1)$ **obtain** v **where** $v: x = u1\ @\ [v]\ @\ u2$ **and** $snd\ v ! min\ m\ M$
 $snd\ v ! max\ m\ M\ fst\ v \in set\ \Sigma$

```

    using arbitrary-except2[of u n min m M True max m M True  $\Sigma$ ] by fastforce
  hence v': snd v ! m snd v ! M
    by (induct m < M) (auto simp: min-absorb1 min-absorb2 max-absorb1
max-absorb2)
  from v have u: length u1 < length x by auto
  { from v v' have snd (x ! length u1) ! m by auto
    moreover
    from m I have p < length x snd (x ! p) ! m by (auto dest: enc-Inl simp del:
enc.simps)
    moreover
    from m I have ex1:  $\exists! p.$  snd (stream-enc (w, I) !! p) ! m by (intro
stream-enc-unique) auto
    ultimately have p = length u1 unfolding stream-enc using u I(3) by auto
  } note * = this
  from v v' have v = x ! length u1 by simp
  with v'(2) m(3,4) u I(1,3) have length u1  $\in P$  by (auto dest!: enc-Inr simp
del: enc.simps)
  with * m I have (dec-word ?x, stream-dec n {m} ?x)  $\models$  FIn m M
    using stream-enc-enc[OF - I(1), symmetric]
  by (auto simp del: stream-enc.simps dest: stream-dec-not-Inr stream-dec-not-Inl
stream-dec-enc-Inl stream-dec-enc-Inr split: sum.splits)
  moreover from m I(2)
  have stream-enc-dec: stream-enc (dec-word (stream-enc (w, I)), stream-dec n
{m} (stream-enc (w, I))) = stream-enc (w, I)
    by (intro stream-enc-dec)
    (auto simp: stream-map2-alt sdrop-snth shift-snth intro: stream-enc-unique,
auto simp: stream-map2-szip stream.set-natural')
  moreover from I have wf-word n x unfolding wf-word by (auto elim:
enc-set- $\sigma$  simp del: enc.simps)
  ultimately show x  $\in$  langWS1S n (FIn m M) unfolding langWS1S-def using
m I(1,3)
  by (auto simp del: enc.simps stream-enc.simps intro!: exI[of - enc (dec-word
?x, stream-dec n {m} ?x)],
fastforce simp del: enc.simps stream-enc.simps,
auto simp del: stream-enc.simps simp: stream-enc[symmetric] I(2))

qed
next
case (FOr  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$ )
from FOr(3) have IH1: langWS1S n  $\varphi_1$  = lang n (rexp-of n  $\varphi_1$ )
  by (intro FOr(1)) auto
from FOr(3) have IH2: langWS1S n  $\varphi_2$  = lang n (rexp-of n  $\varphi_2$ )
  by (intro FOr(2)) auto
show ?case
proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
  fix x assume x  $\in$  langWS1S n (FOr  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$ ) thus x  $\in$  lang n (rexp-of n (FOr
 $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$ ))
    using langWS1S-FOr[OF FOr(3)] unfolding lang-ENC[OF FOr(3)] rexp-of.simps
lang.simps IH1 IH2 by blast
next

```

```

fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{lang } n \text{ (rexp-of } n \text{ (FOr } \varphi_1 \varphi_2))$ 
then obtain  $w \ I$  where  $\text{or: } x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ \varphi_1 \vee x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ \varphi_2$  and
 $I: x \in \text{enc} \ (w, I) \ \text{length } I = n$ 
   $\text{wf-interp-for-formula} \ (w, I) \text{ (FOr } \varphi_1 \varphi_2)$ 
  unfolding  $\text{lang-ENC[OF FOr(3)] rexp-of.simps lang.simps IH1 IH2 Int-Diff}$ 
by auto
  have  $(w, I) \models \varphi_1 \vee (w, I) \models \varphi_2$ 
  proof (intro mp[OF disj-mono[OF impI impI] or])
    assume  $x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ \varphi_1$ 
    with  $I \text{ FOr(3)}$  show  $(w, I) \models \varphi_1$ 
      unfolding  $\text{lang}_{WS1S}\text{-def } I(1) \text{ wf-interp-for-formula-FOr}$ 
      by (auto dest!: enc-welldef[of x w I - -  $\varphi_1$ ] simp del: enc.simps)
    next
      assume  $x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ \varphi_2$ 
      with  $I \text{ FOr(3)}$  show  $(w, I) \models \varphi_2$ 
        unfolding  $\text{lang}_{WS1S}\text{-def } I(1) \text{ wf-interp-for-formula-FOr}$ 
        by (auto dest!: enc-welldef[of x w I - -  $\varphi_2$ ] simp del: enc.simps)
    qed
  with  $I$  show  $x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \text{ (FOr } \varphi_1 \varphi_2)$  unfolding  $\text{lang}_{WS1S}\text{-def}$  by auto
qed
next
  case ( $\text{FAnd } \varphi_1 \varphi_2$ )
  from  $\text{FAnd(3)}$  have  $\text{IH1: } \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ \varphi_1 = \text{lang } n \text{ (rexp-of } n \ \varphi_1)$ 
    by (intro FAnd(1)) auto
  from  $\text{FAnd(3)}$  have  $\text{IH2: } \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ \varphi_2 = \text{lang } n \text{ (rexp-of } n \ \varphi_2)$ 
    by (intro FAnd(2)) auto
  show ?case
  proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
    fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \text{ (FAnd } \varphi_1 \varphi_2)$  thus  $x \in \text{lang } n \text{ (rexp-of } n \text{ (FAnd } \varphi_1 \varphi_2))$ 
      using  $\text{lang}_{WS1S}\text{-FAnd[OF FAnd(3)]}$ 
      unfolding  $\text{lang-ENC[OF FAnd(3)] rexp-of.simps rexp-of-list.simps lang.simps}$ 
       $\text{IH1 IH2 Int-assoc}$ 
      by blast
    next
      fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{lang } n \text{ (rexp-of } n \text{ (FAnd } \varphi_1 \varphi_2))$ 
      then obtain  $w \ I$  where  $\text{and: } x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ \varphi_1 \wedge x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ \varphi_2$  and
       $I: x \in \text{enc} \ (w, I) \ \text{length } I = n$ 
       $\text{wf-interp-for-formula} \ (w, I) \text{ (FAnd } \varphi_1 \varphi_2)$ 
      unfolding  $\text{lang-ENC[OF FAnd(3)] rexp-of.simps rexp-of-list.simps lang.simps}$ 
       $\text{IH1 IH2 Int-Diff}$  by auto
      have  $(w, I) \models \varphi_1 \wedge (w, I) \models \varphi_2$ 
      proof (intro mp[OF conj-mono[OF impI impI] and])
        assume  $x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ \varphi_1$ 
        with  $I \text{ FAnd(3)}$  show  $(w, I) \models \varphi_1$ 
          unfolding  $\text{lang}_{WS1S}\text{-def } I(1) \text{ wf-interp-for-formula-FAnd}$ 
          by (auto dest!: enc-welldef[of x w I - -  $\varphi_1$ ] simp del: enc.simps)
        next
          assume  $x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ \varphi_2$ 

```

```

    with I FAnd(3) show (w, I) ⊨ φ2
      unfolding langWS1S-def I(1) wf-interp-for-formula-FAnd
      by (auto dest!: enc-welldef[of x w I - φ2] simp del: enc.simps)
    qed
    with I show x ∈ langWS1S n (FAnd φ1 φ2) unfolding langWS1S-def by
auto
  qed
next
  case (FNot φ)
  hence IH: ?L n φ = langWS1S n φ by simp
  show ?case
  proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
    fix x assume x ∈ langWS1S n (FNot φ)
    then obtain w I where
      *: x ∈ enc (w, I) wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) φ length I = n and unsat: ¬
(w, I) ⊨ φ
    unfolding langWS1S-def by auto
    { assume x ∈ ?L n φ
      hence (w, I) ⊨ φ using enc-welldef[of x w I - φ, OF *(1) - - *(2)]
    FNot(2)
      unfolding *(3) langWS1S-def IH by auto
    }
    with unsat have x ∉ ?L n φ by blast
    with * show x ∈ ?L n (FNot φ) unfolding rexp-of.simps lang.simps using
lang-ENC[OF FNot(2)]
    by (auto simp del: enc.simps simp: comp-def intro: enc-set-σ)
  next
    fix x assume x ∈ ?L n (FNot φ)
    with IH have x ∈ lang n (ENC n (FNot φ)) and x: x ∉ langWS1S n φ by
(auto simp del: o-apply)
    then obtain w I where *: x ∈ enc (w, I) wf-interp-for-formula (w, I) (FNot
φ) length I = n
    unfolding lang-ENC[OF FNot(2)] by blast
    { assume ¬ (w, I) ⊨ FNot φ
      with * have x ∈ langWS1S n φ unfolding langWS1S-def by auto
    }
    with x * show x ∈ langWS1S n (FNot φ) unfolding langWS1S-def by blast
  qed
next
  case (FExists φ)
  have σ: (any, replicate n False) ∈ (set o σ Σ) n by (auto simp: σ-def set-n-lists
image-iff)
  from FExists(2) have wf: wf n (Pr (rexp-of (Suc n) φ)) by (fastforce intro:
wf-rexp-of)
  note lang-quot = lang-samequot-exec[OF wf σ]
  show ?case
  proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
    fix x assume x ∈ langWS1S n (FExists φ)
    then obtain w I p where

```

$\ast: x \in \text{enc } (w, I) \text{ wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) (F\text{Exists } \varphi) \text{ length } I = n (w, \text{Inl } p \# I) \models \varphi$
unfolding $\text{lang}_{WS1S}\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
with $F\text{Exists}(2)$ **have** $\text{enc } (w, \text{Inl } p \# I) \subseteq ?L (Suc \ n) \ \varphi$
by (*subst* $F\text{Exists}(1)$ [*of* $Suc \ n$, *symmetric*])
*(fastforce simp del: enc.simps simp: lang_{WS1S}-def nth-Cons' intro!: exI[*of* - enc (w, Inl p # I)]+)*
thus $x \in ?L \ n \ (F\text{Exists } \varphi)$ **using** $\ast(1,2,3)$
by (*auto simp: lang-quot simp del: o-apply enc.simps elim: set-mp[*OF* SAMEQUOT-mono[*OF* image-mono]]*)
next
fix x **assume** $x \in ?L \ n \ (F\text{Exists } \varphi)$
then obtain $x' \ m$ **where** $x' \in ?L \ (Suc \ n) \ \varphi$ **and**
 $x: x = \text{fin-cutSame } (any, \text{replicate } n \ \text{False}) \ (\text{map } \pi \ x') \ @ \ \text{replicate } m \ (any, \text{replicate } n \ \text{False})$
by (*auto simp: lang-quot SAMEQUOT-def simp del: o-apply enc.simps*)
with $F\text{Exists}(2)$ **have** $x' \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ (Suc \ n) \ \varphi$
by (*intro subsetD[*OF* equalityD2[*OF* $F\text{Exists}(1)$], *of* $Suc \ n \ x'$]*)
(auto split: split-if-asm sum.splits)
then obtain $w \ I'$ **where**
 $\ast: x' \in \text{enc } (w, I') \text{ wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I') \ \varphi \text{ length } I' = Suc \ n \ (w, I') \models \varphi$
unfolding $\text{lang}_{WS1S}\text{-def}$ **by** *blast*
moreover then obtain $I_0 \ I$ **where** $I' = I_0 \ \# \ I$ **by** (*cases* I') *auto*
moreover with $F\text{Exists}(2) \ \ast(2)$ **obtain** p **where** $I_0 = \text{Inl } p$
by (*auto simp: nth-Cons' split: sum.splits split-if-asm*)
ultimately have $x \in \text{enc } (w, I) \text{ wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) (F\text{Exists } \varphi) \text{ length } I = n$
 $(w, I) \models F\text{Exists } \varphi$ **using** $F\text{Exists}(2) \text{ fin-cutSame-tl[*OF* ex-Loop-stream-enc, *of* Inl p # I w]}$
unfolding x **by** (*auto simp add: π -def nth-Cons' split: split-if-asm*)
thus $x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ (F\text{Exists } \varphi)$ **unfolding** $\text{lang}_{WS1S}\text{-def}$ **by** (*auto intro!: exI[*of* - I]*)
qed
next
case ($F\text{EXISTS } \varphi$)
have $\sigma: (any, \text{replicate } n \ \text{False}) \in (\text{set } o \ \sigma \ \Sigma) \ n$ **by** (*auto simp: σ -def set-n-lists image-iff*)
from $F\text{EXISTS}(2)$ **have** $\text{wf}: \text{wf } n \ (\text{Pr } (\text{rexp-of } (Suc \ n) \ \varphi))$ **by** (*fastforce intro: wf-rexp-of*)
note $\text{lang-quot} = \text{lang-samequot-exec[*OF* wf } \sigma]$
show $?case$
proof (*intro equalityI subsetI*)
fix x **assume** $x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S} \ n \ (F\text{EXISTS } \varphi)$
then obtain $w \ I \ P$ **where**
 $\ast: x \in \text{enc } (w, I) \text{ wf-interp-for-formula } (w, I) (F\text{EXISTS } \varphi) \text{ length } I = n$
 $\text{finite } P \ (w, \text{Inr } P \# I) \models \varphi$
unfolding $\text{lang}_{WS1S}\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
with $F\text{EXISTS}(2)$ **have** $\text{enc } (w, \text{Inr } P \# I) \subseteq ?L (Suc \ n) \ \varphi$

by (*subst FEXISTS(1)[of Suc n, symmetric]*)
(fastforce simp del: enc.simps simp: lang_{WS1S}-def nth-Cons' intro!: exI[of
- enc (w, Inr P # I)])+
thus $x \in ?L\ n\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi)$ **using** $*(1,2,3,4)$
by (*auto simp: lang-quot simp del: o-apply enc.simps elim: set-mp[OF*
SAMEQUOT-mono[OF image-mono]])
next
fix x **assume** $x \in ?L\ n\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi)$
then obtain $x'\ m$ **where** $x' \in ?L\ (Suc\ n)\ \varphi$ **and**
 $x = \text{fin-cutSame}\ (\text{any}, \text{replicate}\ n\ \text{False})\ (\text{map}\ \pi\ x')\ @\ \text{replicate}\ m\ (\text{any},$
 $\text{replicate}\ n\ \text{False})$
by (*auto simp: lang-quot SAMEQUOT-def simp del: o-apply enc.simps*)
with $FEXISTS(2)$ **have** $x' \in \text{lang}_{WS1S}\ (Suc\ n)\ \varphi$
by (*intro subsetD[OF equalityD2[OF FEXISTS(1)], of Suc n x']*)
(auto split: split-if-asm sum.splits)
then obtain $w\ I'$ **where**
 $*$: $x' \in \text{enc}\ (w, I')\ \text{wf-interp-for-formula}\ (w, I')\ \varphi\ \text{length}\ I' = \text{Suc}\ n\ (w, I')$
 $\models \varphi$
unfolding $\text{lang}_{WS1S}\text{-def}$ **by** *blast*
moreover then obtain $I_0\ I$ **where** $I' = I_0 \# I$ **by** (*cases I'*) *auto*
moreover with $FEXISTS(2)\ *(2)$ **obtain** P **where** $I_0 = \text{Inr}\ P\ \text{finite}\ P$
by (*auto simp: nth-Cons' split: sum.splits split-if-asm*)
ultimately have $x \in \text{enc}\ (w, I)\ \text{wf-interp-for-formula}\ (w, I)\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi)$
 $\text{length}\ I = n$
 $(w, I) \models FEXISTS\ \varphi$ **using** $FEXISTS(2)\ \text{fin-cutSame-tl}[OF\ \text{ex-Loop-stream-enc},$
 $\text{of}\ \text{Inr}\ P\ \# I]$
unfolding x **by** (*auto simp: nth-Cons' π -def split: split-if-asm*)
thus $x \in \text{lang}_{WS1S}\ n\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi)$ **unfolding** $\text{lang}_{WS1S}\text{-def}$ **by** (*auto intro!:*
 exI[of - I])
qed
qed

lemma $\text{wf-rexp-of-alt}: \text{wf-formula}\ n\ \varphi \implies \text{wf}\ n\ (\text{rexp-of-alt}\ n\ \varphi)$
by (*induct φ arbitrary: n*)
(auto simp: wf-rexp-ENC intro: wf-rexp-arbitrary-except intro!: wf-samequot-exec,
auto simp: σ -def set-n-lists image-iff)

lemma $\text{wf-rexp-of'}: \text{wf-formula}\ n\ \varphi \implies \text{wf}\ n\ (\text{rexp-of'}\ n\ \varphi)$
unfolding $\text{rexp-of'}\text{-def}$ **by** (*auto intro: wf-rexp-of-alt wf-rexp-ENC*)

lemma $\text{ENC-FNot}: \text{ENC}\ n\ (\text{FNot}\ \varphi) = \text{ENC}\ n\ \varphi$
unfolding ENC-def **by** *auto*

lemma ENC-FAnd :
 $\text{wf-formula}\ n\ (\text{FAnd}\ \varphi\ \psi) \implies \text{lang}\ n\ (\text{ENC}\ n\ (\text{FAnd}\ \varphi\ \psi)) \subseteq \text{lang}\ n\ (\text{ENC}\ n\ \varphi)$
 $\cap\ \text{lang}\ n\ (\text{ENC}\ n\ \psi)$
proof
fix x **assume** $\text{wf}: \text{wf-formula}\ n\ (\text{FAnd}\ \varphi\ \psi)$ **and** $x: x \in \text{lang}\ n\ (\text{ENC}\ n\ (\text{FAnd}\ \varphi\ \psi))$

hence $wf1$: $wf\text{-formula } n \ \varphi$ **and** $wf2$: $wf\text{-formula } n \ \psi$ **by** *auto*
from x **obtain** $w \ I$ **where** I : $x \in enc \ (w, I) \ wf\text{-interp-for-formula} \ (w, I) \ (FAnd \ \varphi \ \psi) \ length \ I = n$
using $lang\text{-}ENC[OF \ wf]$ **by** *auto*
hence $wf\text{-interp-for-formula} \ (w, I) \ \varphi \ wf\text{-interp-for-formula} \ (w, I) \ \psi$
using $wf\text{-interp-for-formula}\text{-}FAnd$ **by** *auto*
thus $x \in lang \ n \ (ENC \ n \ \varphi) \cap lang \ n \ (ENC \ n \ \psi)$
unfolding $lang\text{-}ENC[OF \ wf1] \ lang\text{-}ENC[OF \ wf2]$ **using** I **by** *blast*
qed

lemma $ENC\text{-}FOr$:

$wf\text{-formula } n \ (FOr \ \varphi \ \psi) \implies lang \ n \ (ENC \ n \ (FOr \ \varphi \ \psi)) \subseteq lang \ n \ (ENC \ n \ \varphi) \cap lang \ n \ (ENC \ n \ \psi)$

proof

fix x **assume** wf : $wf\text{-formula } n \ (FOr \ \varphi \ \psi)$ **and** x : $x \in lang \ n \ (ENC \ n \ (FOr \ \varphi \ \psi))$
hence $wf1$: $wf\text{-formula } n \ \varphi$ **and** $wf2$: $wf\text{-formula } n \ \psi$ **by** *auto*
from x **obtain** $w \ I$ **where** I : $x \in enc \ (w, I) \ wf\text{-interp-for-formula} \ (w, I) \ (FOr \ \varphi \ \psi) \ length \ I = n$
using $lang\text{-}ENC[OF \ wf]$ **by** *auto*
hence $wf\text{-interp-for-formula} \ (w, I) \ \varphi \ wf\text{-interp-for-formula} \ (w, I) \ \psi$
using $wf\text{-interp-for-formula}\text{-}FOr$ **by** *auto*
thus $x \in lang \ n \ (ENC \ n \ \varphi) \cap lang \ n \ (ENC \ n \ \psi)$
unfolding $lang\text{-}ENC[OF \ wf1] \ lang\text{-}ENC[OF \ wf2]$ **using** I **by** *blast*
qed

lemma $ENC\text{-}FExists$:

$wf\text{-formula } n \ (FExists \ \varphi) \implies lang \ n \ (ENC \ n \ (FExists \ \varphi)) =$
 $SAMEQUOT \ (any, replicate \ n \ False) \ (map \ \pi \ ' \ lang \ (Suc \ n) \ (ENC \ (Suc \ n) \ \varphi))$
 $(is \ - \implies ?L = ?R)$

proof (*intro equalityI subsetI*)

fix x **assume** wf : $wf\text{-formula } n \ (FExists \ \varphi)$ **and** x : $x \in ?L$
hence $wf1$: $wf\text{-formula} \ (Suc \ n) \ \varphi$ **by** *auto*
from x **obtain** $w \ I$ **where** I : $x \in enc \ (w, I) \ wf\text{-interp-for-formula} \ (w, I) \ (FExists \ \varphi) \ length \ I = n$
using $lang\text{-}ENC[OF \ wf]$ **by** *auto*
from $I(2)$ **obtain** p **where** $wf\text{-interp-for-formula} \ (w, Inl \ p \ \# \ I) \ \varphi$
using $wf\text{-interp-for-formula}\text{-}FExists[OF \ wf[folded \ I(3)]]$ **by** *blast*
with $I(3)$ **show** $x \in ?R$
unfolding $lang\text{-}ENC[OF \ wf1]$ **using** $I(1) \ tl\text{-enc}[of \ Inl \ p \ I, \ symmetric]$
by (*simp del: enc.simps*)
 $(fastforce \ simp \ del: \ enc.simps \ elim!: \ set\text{-rev}\text{-}mp[OF \ - \ SAMEQUOT\text{-}mono[OF \ image\text{-}mono]]$
 $intro: \ exI[of \ - \ enc \ (w, Inl \ p \ \# \ I)])$

next

fix x **assume** wf : $wf\text{-formula } n \ (FExists \ \varphi)$ **and** x : $x \in ?R$
hence $wf1$: $wf\text{-formula} \ (Suc \ n) \ \varphi$ **and** $0 \in FOV \ \varphi$ **by** *auto*
from x **obtain** $w \ I$ **where** I : $x \in SAMEQUOT \ (any, replicate \ n \ False) \ (map \ \pi \ ' \ enc \ (w, I))$

$wf_interp_for_formula\ (w, I)\ \varphi\ length\ I = Suc\ n$
using $lang_ENC[OF\ wf1]$ **unfolding** $SAMEQUOT_def$ **by** $fast$
with $\langle 0 \in FOV\ \varphi \rangle$ **obtain** $p\ I'$ **where** $I': I = Inl\ p\ \# I'$ **by** $(cases\ I)\ (fastforce\ split:\ sum.splits)+$
with I **have** $wtlI: x \in enc\ (w, I')\ length\ I' = n$ **using** $tl_enc[of\ Inl\ p\ I'\ w]$ **by** $auto$
have $wf_interp_for_formula\ (w, I')\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi)$
using $wf_interp_for_formula_FEXISTS[OF\ wf[folded\ wtlI(2)]]$
 $wf_interp_for_formula_any_Inl[OF\ I(2)[unfolded\ I']]\ ..$
with $wtlI$ **show** $x \in ?L$ **unfolding** $lang_ENC[OF\ wf]$ **by** $blast$
qed

lemma $ENC_FEXISTS$:

$wf_formula\ n\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi) \implies lang\ n\ (ENC\ n\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi)) =$
 $SAMEQUOT\ (any,\ replicate\ n\ False)\ (map\ \pi\ 'lang\ (Suc\ n)\ (ENC\ (Suc\ n)\ \varphi))$
 $(is\ - \implies ?L = ?R)$
proof $(intro\ equalityI\ subsetI)$
fix x **assume** $wf: wf_formula\ n\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi)$ **and** $x: x \in ?L$
hence $wf1: wf_formula\ (Suc\ n)\ \varphi$ **by** $auto$
from x **obtain** $w\ I$ **where** $I: x \in enc\ (w, I)\ wf_interp_for_formula\ (w, I)$
 $(FEXISTS\ \varphi)\ length\ I = n$
using $lang_ENC[OF\ wf]$ **by** $auto$
from $I(2)$ **obtain** P **where** $wf_interp_for_formula\ (w, Inr\ P\ \# I)\ \varphi$
using $wf_interp_for_formula_FEXISTS[OF\ wf[folded\ I(3)]]$ **by** $blast$
with $I(3)$ **show** $x \in ?R$
unfolding $lang_ENC[OF\ wf1]$ **using** $I(1)\ tl_enc[of\ Inr\ P\ I,\ symmetric]$
by $(simp\ del: enc.simps)$
 $(fastforce\ simp\ del: enc.simps\ elim!: set_rev_mp[OF\ -\ SAMEQUOT_mono[OF\ image_mono]])$
 $intro: exI[of\ -\ enc\ (w, Inr\ P\ \# I)]$

next

fix x **assume** $wf: wf_formula\ n\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi)$ **and** $x: x \in ?R$
hence $wf1: wf_formula\ (Suc\ n)\ \varphi$ **and** $0 \in SOV\ \varphi$ **by** $auto$
from x **obtain** $w\ I$ **where** $I: x \in SAMEQUOT\ (any,\ replicate\ n\ False)\ (map\ \pi\ 'enc\ (w, I))$
 $wf_interp_for_formula\ (w, I)\ \varphi\ length\ I = Suc\ n$
using $lang_ENC[OF\ wf1]$ **unfolding** $SAMEQUOT_def$ **by** $fast$
with $\langle 0 \in SOV\ \varphi \rangle$ **obtain** $P\ I'$ **where** $I': I = Inr\ P\ \# I'$ **by** $(cases\ I)\ (fastforce\ split:\ sum.splits)+$
with I **have** $wtlI: x \in enc\ (w, I')\ length\ I' = n$ **using** $tl_enc[of\ Inr\ P\ I'\ w]$ **by** $auto$
have $wf_interp_for_formula\ (w, I')\ (FEXISTS\ \varphi)$
using $wf_interp_for_formula_FEXISTS[OF\ wf[folded\ wtlI(2)]]$
 $wf_interp_for_formula_any_Inr[OF\ I(2)[unfolded\ I']]\ ..$
with $wtlI$ **show** $x \in ?L$ **unfolding** $lang_ENC[OF\ wf]$ **by** $blast$
qed

lemma $lang_{WS1S_rexp_of_rexp_of'}$:

$wf_formula\ n\ \varphi \implies lang\ n\ (rexp_of\ n\ \varphi) = lang\ n\ (rexp_of'\ n\ \varphi)$

```

unfolding rexp-of'-def proof (induction  $\varphi$  arbitrary:  $n$ )
  case (FNot  $\varphi$ )
    hence wf-formula  $n$   $\varphi$  by simp
    with FNot.IH show ?case unfolding rexp-of.simps rexp-of-alt.simps lang.simps
ENC-FNot by blast
next
  case (FAnd  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )
    hence wf1: wf-formula  $n$   $\varphi_1$  and wf2: wf-formula  $n$   $\varphi_2$  by force+
    from FAnd.IH(1)[OF wf1] FAnd.IH(2)[OF wf2] show ?case using ENC-FAnd[OF
FAnd.prems]
    unfolding rexp-of.simps rexp-of-alt.simps lang.simps rexp-of-list.simps by blast
next
  case (FOr  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ )
    hence wf1: wf-formula  $n$   $\varphi_1$  and wf2: wf-formula  $n$   $\varphi_2$  by force+
    from FOr.IH(1)[OF wf1] FOr.IH(2)[OF wf2] show ?case using ENC-FOr[OF
FOr.prems]
    unfolding rexp-of.simps rexp-of-alt.simps lang.simps by blast
next
  case (FExists  $\varphi$ )
    from FExists(2) have IH: lang ( $n + 1$ ) (rexp-of ( $n + 1$ )  $\varphi$ ) =
      lang ( $n + 1$ ) (Inter (rexp-of-alt ( $n + 1$ )  $\varphi$ ) (ENC ( $n + 1$ )  $\varphi$ )) by (intro
FExists.IH) auto
    have  $\sigma$ : (any, replicate  $n$  False)  $\in$  (set  $o$   $\sigma$   $\Sigma$ )  $n$  by (auto simp:  $\sigma$ -def set-n-lists
image-iff)
    from FExists(2) have wf: wf  $n$  (Pr (rexp.Inter (rexp-of-alt ( $n + 1$ )  $\varphi$ ) (ENC
( $n + 1$ )  $\varphi$ )))
      wf  $n$  (Pr (rexp-of ( $n + 1$ )  $\varphi$ )) by (fastforce intro!: wf-rexp-of wf-rexp-of-alt
wf-rexp-ENC)+
    note lang-quot = lang-samequot-exec[OF wf(1)  $\sigma$ ] lang-samequot-exec[OF wf(2)
 $\sigma$ ]
    show ?case unfolding rexp-of.simps rexp-of-alt.simps lang.simps IH lang-quot
Suc-eq-plus1
    ENC-FExists[OF FExists.prems, unfolded Suc-eq-plus1] by (auto simp add:
SAMEQUOT-def)
next
  case (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ )
    from FEXISTS(2) have IH: lang ( $n + 1$ ) (rexp-of ( $n + 1$ )  $\varphi$ ) =
      lang ( $n + 1$ ) (Inter (rexp-of-alt ( $n + 1$ )  $\varphi$ ) (ENC ( $n + 1$ )  $\varphi$ )) by (intro
FEXISTS.IH) auto
    have  $\sigma$ : (any, replicate  $n$  False)  $\in$  (set  $o$   $\sigma$   $\Sigma$ )  $n$  by (auto simp:  $\sigma$ -def set-n-lists
image-iff)
    from FEXISTS(2) have wf: wf  $n$  (Pr (rexp.Inter (rexp-of-alt ( $n + 1$ )  $\varphi$ ) (ENC
( $n + 1$ )  $\varphi$ )))
      wf  $n$  (Pr (rexp-of ( $n + 1$ )  $\varphi$ )) by (fastforce intro: wf-rexp-of wf-rexp-of-alt
wf-rexp-ENC)+
    note lang-quot = lang-samequot-exec[OF wf(1)  $\sigma$ ] lang-samequot-exec[OF wf(2)
 $\sigma$ ]
    show ?case unfolding rexp-of.simps rexp-of-alt.simps lang.simps IH lang-quot
Suc-eq-plus1

```

```

    ENC-FEXISTS[OF FEXISTS.premis, unfolded Suc-eq-plus1] by (auto simp
add: SAMEQUOT-def)
qed auto

theorem langWS1S-rexp-of': wf-formula n  $\varphi \implies \text{lang}_{WS1S} n \varphi = \text{lang } n (\text{rexp-of } '
n \varphi)$ 
  unfolding langWS1S-rexp-of-rexp-of'[symmetric] by (rule langWS1S-rexp-of)

end

end

```

11 Normalization of WS1S Formulas

```

fun nNot where
  nNot (FNot  $\varphi$ ) =  $\varphi$ 
| nNot (FAnd  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$ ) = FOr (nNot  $\varphi_1$ ) (nNot  $\varphi_2$ )
| nNot (FOr  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$ ) = FAnd (nNot  $\varphi_1$ ) (nNot  $\varphi_2$ )
| nNot  $\varphi$  = FNot  $\varphi$ 

primrec norm where
  norm (FQ a m) = FQ a m
| norm (FLess m n) = FLess m n
| norm (FIn m M) = FIn m M
| norm (FOr  $\varphi \psi$ ) = FOr (norm  $\varphi$ ) (norm  $\psi$ )
| norm (FAnd  $\varphi \psi$ ) = FAnd (norm  $\varphi$ ) (norm  $\psi$ )
| norm (FNot  $\varphi$ ) = nNot (norm  $\varphi$ )
| norm (FExists  $\varphi$ ) = FExists (norm  $\varphi$ )
| norm (FEXISTS  $\varphi$ ) = FEXISTS (norm  $\varphi$ )

context formula
begin

lemma satisfies-nNot[simp]:  $(w, I) \models \text{nNot } \varphi \longleftrightarrow (w, I) \models \text{FNot } \varphi$ 
  by (induct  $\varphi$  rule: nNot.induct) auto

lemma FOV-nNot[simp]:  $\text{FOV } (\text{nNot } \varphi) = \text{FOV } (\text{FNot } \varphi)$ 
  by (induct  $\varphi$  rule: nNot.induct) auto

lemma SOV-nNot[simp]:  $\text{SOV } (\text{nNot } \varphi) = \text{SOV } (\text{FNot } \varphi)$ 
  by (induct  $\varphi$  rule: nNot.induct) auto

lemma pre-wf-formula-nNot[simp]:  $\text{pre-wf-formula } n (\text{nNot } \varphi) = \text{pre-wf-formula }
n (\text{FNot } \varphi)$ 
  by (induct  $\varphi$  rule: nNot.induct) auto

lemma FOV-norm[simp]:  $\text{FOV } (\text{norm } \varphi) = \text{FOV } \varphi$ 

```

```

    by (induct  $\varphi$ ) auto

lemma SOV-norm[simp]: SOV (norm  $\varphi$ ) = SOV  $\varphi$ 
  by (induct  $\varphi$ ) auto

lemma pre-wf-formula-norm[simp]: pre-wf-formula n (norm  $\varphi$ ) = pre-wf-formula
n  $\varphi$ 
  by (induct  $\varphi$  arbitrary: n) auto

lemma satisfies-norm[simp]:  $wI \models \text{norm } \varphi \longleftrightarrow wI \models \varphi$ 
  by (induct  $\varphi$  arbitrary: wI) auto

lemma langWS1S-norm[simp]: langWS1S n (norm  $\varphi$ ) = langWS1S n  $\varphi$ 
  unfolding langWS1S-def by auto

end

end

```

12 Deciding Equivalence of WS1S Formulas

```

type-synonym 'a T = 'a × bool list
abbreviation  $\mathfrak{L} \equiv \lambda \Sigma. \text{project.lang } (\text{set } o \ (\sigma \ \Sigma)) \ \pi$ 

definition wf-rexp where [code del]:
  wf-rexp  $\Sigma$  = alphabet.wf (set o  $\sigma \ \Sigma$ )

interpretation project set o  $\sigma \ \Sigma \ \pi$ 
  where alphabet.wf (set o  $\sigma \ \Sigma$ ) = wf-rexp  $\Sigma$ 
  by (unfold-locales) (auto simp: sigma-def pi-def wf-rexp-def)

definition norm-lderiv where [code del]: norm-lderiv  $\equiv \lambda \Sigma. \text{embed.lderiv } (\varepsilon \ \Sigma)$ 
definition norm-rderiv where [code del]: norm-rderiv  $\equiv \lambda \Sigma. \text{embed.rderiv } (\varepsilon \ \Sigma)$ 
definition norm-rderiv-and-add where [code del]: norm-rderiv-and-add  $\equiv \lambda \Sigma. \text{embed.rderiv-and-add } (\varepsilon \ \Sigma)$ 
definition quot where [code del]: quot  $\equiv \lambda \Sigma. \text{embed.samequot-exec } (\varepsilon \ \Sigma)$ 

interpretation embed set o ( $\sigma \ (\Sigma :: 'a :: \text{linorder list})) \ \pi \ \varepsilon \ \Sigma$ 
  where embed.lderiv ( $\varepsilon \ \Sigma$ ) = norm-lderiv  $\Sigma$ 
  and embed.rderiv ( $\varepsilon \ \Sigma$ ) = norm-rderiv  $\Sigma$ 
  and embed.rderiv-and-add ( $\varepsilon \ \Sigma$ ) = norm-rderiv-and-add  $\Sigma$ 
  and embed.samequot-exec ( $\varepsilon \ \Sigma$ ) = quot  $\Sigma$ 
  by (unfold-locales) (auto simp: norm-lderiv-def norm-rderiv-def norm-rderiv-and-add-def quot-def sigma-def pi-def epsilon-def)

definition norm-step' where [code del]:
  norm-step'  $\equiv \lambda \Sigma. \text{equivalence-checker.step'} \ (\sigma \ \Sigma) \ (\varepsilon \ \Sigma) \ (\text{Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm}$ 

```

```

:: 'a::linorder T rexp ⇒ 'a T rexp)
definition norm-closure' where [code del]:
  norm-closure' ≡ λΣ. equivalence-checker.closure' (σ Σ) (ε Σ) (Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm
:: 'a::linorder T rexp ⇒ 'a T rexp)
definition norm-check-equiv' where [code del]:
  norm-check-equiv' ≡ λΣ. equivalence-checker.check-equiv' (σ Σ) (ε Σ) (Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm
:: 'a::linorder T rexp ⇒ 'a T rexp)
definition norm-step where [code del]:
  norm-step ≡ λΣ. equivalence-checker.step (σ Σ) (ε Σ) (Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm
:: 'a::linorder T rexp ⇒ 'a T rexp)
definition norm-closure where [code del]:
  norm-closure ≡ λΣ. equivalence-checker.closure (σ Σ) (ε Σ) (Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm
:: 'a::linorder T rexp ⇒ 'a T rexp)
definition norm-check-equiv where [code del]:
  norm-check-equiv ≡ λΣ. equivalence-checker.check-equiv (σ Σ) (ε Σ) (Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm
:: 'a::linorder T rexp ⇒ 'a T rexp)
definition norm-check-equiv-counterexample where [code del]:
  norm-check-equiv-counterexample ≡ λΣ. equivalence-checker.check-equiv-counterexample
(σ Σ) (ε Σ) (Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm :: 'a::linorder T rexp ⇒ 'a
T rexp)

```

```

lemmas norm-defs = wf-rexp-def
  norm-check-equiv-def norm-closure-def norm-step-def norm-check-equiv-counterexample-def
  norm-check-equiv'-def norm-closure'-def norm-step'-def

```

```

interpretation norm: equivalence-checker σ Σ π ε Σ Smart-Constructors-Normalization.norm
ℒ Σ
  where norm.check-equiv' = norm-check-equiv' Σ
  and norm.check-equiv = norm-check-equiv Σ
  and norm.check-equiv-counterexample = norm-check-equiv-counterexample Σ
  and norm.closure' = norm-closure' Σ
  and norm.closure = norm-closure Σ
  and norm.step' = norm-step' Σ
  and norm.step = norm-step Σ
  by unfold-locales (auto simp: norm-defs trans[OF lang-norm[OF iffD2[OF ACI-norm-wf]]
ACI-norm-lang])

```

```

abbreviation ext Σ ≡ None # map Some (Σ :: 'a :: linorder list)

```

```

definition any where [code del]:
  any ≡ λΣ. formula.any (ext Σ)
definition pre-wf-formula where [code del]:
  pre-wf-formula ≡ λΣ. formula.pre-wf-formula (ext Σ)
definition wf-formula where [code del]:
  wf-formula ≡ λΣ. formula.wf-formula (ext Σ)
definition valid-ENC where [code del]: valid-ENC ≡ λΣ. formula.valid-ENC (ext
Σ)
definition ENC where [code del]: ENC ≡ λΣ. formula.ENC (ext Σ)

```

definition *rexp-of* **where** [code del]: *rexp-of* $\equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{formula.rexp-of } (\text{ext } \Sigma)$
definition *rexp-of-alt* **where** [code del]: *rexp-of-alt* $\equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{formula.rexp-of-alt } (\text{ext } \Sigma)$
definition *rexp-of'* **where** [code del]: *rexp-of'* $\equiv \lambda\Sigma. \text{formula.rexp-of' } (\text{ext } \Sigma)$

lemmas *formula-defs* = *pre-wf-formula-def wf-formula-def any-def*
rexp-of-def rexp-of'-def rexp-of-alt-def ENC-def valid-ENC-def FOV-def SOV-def

interpretation Φ : *formula ext* ($\Sigma :: 'a :: \text{linorder list}$)
where *alphabet.wf* (*set o* σ Σ) = *wf-rexp* Σ
and *embed.rderiv* (ε Σ) = *norm-rderiv* Σ
and *embed.rderiv-and-add* (ε Σ) = *norm-rderiv-and-add* Σ
and *embed.samequot-exec* (ε Σ) = *quot* Σ
and $\Phi.any = any$ Σ
and $\Phi.pre-wf-formula = pre-wf-formula$ Σ
and $\Phi.wf-formula = wf-formula$ Σ
and $\Phi.rexp-of = rexp-of$ Σ
and $\Phi.rexp-of-alt = rexp-of-alt$ Σ
and $\Phi.rexp-of' = rexp-of'$ Σ
and $\Phi.valid-ENC = valid-ENC$ Σ
and $\Phi.ENC = ENC$ Σ
by *unfold-locales*
(auto simp: σ -def π -def wf-rexp-def norm-rderiv-def norm-rderiv-and-add-def quot-def formula-defs)

definition *check-equiv* **where**
check-equiv Σ n φ $\psi \longleftrightarrow wf-formula$ Σ n (*FOr* φ ψ) \wedge
norm-check-equiv' (*ext* Σ) n (*rexp-of* Σ n (*norm* φ)) (*rexp-of* Σ n (*norm* ψ))

definition *check-equiv-counterexample* **where**
check-equiv-counterexample Σ n φ $\psi =$
norm-check-equiv-counterexample (*ext* Σ) n (*rexp-of* Σ n (*norm* φ)) (*rexp-of* Σ n (*norm* ψ))

definition *check-equiv'* **where**
check-equiv' Σ n φ $\psi \longleftrightarrow wf-formula$ Σ n (*FOr* φ ψ) \wedge
norm-check-equiv' (*ext* Σ) n (*rexp-of'* Σ n (*norm* φ)) (*rexp-of'* Σ n (*norm* ψ))

lemmas *lang_{W_{S1S}}-rexp-of-norm* = *trans[OF sym[OF $\Phi.lang_{W_{S1S}}-norm$] $\Phi.lang_{W_{S1S}}-rexp-of$]*

lemma *soundness*: *check-equiv* Σ n φ $\psi \implies \Phi.lang_{W_{S1S}} \Sigma$ n $\varphi = \Phi.lang_{W_{S1S}} \Sigma$ n ψ
by (*rule box-equals[OF norm.soundness'*
sym[OF trans[OF lang_{W_{S1S}}-rexp-of-norm]] sym[OF trans[OF lang_{W_{S1S}}-rexp-of-norm]]])
(auto simp: check-equiv-def split: sum.splits option.splits)

lemmas *lang_{W_{S1S}}-rexp-of'-norm* = *trans[OF sym[OF $\Phi.lang_{W_{S1S}}-norm$] $\Phi.lang_{W_{S1S}}-rexp-of'$]*

```

lemma soundness': check-equiv  $\Sigma$   $n$   $\varphi$   $\psi \implies \Phi.\text{lang}_{WS1S} \Sigma$   $n$   $\varphi = \Phi.\text{lang}_{WS1S} \Sigma$ 
 $n$   $\psi$ 
  by (rule box-equals[OF norm.soundness'
    sym[OF trans[OF lang_{WS1S}-rexp-of'-norm]] sym[OF trans[OF lang_{WS1S}-rexp-of'-norm]]])
    (auto simp: check-equiv'-def split: sum.splits option.splits)

lemma completeness:
assumes  $\Phi.\text{lang}_{WS1S} \Sigma$   $n$   $\varphi = \Phi.\text{lang}_{WS1S} \Sigma$   $n$   $\psi$  wf-formula  $\Sigma$   $n$  (FOr  $\varphi$   $\psi$ )
shows check-equiv  $\Sigma$   $n$   $\varphi$   $\psi$ 
  using assms(2) unfolding check-equiv-def
  by (intro conjI[OF assms(2) norm.completeness',
    OF box-equals[OF assms(1) lang_{WS1S}-rexp-of-norm lang_{WS1S}-rexp-of-norm]])
    (auto split: sum.splits option.splits intro!: \Phi.wf-rexp-of)

lemma completeness':
assumes  $\Phi.\text{lang}_{WS1S} \Sigma$   $n$   $\varphi = \Phi.\text{lang}_{WS1S} \Sigma$   $n$   $\psi$  wf-formula  $\Sigma$   $n$  (FOr  $\varphi$   $\psi$ )
shows check-equiv'  $\Sigma$   $n$   $\varphi$   $\psi$ 
  using assms(2) unfolding check-equiv'-def
  by (intro conjI[OF assms(2) norm.completeness',
    OF box-equals[OF assms(1) lang_{WS1S}-rexp-of'-norm lang_{WS1S}-rexp-of'-norm]])
    (auto split: sum.splits option.splits intro!: \Phi.wf-rexp-of')

end

```